

Hakikat Kitabevi Publications No: 7

THE SUNNI PATH

Hüseyin Hilmi Işık

Twenty-second Edition



Hakîkat Kitâbevi

Darüşşefaka Cad. 53/A P.K.: 35

34083 Fatih-ISTANBUL/TURKEY

Tel: 90.212.523 4556-532 5843 Fax: 90.212.523 3693

<http://www.hakikatkitabevi.com>

e-mail: info@hakikatkitabevi.com

JANUARY-2015

CONTENTS

Chapter No:	Essence of matter	Page No
	Preface.....	3
1 —	Mâ'lumât-ı nâfi'a (Useful information).....	11
2 —	The creed of Ahl as-Sunna.....	46
	a. 'Ulûm al-Islâmiyya consists of two parts.....	50
	b. Scholars of Fiqh are grouped in seven grades	54
3 —	The Two Hundred and Sixty-seventh Letter of the First Volume of Imâm Rabbâni's Book Entitled MAKTÛBÂT.....	56
4 —	The Two Hundred and Sixty-eighth Letter of the First Volume of the Same Blessed Book, MAKTÛBÂT	58
5 —	Al-Imâm al-a'zâm Abû Hanîfa.....	62
6 —	Wahhâbism.....	75
7 —	Final Remarks.....	109
8 —	Masjid an-Nabî.....	112
	Glossary	120

TYPESET AND PRINTED IN TURKEY BY:

İhlâs Gazetecilik A.Ş.

Merkez Mah. 29 Ekim Cad. İhlâs Plaza No: 11 A/41
34197 Yenibosna-İSTANBUL Tel: 90.212.454 3000

Bismillâhir-Rahmân-ir-Rahîm

THE SUNNI PATH

PREFACE

***Let us begin the book in the Name of Allah!
The best protection is the Name of Allah!
His blessings are beyond all means of measure;
All Mercy He is, forgiving His pleasure!***

Allâhu ta’âlâ, having mercy upon all people on the earth, creates useful things and sends them to us. In the Hereafter, He will forgive those guilty Believers who are to go to Hell, and will bring them to Paradise. He alone creates every living creature, keeps every being in existence every moment, and protects all against fear and horror. Trusting myself to the honourable name of Allâhu ta’âlâ I begin to write this book.

Hamd^[1] be to Allâhu ta’âlâ. Peace and blessings be on Rasûlullah, the Prophet Muhammad ‘sall-Allâhu ’alaihi wa sallam’. Benedictions be over his pure Ahl al-Bait and over all his just and devoted companions ‘radiy-Allâhu ta’âlâ ’anhuma ajma’în’.

The saying that goes, “**This is a world of struggles**,” should not be looked on as a trite remark. We have been plodding along in a life beset with a variety of struggles; we struggle against natural forces, such as sweltering weather in summer and snowy winter, against the tricks and slanders of wicked and irreligious people, who attack us with all their psychological weaponry and material warfare. The first requirement to be fulfilled for waging a struggle against the enemy is to acquire extensive knowledge about the enemy. Otherwise, a venture undertaken to defend ourselves may turn out to be an injury inflicted on our neighbours and friends. Things needed for a comfortable life are called **mal** (property) or **mulk** (possession). Everything from thread and needle to a house

[1] Praise and gratitude.

or an apartment house is property. Allâhu ta’âlâ has given some people and communities permission to use certain items of property. These items of property and a man’s wife, children, neighbours and relatives are offerings that he may avail himself of. Everybody uses their property and possessions as much as Allâhu ta’âlâ permits them to. It is never permissible to use them more than that or to use someone else’s property. There is a widely-known saying that goes: “Do not be proud of your property, and do not ever claim to be peerless. An adverse wind may blow, and like winnowing grain, carry away all you possess.” Property and possessions earned by harâm (forbidden) ways are called dunyâ (world). Dunyâ consists of harâms and makrûhs and is harmful. Various books have various accounts of whether something is useful or harmful. The most correct distinction is the one drawn by Allâhu ta’âlâ.

Allâhu ta’âlâ’s commandments are called **farz(es)**, and His prohibitions are termed **harâms**. The Prophet’s commandments are called **sunnats**, and his prohibitions are called **makrûhs**. These four things, *en masse*, are called **Islam**. The symptom of îmân’s existence in a heart is its liking and accepting the Ahkâm-i-islâmiyya (Islam’s commandments and prohibitions). Denial of a single sunnat causes the denier to lose his (or her) îmân and to become a kâfir (unbeliever, disbeliever). A person who has îmân and yet who disobeys an Islamic rule, (i.e. a commandment or a prohibition,) becomes (a) **fâsiq** (Muslim). It is sinful to disobey Islam. A kâfir will be burned eternally in Hell, whereas a fâsiq Muslim will be burned as much (and as long) as he (or she) deserves on account of their sin(s), and thereafter they will be taken to Paradise. A person who both has îmân and obeys Islam is called as **sâlih slave** (qul). (The feminine form of sâlih is **sâliha**.) A person who lives in mountains or wildernesses and who is therefore unaware of Islam will not become a kâfir or a fâsiq Muslim. Such people will not go to Paradise or Hell after being called to account on the Day of Judgment. Like animals, they will be annihilated. Islam, one of the heavenly religions, is a great blessing which causes great felicity. People who do not appreciate the value of this blessing shall pay for it.

Each and every Muslim has to **perform namâz** five times daily. These namâzes performed are a sign of îmân in the performer’s heart. Denial of these namâzes causes the denier to become a kâfir (disbeliever). A kâfir who has belief in a heavenly (but obsolete) religion is called an **ahl-i-kitâb** or a **person of the book**. A person

who denies that (obsolete) religion as well is called a **mushrik** (polytheist). Of disbelievers, some jews and most Christians have become **mushriks**. In today's world, there is next to not a single disbeliever who has not become a polytheist (mushrik). A Muslim who has misunderstood some of the statements of Muhammad "alaihis-salâm", and who therefore misquotes them, is called a Muslim who **holds a bid'at**. Shiites and Wahhâbîs are Muslims who hold bid'ats. If one of such people rejects a single statement made by Muhammad "alaihis-salâm" they will become an unbeliever. Muslims who believe (all) the statements made by Muhammad "alaihis-salâm" without making any alterations in them are called true Muslims who are (the followers of the true Islamic scholars called) **Ahl as-Sunnat**. Imâm A'zam Abû Hanîfa, Nu'mân bin Thâbit is the leader of these (true Islamic scholars and) true Muslims. True Muslims who hold the (true Islamic) belief called Ahl as-Sunnat have parted into four (true groups termed) Madhhabs in (matters pertaining to) Islamic practices and acts of worship. These Madhhabs are: **Hanâfi**, **Shâfi'i**, **Mâlikî**, and **Hanbâli**. (Muslims in) these four Madhhabs look on one another as brothers (and sisters). They perform namâz behind one another. (In other words, Muslims in any one of these four Madhhabs join a namâz in jamâ'at conducted by a Muslim in any one of the same four Madhhabs and perform their namâz behind that [Muslim called] imâm. These true Muslims should not be mistaken for the people of bid'at, who are heretics. People of bid'at have been striving to demolish Islam from the interior. Al-hamdu-lillâh! Muslims the world over are mostly in the true Madhhab called **Ahl as-sunnat**. Wahhâbîs and Shi'îs, who have been following (two different) heretical ways, are on the decrease in number. There are three main groups of people who call themselves Muslims. The first group are true Muslims who follow in the footsteps of the Ashâb-i-kirâm. They are called **Ahl as-sunnat** or **true Muslims**, or the **Firqa-i-nâjiyya**, i.e. the group who have been saved from Hell. The second group are enemies of the Ashâb-i-kirâm. They are called Râfidîs or **Shi'îs** (Shiites) or **Firqa-i-dâlla**, i.e. the aberrant group. The third group consists of people who are inimical both towards the Sunnîs and towards the Shi'îs. They are called **Wahhâbîs** or **Najdîs** (or Nejdîs), called so after Najd, Arabia, their birth place. They are also called the **Firqa-i-me'uña**, (i.e. the accursed group.) That they are called so on account of their calling Muslims polytheists is written in the book entitled **Ethics of Islam** and in various places of the six fascicles of

Endless Bliss. People who stigmatize Muslims as unbelievers have been cursed by our blessed Prophet. It is Jews and British traitors who have caused this tripartite disunity among Muslims.

Thousands of valuable books correctly teaching the credal tenets, commandments and prohibitions of the Islamic religion have been written, and most of these books have been translated into a number of other languages and reproduced in countries the whole world over. Scholarly authors of these correct books are called scholars of **Ahl as-Sunnat** ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaihim ajma’în’. On the other hand, short-sighted people whose sole concern is their personal pleasures and idiots suborned by British traitors in return for position and/or money have always attacked the spiritual and luminous way guided by Islam and tried to libel the scholars of Ahl as-Sunnat, to remodel the Islamic religion, and to misguide Muslims. This struggle between Muslims and irreligious people have continued throughout centuries, and it will continue until Doomsday. Jenâb-i-Haqq willed this struggle in the eternal past.

Scholars of Ahl as-Sunnat learned all their knowledge from the Ashâb-i-kirâm. For the purpose of teaching Islam, the Ashâb-i-kirâm had left their homeland and migrated to different far-away countries. For that reason, they had not had time to write books. Among the scholars who lived after the second Islamic century, there were people who contaminated Islam’s teachings with their personal views, with the scientific knowledge of their time, and with philosophers’ statements, which in turn paved the way for the seventy-two aberrant groups of **bid’at**. Jews and British traitors have large shares in the emergence of the groups of bid’at.

Regardless of the group belonged in, people who follow their nafses and who are vicious-hearted shall go to Hell. Every Believer should always say, “**Lâ ilâha il-l-Allah**,” for the tazkiya of their nafs, i.e. to purge their nafs of the dirts of denial and sinfulness that are inherent in its creation, and say, “**Estaghfirullah**,” for the tasfiya of their heart, i.e. to cure it from the diseases of denial and sinfulness which it has contracted from their own nafs, from the devil, from evil company, and from heretical books. As long as a Muslim obeys the Ahkâm-i-islâmiyya, (i.e. Islam’s commandments and prohibitions,) prayers he (or she) says shall certainly be accepted (by Allâhu ta’âlâ). If a person does not perform namâz five times daily) and/or looks at women who have not properly covered themselves or at people

with their awrat parts exposed and/or consumes food and drink earned by ways that are harâm, (i.e. prohibited by Islam,) it will be concluded that he is not obedient to the Ahkâm-i-islâmiyya. Prayers said by such people shall not be accepted (by Allâhu ta’âlâ).

There are two main levels of Muslims: The khawâs [scholars], and the ‘awâm [common people]. It is stated as follows in the Turkish book entitled **Durr-i-Yekâtâ** (and written by Imâmzâda Muhammad bin ‘Abdullah Es’ad ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaih’ of Konya, d. 1267 [1851 A.D.]): “The ‘Awâm are people who are not learned in (Arabic grammar and syntax called) Sarf and Nahw and methods and rules of belles lettres. These people cannot read and understand books of Fiqh and Fatwâ. It is farz for these people to learn the teachings of Islamic belief and worship by asking the scholars of Ahl as-Sunnat. And it is farz for the scholars to teach first the knowledge pertaining to belief and next the teachings pertaining to the five basic kinds of worship by way of oral and written lessons and preaches. It is written in the book entitled **Zâhirâ** and also in the book entitled **Tâtarhâniyya** that teaching the tenets of belief (îmân) and the creed of **Ahl as-Sunnat** should be given precedence over all other activities.” As a matter of fact, Sayyid ‘Abd-ul-Hakîm Arwâsî ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaihi’, a great Islamic scholar and an expert in zâhir (overt) and bâtin (covert) knowledge, made the following statement towards his passing: “For almost thirty years I tried to preach only îmân and the creed of Ahl as-Sunnat and the beautiful moral conduct taught by Islam in the mosques of Istanbul.” For that matter, we also have been dealing with the creed of Ahl as-Sunnat, with Islam’s lofty ethical values, and with the importance of being good to others and serving and supporting the State in all our books. We do not approve of the subversive articles written by religiously ignorant and lâ-madhhabî people [and zindiqs] and which provoke people against the State and spread discord among brothers. Our blessed Prophet ‘sall-Allâhu ’alaihi wa sallam’ stated: “**Religion is under the shade of swords**,” thus pointing to the fact it is under the protection of the State and its laws that Muslims will live in peace. The more powerful the State becomes, the more will peace and comfort be on the increase. Likewise, Muslims who live peacefully and perform their religious duties freely in non-Muslim European and American countries should not rebel against their state, which allows them freedom; they should not violate their laws and should be on the alert lest they

should be duped into serving as extras in turmoil or anarchy. They scholars of Ahl as-Sunnat, advise us that we should be so. Scholars in one of the four (true) Madhhabs are called **scholars of Ahl as-Sunnat**.

An important note: There are different sceneries in different places of the world. You never become tired of watching them. Did these spots of beauty come into being on their own? So precisely calculated and well proportioned is each and every being that it seems as if everything is the product of a single machine. All things are dependent on laws of physics, chemistry, biology, and astronomy. Above all, the harmony and symmetry in the creation of the human being! Coordination among our inner organs, like the component parts of a perfect machine, confounds the connoisseurs. Even Darwin, the well-known English non-Muslim, had to confess his admiration in the construction of the eye.^[1] All beings are related to one another through never-changing and interdependent laws. People with religious belief say that there is an omniscient **Khâliq** (Creator) Who creates all these beings. Atheists who deny all religions, on the other hand, claim that everything comes into being on their own in a haphazard way. The Creator, into the bargain, sends a message through His Prophets, saying: **“I created all. I, alone, am the Owner of you all. If you believe Me, I shall accommodate you in my Paradise. I shall give you innumerable blessings. You will lead an unending life of pleasure and happiness. As for people who deny my Prophets; I shall torment them eternally with fire in Hell.”** Supposing Paradise and Hell did not exist and Believers were wrong to have believed in Prophets, their mistake would cause them no harm. Yet since Prophets have told the truth, people who refuse to believe them and those who changed their statement shall burn eternally.

It has been observed with gratitude that men of religious authority in almost all Muslim countries strive to promulgate and defend this right way of Ahl as-Sunna. However, some ignorant people, who either have not read or have not understood the

[1] “To suppose that the eye with all its inimitable contrivances for adjusting the focus to different distances, for admitting different amounts of light, and for correction of spherical and chromatic aberration, could have been formed by natural selection, seems, I freely confess, absurd in the highest degree.”

Charles Darwin in the **Origin of Species**, J.M, Dent@Sons Ltd, London, 1971, p. 167. (p. 18 of The Advised Quote Book).

books written by scholars of Ahl as-sunna, make some ignorant oral and written statements, though without having any effect except betraying their own ignorance and wretchedness against Muslims' firm imân and the brotherly love they have for one another.

Harmful separatist movements among Muslims attack books of 'ilm-i-hâl and try to vilify the scholars of Ahl as-Sunna and great men of Tasawwuf 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaihim ajma'în'. Like all other scholars of Ahl as-Sunna, Ahmed Cevdet Pâsha and also our scientific board gave them the answers required, thereby protecting the correct meanings which Rasûlullah 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam' derived from the Qur'ân al-kerîm. In the current book of ours, we define the true way and the heretical ones separately. We entreat Allâhu ta'âlâ that, by studying this book carefully with their common sense and pure conscience, our valuable readers will judge it fairly and stick together in the right and true way of the Ahl as-Sunna and avoid lying, slanderous and heretical people. By doing so, they will escape eternal damnation.

Explanations added afterwards to some parts of our book are written in brackets [...]. All these explanations also have been borrowed from authentic books.

Mîlâdî
2001

Hijrî Shamsî
1380

Hijrî Qamarî
1422

Publisher's note:

Anyone who wishes to print this book in its original form or to translate it into any other language is granted beforehand our permission to do so; and people who undertake this beneficial feat are accredited to the benedictions that we in advance offer to Allâhu ta'âlâ in their name and also our best wishes of gratitude and we thank them very much. However, permission is subject to the condition that the paper used in printing be of a good quality and that the design of the text and setting be properly and neatly done without mistakes.

A Warning: Missionaries are striving to advertise Christianity, Jews are working to spread the concocted words of Jewish rabbis, Hakîkat Kitâbevi (Bookstore), in Istanbul, is struggling to publicize Islam, and freemasons are trying to annihilate religions. A person with wisdom, knowledge and conscience will understand and admit the right one among these and will help in their efforts for salvation of all humanity. There is no better way or more valuable thing to serve humanity than doing so.

KALIMA-I TANZÎH

“**Subhânallâhi wa bi-hamdihi subhânallâh-il-’adhîm.**” Sins of people who say this Kalima-i-tanzîh in the morning and in the evening, a hundred times at each occasion, will be pardoned. This prayer is written also in the 307th and the 308th letters in the original and the Turkish versions of the (first volume of the) book entitled **Maktûbât**. It will also cause elimination of all sorsts of cares and afflictions.

1 — MA'LÛMÂT-I NÂFI'A (USEFUL INFORMATION)

This booklet was written by Ahmed Cevdet Paşa 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaikh', who rendered a great service to Islam by putting the rules in the Qur'ân al-kerîm into a code of law in his valuable book **Majalla**. In addition, he wrote **The Ottoman History** in twelve volumes, the most dependable book in its field, and the famous **Qisâs-i Anbiyâ'** (The History of Prophets). He was born in Lofja (Lowicz in Poland) in 1238 (1823 A.D.); he passed away in 1312 (1894 A.D.) and was buried in the graveyard of the Fâtih Mosque in Istanbul.

Cevdet Pâsha states: This 'alâm, that is, everything, was nonexistent. Allâhu ta'âlâ created existence out of nothing. He wished to enrich this world with human beings until the end of the world. Creating Âdam "alaihis-salâm" from earth, He ornamented the earth with his children. To show people the things necessary for them in this world and the next, He honoured some of them by making them Prophets "alaihim-us-salâm". He distinguished them from other people by giving them high ranks. He conveyed His commands to Prophets through an angel named **Jebrâ'il** (Jibrîl, Gabriel). And they conveyed these commands to their ummas exactly as Jebrâ'il "alaihis-salâm" brought them to them. The first Prophet was Âdam "alaihis-salâm" and the last one was our master Muhammed Mustafâ "alaihis-salâtu wa-s-salâm". Many Prophets came between these two. Only Allâhu ta'âlâ knows their number. The following are the ones whose names are known:

Âdam, Shîs (or Shît), Idrîs, Nûh (Noah), **Hûd, Sâlih, Ibrâhîm, Ismâ'il, Is'hâq** (Isaac), **Ya'qûb** (Jacob), **Yûsuf** (Joseph), **Eyyûb, Lût, Shu'aib, Mûsâ** (Moses), **Hârûn** (Aaron), **Dâwûd** (David), **Sulaimân, Yûnus** (Jonah), **Ilyâs** (Elijah), **Alyasa'**, **Dhu'l-kifl, Zakariyyâ** (Zechariah), **Yahyâ** (John), **'Isâ** (Jesus), **Muhammad Mustafâ** "alaihimu-s-salât-u-wa-s-salâm". Twenty-five of these Prophets, with the exception of Shîs "alaihis-salâm", are named in the Qur'ân al-kerîm. The names of **'Uzair, Luqmân** and **Dhu'l-qarnain** are also mentioned in the Qur'ân al-kerîm. Some scholars

of Ahl as-Sunna said that these three, and Tubba' and Khidir, were Prophets, while others said they were Awliyâ'.

Muhammad ‘alaihis-salâm’ is Habîb-Allah (Allah’s Most Beloved). **Ibrâhîm** ‘alaihis-salâm’ is Khalîl-Allah (the Beloved of Allah). **Mûsâ** ‘alaihis-salâm’ is Kalîm-Allah (one with whom Allah spoke). **’Isâ** ‘alaihis-salâm’ is Rûh-Allah (one whom Allah created without a father). **’Âdâm** ‘alaihis-salâm’ is Saffî-Allah (one whose fault was forgiven by Allah). **Nûh** ‘alaihis-salâm’ is Najîy-Allah (one whom Allah saved from danger). These six Prophets are superior to other Prophets. They are called **Ulu'l-'azm**. The most superior of all is Muhammad ‘alaihis-salâm’.

Allâhu ta’âlâ sent one hundred suhuf (pl. of sahîfa, booklet) and four books to the earth. All of them were brought by Jebrâ’îl ‘alaihis-salâm’. Ten suhuf descended to ’Âdâm ‘alaihis-salâm’, fifty suhuf to Shîs ‘alaihis-salâm’, thirty suhuf to Idrîs ‘alaihis-salâm’, and ten suhuf to Ibrâhîm ‘alaihis-salâm’. [Sahîfa, (in this context), means ‘a small book’, ‘a booklet’. It does not mean ‘one face of a sheet of paper’, which we know]. Of the four books, the **Tawrât esh-sherîf** [Torah] was sent to Mûsâ ‘alaihis-salâm’, the **Zabûr esh-sherîf** to Dâwûd ‘alaihis-salâm’, the **Injîl esh-sherîf** [latin ‘Evangelium’] to ’Isâ ‘alaihis-salâm’ and the **Qur’ân al-kerîm** to the Last Prophet, Muhammad ‘alaihis-salâm’.

During the time of Nûh ‘alaihis-salâm’ the Flood took place and water covered the entire world. All people and animals on the earth were drowned. But the Believers who were on board with him were rescued. Nûh ‘alaihis-salâm’, when boarding the ship, had taken one pair of every kind of animal, from which today’s animals multiplied.

Nûh ‘alaihis-salâm’ had his three sons on board the ship: Sâm (Shem), Yâfas (Japheth) and Hâm (Ham). People on the earth today are their descendants. For this reason, he is called the Second Father.

Ibrâhîm ‘alaihis-salâm’ was Ismâîl’s and Is’hâq’s ‘alaihima-s-salâm’ father. Is’hâq ‘alaihis-salâm’ was Ya’qûb’s father. Ya’qûb ‘alaihis-salâm’ was Yûsuf’s ‘alaihis-salâm’ father. Ya’qûb ‘alaihis-salâm’ was called ‘Isrâ’îl.’ For this reason, his sons and grandsons were called **“Benî Isrâ’îl”** (the Children of Isrâ’îl, Israelites). Benî Isrâ’îl increased in number and many of them became Prophets. Mûsâ, Hârûn, Dâwûd, Sulaimân, Zakariyyâ, Yahyâ and ’Isâ ‘alaihimu-s-salâm’ are among them. Sulaimân ‘alaihis-salâm’ was the son of Dâwûd ‘alaihis-salâm’. Yahyâ ‘alaihis-salâm’ was the son of Zakariyyâ ‘alaihis-salâm’. Hârûn

“alaihis-salâm’ was Mûsâ’s “alaihis-salâm’ brother. The Arabs are the descendants of Ismâ’îl ‘alaihis-salâm’, and Muhammad ‘alaihis-salâm’ was an Arab.

Hûd ‘alaihis-salâm’ was sent to the ’Âd tribe, Sâlih ‘alaihis-salâm’ to the Thamûd tribe, and Mûsâ ‘alaihis-salâm’ was sent to Benî Isrâ’îl. Also Hârûn, Dâwûd, Sulaimân, Zakariyyâ and Yahyâ ‘alaihimu-s-salâm’ were sent to Benî Isrâ’îl. Yet none of them brought a new religion; they invited Benî Isrâ’îl to Mûsâ’s ‘alaihis-salâm’ religion. Although the Zabûr was sent to Dâwûd ‘alaihis-salâm’, it did not have commandments, rules or ’ibâdât. It was full of sermons and advice. Therefore, it did not abrogate or invalidate the Torah but emphasized it, and that is why the religion (dispensation) of Mûsâ ‘alaihis-salâm’ lasted up to the time of ’Isâ ‘alaihis-salâm’. When ’Isâ ‘alaihis-salâm’ came, his religion abrogated that of Mûsâ ‘alaihis-salâm’; that is, the Torah became invalid. So it was no longer permissible to follow Mûsâ’s ‘alaihis-salâm’ religion. From then on it was necessary to follow ’Isâ’s ‘alaihis-salâm’ religion until Muhammad’s ‘alaihis-salâm’ dispensation. However, the majority of Benî Isrâ’îl did not believe ’Isâ ‘alaihis-salâm’ and persisted in following the Torah. Thus Jews and Nasârâ separated. People who believed ’Isâ ‘alaihis-salâm’ were called **Nasârâ**, who are today’s Christians. People who denied ’Isâ ‘alaihis-salâm’ and remained in disbelief and heresy were called **Yahûd** (Jews). Jews still claim that they follow Mûsâ’s ‘alaihis-salâm’ religion and read the Torah and the Zabûr; the Nasârâ claim that they follow ’Isâ’s ‘alaihis-salâm’ religion and read the Injîl. However, our master, Muhammad ‘alaihis-salât-u-wa-s-salâm’, the master of both worlds and the Prophet of all human beings and genies, was sent as the Prophet for all ’âlams (worlds of beings), and his religion, Islam, invalidated all previous religions. Since this religion will remain valid till the end of the world, it is not permissible in any part of the world to be in any religion other than his religion. No Prophet will succeed him. We are, thanks to Allâhu ta’âlâ, his Umma. Our religion is Islam.

Our Prophet, Muhammad ‘alaihi’s-salâm’, was born in Mekka on the Monday morning of Rabî’al-awwal 12, which coincided with April 20, 571 A.D. He passed away in Medina in the 11th year of the Hegira [632 A.D.]. At the age 40, the angel called **Jebrâ’îl** ‘alaihis-salâm’ revealed to him his Prophethood. He emigrated (hijra) from Mekka to Medina in 622; his arrival at the Kubâ village near Medina on Monday, September 20, marks the

beginning of the Muslims' **Hijrî Shemsî** (solar) calendar,^[1] while Muharram 1 of the same year marks the beginning of the **Qamari** (lunar) calendar.

We believe in all Prophets. All of them were Prophets sent by Allâhu ta'âlâ. Yet, when the Qur'ân al-kerîm descended, all other religions were abrogated. Therefore, it is not permissible to follow any of them. Christians also believe in all past Prophets, yet since they do not believe in the fact that Muhammad 'alaihis-salâm' is the Prophet for all mankind, they remain in disbelief and diverge from the truth. As for Jews, since they do not believe 'Isâ 'alaihis-salâm' either, they remain twice as far from Islam.

Since Jews and Christians believe that their present interpolated books are the same today as they were when they were sent down from heaven, they are called **ahl al-kitâb** (disbelievers with heavenly books). It is permissible [but makrûh] to eat the animals they slaughter [if they utter the name of Allâhu ta'âlâ as they slaughter them] and to marry their daughters with nikâh. [It is not permissible for Muslim girls to marry any one of these male disbelievers. If a Muslim girl intends to marry a disbeliever or a murtadd, she will have despised the religion of Muhammad 'alaihis-salâm'. A person who despises Islam will go out of Islam and become a murtadd. The so-called marriage will be one between two disbelievers.]

Polytheists (mushriks) and apostates (murtadds) who do not believe in any Prophet or Book are called "disbelievers without a heavenly book." **Mulhids**, too, have been said to be in the same group. It is not permissible to marry their daughters or to eat the animals they slaughter.

'Isâ 'alaihis-salâm' chose twelve of his companions to disseminate his religion after him; each of them was called a **Hawârî** [Apostle, le Apôrte, Apostel]. They were Sham'ûn [Simon], Peter, [Petros], Johanna [Johannes], the elder Ya'qûb, Andreas [Andrew, Peter's brother], Philippus, Thomas, Bartholomew [Bartholomaus], Matiyâyâ [Matthew], the younger Ya'qûb, Barnabas, Yahûdâ [Judas] and Thaddaeus [Jakobi]. Yahûdâ became an apostate and Matyas [Matthias] took his place. Petros was the chief of the Apostles. These twelve believers, after 'Isâ 'alaihis-salâm' had ascended to heaven at the

[1] The Persian Shemsî year begins six months before this; that is, on the twentieth of March, which is the day of the Magian festival.

age of thirty-three, propagated his religion. Yet the true teachings of the religion sent by Allâhu ta'âlâ could hold on only for eighty years. Later, Paul's fibbed doctrines spread out everywhere. Paul was a Jew and did not believe in 'Isâ "alaihis-salâm'. Yet, pretending to be a believer of 'Isâ "alaihis-salâm' and introducing himself as a religious scholar, he said that 'Isâ "alaihis-salâm' was the son of Allah. He fibbed some other things and said that wine and pork were halâl. He turned Nasârâ's qibla from the Ka'ba to the East where the sun rises. He said that Allâhu ta'âlâ's Person (Dhât) was one and His Attributes were three. These attributes were called *uqnûm* (hypostases). The words of this Jewish hypocrite were inserted into the earliest four books of the Bible (the Gospels), especially into Luke's book, and the Nasârâ parted into groups. Seventy-two conflicting sects and books appeared. In the course of time, most of these sects were forgotten and now they have only three major sects left. Most of them are polytheists.

[']Abdullah ibn 'Abdullah at-Tarjumân, who had been a priest on Majorca, one of the Spanish Balearic Islands, and who changed his name after embracing Islam in Tunisia, wrote a book in the Arabic language and entitled **Tuhfa-t-ul-erîb fi-r-radd-i-'alâ ahl-is-salîb** in the (hijrî) year [1420 A.D.], and the book was reproduced in the Arabic language in London in 1290 [1872 A.D.] and in Istanbul in 1401 [1981 A.D.]; the book was appended in its original Arabic version to the book entitled **al-Munqidu 'aniddalâl** by Hâkîkat Kitâbevi, and a Turkish version of the book was published by the same institution. He states in his book:

"The four Gospels were written by Matthew, Luke, Mark and John [Johanna]. They were the first books to defile the Injîl. Matthew, a Palestinian, had seen 'Isâ "alaihis-salâm" only in the year of his ascent to heaven. Eight years later he wrote the first gospel in which he narrated the extraordinary events witnessed in Palestine when 'Isâ "alaihis-salâm" was born and how his mother Hadrat Mariam took him to Egypt when the Jewish King Herod wanted to kill her child. Hadrat Mariam passed away six years after her son had ascended to heaven and was buried in Jerusalem. Luke, who was from Antioch (Antakya), never saw 'Isâ "alaihis-salâm". He was converted to the religion of 'Isâ "alaihis-salâm" by the hypocrite Paul long after 'Isâ's "alaihis-salâm" ascent to heaven. After being imbued with the poisonous ideas of Paul, he wrote his gospel, changing Allâhu ta'âlâ's book (the Injîl) altogether. Mark, too, accepted the religion of 'Isâ

“alaihis-salâm” after the Ascension^[1] and wrote in Rome what he had heard from Petros under the name of the Injîl. John was the son of ’Isâ’s “alaihis-salâm” aunt. He had seen ’Isâ “alaihis-salâm” several times. In these four Gospels there are many incongruous passages.”

In the two books entitled **Diyâ’ al-qulûb** and **Shams al-haqîqa** and written by Is’hâq Efendi of Harput, who died in 1309 (1892 A.D.); in the Arabic book **as-Sirât al-mustaqîm** by Haydarî-zâda Ibrâhîm Fasîh, who died in 1299; in the Persian book **Mîzân al-mawâzîn**, by Najaf ’Alî Tabrîzî, which was printed in Istanbul in 1288, and in the Arabic book **ar-Radd al-Jamîl** by al-Imâm al-Ghazâlî, which was printed in Beirut in 1959, it is proven that the present copies of the Bible have been interpolated.^[2]

A Gospel written by Barnabas, who wrote precisely what he saw and heard from ’Isâ “alaihi’s-salâm”, was found and republished in English in Pakistan in 1973. It is written in **Qâmûs al-a’lâm**: “Barnabas was one of the earliest Apostles. He was a son of Mark’s uncle. He was a Cypriot. He believed in ’Isâ “alaihis-salâm” soon after Paul emerged, with whom he travelled to Anatolia and Greece. He was martyred in Cyprus in the year 63. He wrote a Gospel and some other booklets. He is commemorated on the eleventh of June by Christians.”

Christian religious officials are called clergymen. The highest ranking Orthodox clergyman is the Patriarch. Clergymen of an intermediate grade are called pastors. Those who read the Bible are called qissîs (gospellers). Above the qissîs are uskufs (presbyters), who act as muftîs. Uskufs of higher grades are bishops, above whom are archbishops or metropolitans, who act as qâdîs (judges). Those who conduct the ritual prayers in church are called jâsilîk (cleric), below whom are the curés or the shammâs (deacons), and those who serve in church are called eremites (hermits) or shamâmîsa (coenobites), whose duty is to help worshippers. Those who have devoted themselves to worship

[1] Appropos, the Ascension, contrary to the wrong Christian belief, is Hadrat ’Isâ’s “alaihis-salâm” ascent to heaven alive when he was thirty-three years old. This fact is available from all Islamic sources. Please scan the book entitled **could not answer**, available from **Hakîkat Kitâbevi**.

[2] A photostatic reproduction of the last three books was produced by Hakîkat Kitâbevi in 1986.

are called monks. Head of Catholics is the Pope (father of fathers) in Rome. His advisory prelates are called cardinals.

All these men of religious authority of the past forgot the Oneness of Allâhu ta'âlâ. They invented the **Trinity**. They said that Jesus was the son of God, which made them polytheists. After some time, in the era of the Roman Emperor Claudius II [215-271 A.D.], Yûnus Shammâs, the Patriarch of Antioch, declared the Oneness of Allâhu ta'âlâ. He brought many people round to the right course, whereby they joined the people of the Book. Yet later priests succeeding him relapsed into worshipping three gods. Constantine the Great [274-337] mixed idolatry with the religion of 'Isâ 'alaihis-salâm'. In 325, he convened 318 priests in a spiritual council in Nicea (Iznik) and made up a new Christian religion. In this council, a presbyter named Arius said that Allâhu ta'âlâ is one and 'Isâ 'alaihis-salâm' is His creature. Yet, Alexandrius, chief of the council and the then Patriarch of Alexandria, dismissed him from the church. Constantine the Great declared that Arius was a disbeliever and established the principles of the Malakâyya (Melchite) sect; this fact is written in the book **al-Milal wa-n-nihâl** and in a history book by Jirjis Ibn al-'Amîd, a Byzantine Greek historian who lived through 601-671 A.H. [1205-1273, Damascus]. In 381, a second council was held in Constantinople (Istanbul), and Makdonius was accused of blasphemy because he had said that 'Isâ 'alaihis-salâm' is not the Rûh al-quds [the Holy Ghost] but he is a creature. In 395, the Roman Empire split into two. In 421, a third council was held in Constantinople to scrutinize a book by Nestorius, the Patriarch of Constantinople, who said: "'Isâ was a man. He cannot be worshipped. There exist only the two uqnûms. Allah is one. Of His attributes Existence, Life and Knowledge, the attribute 'Life' is the Rûh al-quds; the attribute 'Knowledge' penetrated into 'Isâ and he became a god. Mariam was not the mother of a god. She was the mother of a man. 'Isâ was the son of Allah.'" These ideas of his were accepted. The sect of Nestorius spread in oriental countries. People who were in this sect were called Nestûrîs (Nestorians). In 431, a fourth council was held in Ephesus, where Dioscorus's ideas were accepted and Nestorius [d. 439, Egypt] was accused of blasphemy. Twenty years later, 734 priests assembled at a fifth council in Kadiköy in 451, and the writings of Dioscorus, the Patriarch of Alexandria, were repudiated. Dioscorus's ideas, which were based on 'Isâ 'alaihis-salâm' being a god, formed the Monophysite, which was also called the

Ya'qûbiyya sect, derived from the real name of Dioscorus, Ya'qûb (Jacob). Mercianus, the Byzantine emperor of the time, announced the decision of repudiation everywhere. Dioscorus fled and preached his beliefs in Jerusalem and Egypt. His followers worship 'Isâ 'alaihis-salâm'. Today's Suryânis (Syriac speaking Christians) and Maronites in Iraq, Syria and Lebanon belong to the Ya'qûbiyya sect.

The sect accepted in the Kadıköy council and ratified by King Mercianus is called Malakâiya (Melchite). It is similar to the sect accepted in the first ecumenical council held in Nicea. Their chief is the Patriarch of Antioch. They term the attributes Knowledge and Life as "Kalima" (Word) and "Rûh al-quds" (the Holy Ghost), respectively, which are called '**uqnûm**' when they unite with man. They have three gods: 'Father', the uqnûm of existence, is one of them; Jesus is the 'Son'; Mary (Mariam) is a goddess. They call 'Isâ 'alaihis-salâm' **Jesus Christ**.

The seventy-two Christian sects are described in detail in the Arabic book **Idhâr ul-haqqa** and in the Turkish book **Diyâ' ul-qulûb**.^[1]

All these sects were loyal to the Pope in Rome until 446 [1054 A.D.]. En masse, they were called **Catholic**. In 1054, Michael Cirolarius, Patriarch of Constantinople, broke away from the Pope and began to administer the Eastern churches independently. These churches are called **Orthodox**. They follow the Ya'qûbiyya sect. In 923 [1517 A.D.], the German priest Luther revolted against the Pope in Rome and a number of churches followed him. They are called **Protestants**.]

[1] **Idhâr al-haqqa** was printed in Arabic in Istanbul in 1280 (1864 A.D.). In this book, Rahmatullah Efendi of India (rahmat-Allâhi ta'âlâ 'alaih), who passed away in Mekka in 1306 A.H., writes in detail about the discussions he had with Christian priests in India in 1270 and in Istanbul later, and tells how he silenced them. Comments on these discussions were added in the Istanbul impression of the Persian book **Saif al-abrâr**. **Izhâr al-haqqa** has two parts: the first part, which was translated into Turkish by Nûzhet Efendi, the Chief Secretary of the Ministry of Education, was printed with the title **Îdhâh al-haqqa** in Istanbul; the second part was translated into Turkish by Seyyid Ömer Fehmi bin Hasan in 1292 A.H. and was printed with the title **Ibrâz al-haqqa** in Bosnia in 1293 [1876 A.D.]. **Diyâ' al-qulûb** by Is'haq Efendi of Harput was translated into English with the title **could not answer** (in Istanbul in 1990).

As is seen, most Christians are baser than Jews, and they will be punished more severely in the Hereafter because they both deny Muhammad ‘alaihis-salâm’ and trespass against the subject of Ulûhiyya (Divinity); they believe in the Trinity and worship ‘Isâ ‘alaihis-salâm’ and his mother Hadrat Mariam and divinize them; they also eat **maita** flesh.^[1] As for Jews; they reject two Prophets; but they know that Allâhu ta’âlâ is one, and they do not eat maita flesh. Nevertheless, Jews are more hostile towards Islam. Although a few Jews became polytheists like Christians by saying, “‘Uzair (Ezra) was Allah’s son,” they are all called ahl al-kitâb. The Orthodox, Catholics and Protestants read different versions of the Bible and claim that they follow ‘Isâ ‘alaihis-salâm’. However, each sect has many conflicting principles on creed and practice. En masse, they are called Nasârâ, Christians, ahl al-kitâb. Jews think of themselves as being in Mûsâ’s ‘alaihis-salâm’ religion.^[2]

When our Prophet ‘alaihi-s-salâtu wa-s-salâm’ honoured the Hereafter with his presence in the eleventh year of the Hegira, Abû Bakr as-Siddîq ‘radiy-Allâhu ’anh’ became Khalîfa; He passed away at the age of sixty-three, thirteen years after the Hegira. After him, ‘Umar al-Fârûq ‘radiy-Allâhu ’anh’ became Khalîfa. He was martyred at the age of sixty-three, in 23 of the Hegira. After him, ‘Uthmân Dhu’n-Nûrain ‘radiy-Allâhu ’anh’ became Khalîfa. He was martyred at the age of eighty-two, in the year 35 after the Hegira. Thereafter, ’Alî ‘radiy-Allâhu ta’âlâ ’anh’ became Khalîfa. He was martyred in 40 A.H., when he was sixty-three. These four Khalîfas are called **al-Khulafâ-ar-râshidîn**. Exactly as in the ‘Asr as-Sâ’âdâ, the rules (ah’kâm) of the **Shârî’â** were carried out and righteousness, justice and freedom flourished everywhere during their caliphates. Rules of the **Shârî’â** were carried out without any misapplications. These four Khalîfas were the most exalted among all the as-Sâhâbat al-kirâm

[1] Islam prescribes how to kill an edible animal. When it is not killed in the prescribed manner, its flesh becomes lesh, **maita**, i.e. not edible.

[2] As is stated in a two-volumed Encyclopedia dealing with religions: As of 1995, the population of the world was 4.550 billion. There were 1.060 billion Muslims, 1.870 billion Christians [1.042 billion of which were catholics, 0.50 billion were protestants, and 0.174 billion were orthodox christians], 0.140 billion Jews, and 1.660 billion polytheists and unbelievers, who did not believe in any heavenly book or any Prophet.

‘alaihimu-r-ridwân’ and their superiority to one another was as in the order of the sequence of their caliphates.

In the time of Abû Bekr ‘radiy-Allâhu anh’ Muslims went out of the Arabian Peninsula. After our Prophet ‘sall-Allâhu ‘alaihi wa sallam’ honoured the Hereafter with his presence, rebellions broke out on the Arabian Peninsula. Abû Bakr ‘radiy-Allâhu ‘anh’ quelled the rebellions and struggled to correct the apostates during his caliphate and re-established Muslim unity as had been the case during the ‘Asr-as-Sâ’âda. ‘Umar ‘radiy-Allâhu ‘anh’, when he became Khalîfa, delivered a speech:

“O Companions of the Messenger! ‘radiy-Allâhu ta’âlâ ’anhum ajma’în’. Arabia can supply only the barley for your horses. Yet, Allâhu ta’âlâ has promised His Beloved (the Prophet) that He would give Muhammad’s ‘alaihis-salâm’ Umma lands and homes in all parts of the world. Where are the soldiers to conquer those countries promised and to attain booties in this world and honours of ghâzî and martyr in the Hereafter? Where are the ghâzîs who will sacrifice their lives and heads and leave their homes to rescue the human slaves of Allâhu ta’âlâ from the paws of the cruel for the sake of Islam?”. With these words, he encouraged the Sahâbat al-kirâm ‘alaihimu-r-ridwân’ to go out for jihâd and ghazâ. It was this speech of ‘Umar’s ‘radiy-Allâhu ‘anh’ that prompted the rapid enlargement of Islamic countries on three continents and the purification of millions of people from disbelief. Upon this speech, the Sahâbat al-kirâm ‘alaihimu-r-ridwân’ took a unanimous oath to make jihâd and to fight for Islam until death. With armed forces organized as the Khalîfa had commanded, Muslims left their homes and went out of Arabia and settled everywhere. Many of them did not come back and struggled till death where they had gone. Thus many countries were conquered in a short time. In those days, there were two great empires: the Byzantine and the Persian. Muslims overcame both. Especially, the Persian Empire collapsed altogether, and all her lands came into Muslims’ possession. Inhabitants of these countries, being blessed with the honour of becoming Muslims, attained peace in this world and endless bliss in the Hereafter. During the times of ‘Uthmân and ‘Alî ‘radiy-Allâhu ‘anhumâ’, too, Muslims dedicated themselves to ghazâ. Nonetheless, during the caliphate of ‘Uthmân ‘radiy-Allâhu ‘anh’ some people rose against the Khalîfa and martyred him. During the time of ‘Alî ‘radiy-Allâhu ‘anh’ the Khârijî tumults arose. Differences among the Muslims commenced. And, since the greatest source of

conquest and victory was unanimous unity, during their caliphates not so much land was conquered as had been the case during the time of 'Umar 'rādiy-Allâhu 'anh'.

The era of al-Khulafâ ar-râshidîn lasted thirty years. These thirty years, like the time of the Prophet 'alaihis-salâm', passed in prosperity. After them, many bid'as and wrong paths appeared among Muslims and many people dissented from the right way. Only those who believed and adapted themselves to the Sharî'a exactly as the Sahâbat al-kirâm 'râdiy-Allâhu ta'âlâ 'anhum ajma'in' had done were saved. Their way is that of the **Ahl as-Sunnat wa-l-jamâ'a**. This is the only correct way. The way which our Prophet 'alaihis-salâm' and his Companions followed was the way which is shown by the scholars of the Ahl as-Sunna 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaihim ajma'in'. The wrong ways were forgotten in the course of time, and most Muslim countries today follow this correct way. Of those which were not compatible with the Ahl as-Sunnat wa-l-jamâ'a, there is only the Shî'ite group left. The Shî'ites claim, "Caliphate was 'Alî's 'râdiy-Allâhu 'anh' right and Abû Bakr and 'Umar 'râdiy-Allâhu ta'âlâ anhumâ' deprived him of his right by force," and they slander most of the Sahâbat al-kirâm. [Today, those who are called Muslims and are known as the al-Ummat al-Muhammadiyya are almost entirely composed of the Ahl as-Sunna, the Shî'ites and the Wahhâbîs].^[1]

The Ahl as-Sunna, with respect to practices and acts of worship, consists of four Madhhabs. The first one, the **Hanâfi Madhhab**, was founded by al-Imâm al-a'zam Abu Hanîfa Nu'mân ibn Thâbit 'rahmatullâhi 'alaih'. 'Hanîf' means 'a person who believes correctly, who clings to Islam.' 'Abu Hanîfa' means 'the father of true Muslims.' Al-Imâm al-a'zam did not have a daughter named 'Hanîfa.' The second of the four Madhhabs of the Ahl as-Sunna is the **Mâlikî Madhhab** of Imâm Mâlik ibn Enes 'rahmatullâhi 'alaih'. The third one is the **Shâfi'i Madhhab** of Imâm Muhammed ibn Idrîs ash-Shâfi'i 'rahmatullâhi 'alaih'. Hadrat Shâfi', a Sahâbî, was the grandfather of the Imâm's grandfather. That was why he and his Madhhab were called

[1] The zindiqs who are called Ahmadiyya (Qâdiyânîs) and Bahâ'îs and who are the votaries of two heretical sects founded in India by English traitors and the lâ-madhhabî and heretical people called Tablîgh-jamâ'at have no connection with Islam. All three groups have separated from the Ahl as-Sunnat. (Please scan the thirty-sixth chapter of the second fascicle of **Endless Bliss**.)

Shâfi'i. The fourth one is the **Hanbalî Madhhab** of Ahmad ibn Hanbal 'rahmatullâhi 'alaih'. [As is written in the preface of **Radd al-muhtâr** by Ibn 'Âbidîn, these four imâms were born in the hijrî years 80, 90, 150 [767 A.D.] and 164 and passed away in 150, 179, 204 and 241, respectively.]

With respect to i'tiqâd (creedal tenets), these four Madhhabs are not different from one another. All of them belong to the Ahl as-Sunna and their beliefs and the basis of their religion is the same. These four Imâms of the Muslims were great mujtahids recognized and believed by everybody. Yet they disagreed with one another in some small matters with respect to practices (the Ahkâm-i-islâmiyya).

Because Allâhu ta'âlâ and His Prophet 'sall-Allâhu ta'âlâ 'alaihi wa-salam' pitied Muslims, it was not declared clearly in the Qur'ân al-kerîm and hadîth-i-sherîfs how some practices should be done.^[1] These practices would have to be done by comparing them to those declared clearly. Among religious scholars, those who are capable of understanding how such practices are to be done after comparing them were called **mujtahid**. It was wâjib, i.e. it was commanded in Qur'ân al-kerîm and hadîth-i-sherîfs for a mujtahid to strive with his utmost energy to find out how a certain practice is to be done and, for him and for those who follow him, to perform it in accordance with his deduction or choice (**ijtihâd**), which, he thought, was most probably the right solution. A mujtahid's mistake in exploring the way of doing a certain practice will not be regarded as a sin, and he will be rewarded in the Hereafter for his efforts, for man is commanded to work as much as he can. If he erred, he will be given one reward for his efforts. If he discovered what was correct, he will be rewarded ten times as much. All the Sahâbat al-kirâm 'radîy-Allâhu ta'âlâ 'anhum ajma'în' were great scholars, i.e. mujtahids. Among the people who lived immediately after them, there were many great scholars capable of ijtihâd, and each of them was followed by very many people. In the course of time, most of them were forgotten, and among the Ahl as-Sunna, only the four Madhhabs survived. Afterwards, lest someone might come forth and pretend to be a mujtahid and make up a heretical group, the

[1] If they had been declared clearly, it would have been fard or sunna to do them exactly as they were declared. People who did not do the fard would be sinful and those who slighted them would become non-Muslims; life would be very difficult for Muslims.

Ahl as-Sunna did not follow any Madhhab other than these four. Millions of people among the Ahl as-Sunna followed one of these four Madhhabs. Since the beliefs of these four Madhhabs are the same, they do not consider one another wrong, nor do they regard one another as holders of bid'at or heretics. After saying that the right way is the way of these four Madhhabs, a Muslim thinks that his own Madhhab is more likely to be correct. Since Islam does not reveal clearly how the practices that are to be determined through ijtihâd should be done, it is possible for one's own Madhhab to be wrong and one of the remaining three Madhhabs to be right, and it is better for everyone to say, "The Madhhab I follow is right, but it may be wrong as well; the other three Madhhabs are wrong, but one of them may be right as well." Thus, if there is no haraj (difficulty, trouble) it is not permissible to mix the four Madhhabs with one another by doing one thing according to one Madhhab and another thing according to another. A person has to adapt himself in every respect to the Madhhab he follows by learning its teachings when there is no haraj.^[1]

Most scholars said that the Hanâfi Madhhab was closer to being right. Therefore, this Madhhab settled in most Muslim countries. Almost all Muslims in Turkistan, India and Anatolia are Hanâfis. Western Africa is wholly Mâlikî. There are Mâlikîs in some coastal regions of India. Among the Kurds and in Egypt, Arabia and Daghistan, Shâfi'îs are numerous. Hanbalîs are few; at one time there were many in Damascus and Baghdad.

The **al-Edillat ash-Shâri'yya** (documents, sources of Islam) consists of four parts: The Qur'ân al-kerîm, hadîth-i-sherîfs, ijmâ' al-Umma and qiyâs al-fuqahâ'.

When mujtahids could not see in the Qur'ân al-kerîm clearly how a certain practice is to be done, they would resort to hadîth-i-sherîfs. If they could not find it clearly in hadîth-i-sherîfs, either, they would declare that the practice should be done in accordance

[1] Yet, in case of **haraj** (difficulty) in doing something in accordance with his own Madhhab', it is permissible for him to follow another Madhhab in this matter. And this brings about some conditions. He has to observe the conditions of the latter Madhhab concerning the matter when making use of this option. It is written in Ibni 'Âbidîn, in the chapter headlined **Nikâh-i-rij'î**, that the scholars of Hanâfi Madhhab have issued a fatwâ permitting to imitate Mâlikî Madhhab in such cases.

with the *ijmâ'* on that action, if there had been any.^[1]

If the way of doing a certain practice could not be found through the *ijmâ'*, either, then it would be necessary to follow the *qiyâs* of mujtahids. Imâm Mâlik 'rahmatullâhi 'alaih' said that, besides these four documents, the unanimity of the inhabitants of al-Madînat al-munawwara of that time was a document. He said: "Their tradition [unanimity] was handed down from their fathers, from their grandfathers, and originally from Rasûlullah 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam'." He said that this document was more dependable than *qiyâs*. Yet, the imâms of the other there Madhhabs did not consider the inhabitants of Medina a source for documentation.

There were two **methods for *ijtihâd***. One was the method of the 'ulamâ' of Iraq, called the way of *ra'y* (choice) or the way of **qiyâs** (comparison): if it was not declared clearly in Qur'ân al-kerîm or hadîth-i-sherîfs how to do a certain practice, another practice that was clearly expressed in the Qur'ân al-kerîm or hadîth-i-sherîfs and which was similar to the practice in question would be searched for. When it was found, the practice in question would be compared to it and done in a similar way. After the Sahâbat al-kirâm, the leader of the mujtahids of this way was Imâm al-a'zam Abû Hanîfa 'rahmatullâhi 'alaih'.

The second way was the way of the 'ulamâ' of Hidjâz, called the way of **riwâya** (tradition). They considered the traditions of the inhabitants of al-Madînat al-munawwara superior to *qiyâs*. The greatest of the mujtahids of this way was Imâm Mâlik 'rahmatullâhi 'alaih', who lived in al-Madînat al-munawwara. Al-Imâm ash-Shâfi'i and Imâm Ahmad ibn Hanbal 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaihimâ' attended his sohbats. Al-Imâm ash-Shâfi'i, after learning the way of Imâm Mâlik, went to Baghdad and learned the way of al-Imâm al-a'zam 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaih' from his disciples and united these two methods. He established a new approach for *ijtihâd*. Because he was a very eloquent and literary man, he understood the context of âyats and hadîths and decided on each practice in accordance with an alternative he found more

[1] **Ijmâ'** means 'unanimity, consensus; all the Sahâbat al-kirâm's commenting on or doing a certain practice in the same manner.' The *ijmâ'* of the Tâbi'ûn, who succeeded the Sahâbat al-kirâm, also is a document. What the people who succeeded them did or said is not *ijmâ'*, especially if they are today's people or religion reformers or religiously ignorant people.

emphatic. When he could not find an alternative emphatic enough, he himself employed *ijtihâd* according to the way of *qiyâs*. Ahmad ibn Hanbal 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaîh', too, went to Baghdad after learning the way of Imâm Mâlik 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaîh'. There, he acquired a method of *qiyâs* from the disciples of al-Imâm al-a'zam 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaîh'. Yet, because he had memorized a great many *hadîths*, he employed *ijtihâd* first by examining the way whereby *hadîths* corroborated one another. Thus, he disagreed with the other three *Madhhabs* on many points concerning the *Ahkâm-i-islâmiyya*.

The case of these four *Madhhabs* is similar to that of the inhabitants of a town, the notables of which, when they encounter a new problem they cannot find in the law, assemble together and solve it by comparing it to a conformable paragraph of the law. Sometimes they cannot come to a mutual agreement. Some of them say that the purpose of the State is maintenance of towns for the comfort of the people. By reasoning and observing, they solve a problem by using the analogy between that case and a similar case which is defined directly in an article of the law. This procedure is like the *Hanâfi* *Madhab*. Others observe the behaviour of the officials coming from the capital and imitate them in this respect. They say that their behavior indicates the intention of the State. This method is like the *Mâlikî* *Madhab*. Some others find out the way of doing a certain practice by studying the expressions and context of the law. They are similar to the *Shâfi'î* *Madhab*. And some decide the way of doing a certain practice correctly by gathering the other articles of the law and comparing them with one another. They are like the *Hanbalî* *Madhab*. Thus, each of the notables of the town finds a solution and says that his solution is correct and compatible with the law. But what the law approves of is only one of the four, and the other three are wrong. Yet their disagreement with the law is not out of their intention to oppose the law; they strive to carry out the orders of the State. Therefore, none of them is to be regarded guilty. They are likely to be appreciated for striving hard. But those who find out what is right will be appreciated more, and they will be rewarded. The case of the four *Madhhabs* is of this sort. The way Allâhu ta'âlâ likes is certainly only one of them. Concerning a certain practice on which the four *Madhhabs* disagree with one another, one of them must be right and the other three wrong. But, since each *imâm al-madhab* endeavoured to find out the right way, those who were wrong will

be forgiven. They will even be rewarded, because our Prophet 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam' said: "**There is no punishment for my Umma due to mistakes or forgetfulness.**" These differences among them only concern some insignificant affairs. Since there was complete agreement among them concerning belief and on most of the acts of worship, i.e., the rules that are openly stated in the Qur'ân al-kerîm and hadîth-i-sherîfs, they did not criticize one another.

[Question: "Wahhâbîs, a heretical group established by the English, and people who read their books say: 'The Madhhabs emerged in the second century of the Hegira. To which Madhhab did the Sahâba and the Tâbi'ûn belong?'"

Answer: An '**imâm al-madhhab**' was a great scholar who collected religious knowledge that he acquired from the Sahâbat-al-kirâm and which was clearly stated in the Qur'ân al-kerîm and Hadîth ash-sherîf, and committed it to books. As for the teachings that were not declared clearly, he would examine them by comparing them to the ones declared clearly. "There were also many other imâms each having his own Madhhab during the time of the well-known four imâms. But people who followed them decreased in number over the centuries, and, as a result, none are left today."^[1] Each Sahabî was a mujtahîd, a profound scholar, and an imâm al-madhhab. Each had his own Madhhab and was superior to and more learned than the four a'immat al-madhâhib. Their Madhhabs could have been more correct and superior. Yet, because they did not write books, their Madhhabs were forgotten. It soon became no longer possible to follow any Madhhab other than the four. Saying, "To which Madhhab did the Sahâba belong?" is like saying, "To which squadron does the colonel belong?" or, "To which class of the school does the physics master belong?"]

It is written in many books that four hundred years after the Hegira there were no longer any scholars capable of performing mutlaq (absolute) ijtihâd. The hadîth ash-sherîf in the 318th page of **al-Hadîqa** states that false, heretical men of religious post will increase in number. For this reason, every Sunnî Muslim today have to follow (**taqlîd**) one of the known four Madhhabs. That is, they have to read and adopt the '**îlm al-hâl**' books of one of these four Madhhabs and have îmân and do all their practices in

[1] **Al-Hadîqa**, p. 318

accordance with these books. Thus, they will become a member of one of these Madhhabs. A person who does not follow one of them cannot be a Sunnî but a **lâ-madhhabî** person, who either belongs to one of the seventy-two heretical groups or has become a non-Muslim.^[1]

The author of the book **Mîzân-ul kubrâ** ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ‘alaih’ writes in its preface: “All the forgotten Madhhabs and the present four are sahîh and valid. None of them is superior to any other, because they all are based on the same sources of Islam. Each Madhhab has those things which are easy to do (**rukhsa**) as well as difficult ones (**’azîma**). If a person, though he can do the ’azîma, tries to do the rukhsa instead, he will have made a game of Islam. He who has an excuse [unable to do the ’azîma] may do the rukhsa. His doing the rukhsa deserves as much thawâb as would be the case if he had done the ’azîma. It is wâjib for an able person to do the ’azîma instead of the rukhsa of his own Madhhab. Furthermore, if a certain practice which has an easy way only in his own Madhhab has also a difficult way in another Madhhab, it will be wâjib for him to do the latter. One should very much avoid disliking the words of any of the a’immat al-madhâhib or hold one’s own opinion superior to theirs. Others’ knowledge and comprehension are next to nothing when compared with those of mujtahids.”^[2] Since it is not permissible for a person who has no excuse^[3] to act in accordance with the rukhsa of his own Madhhab, it is understood that it is never permissible to search for the rukhsas of other Madhhabs, which is called the **talfîq** (unification) of Madhhabs.

As the author of the book entitled **Durr-ul-mukhtâr**, (i.e. ’Alâuddîn Haskaffî ‘rahmatullâhi ’alaih’, 1021 – 1088 [1677 A.D.], Damascus,) states in his introduction to his book, and also in its annotation entitled **Radd-ul-muhtâr**, which was written by Sayyid Muhammad Emîn bin ’Umar bin ’Abdul’azîz ‘rahmatullâhi ’alaih’ and which is also entitled **Ibni ’Âbidîn**: “It is not sahîh to look for the rukhsas in the Madhhabs and to do an act of worship in

[1] This fact is written in **Bahr**, in **Hindiyya**, in the section on “Zabâiyih” of **at-Tahtâwî** and in the section on “Bâghîs” of **Radd al-muhtâr**. Furthermore, it is written on page 52 of **al-Basâ’ir** that the tafsîr by Ahmad Sâwî states that the same is written in the Sûrat al-Kahf.

[2] **Al-Mîzân al-kubrâ**, preface.

[3] ‘udhr’ is the technical word being used in the Turkish version.

accordance with them, (i.e. by making a mixture of all four Madhhabs.). For example, if the skin of a Shâfi’î with an ablution bleeds, his ablution will not break, while bleeding breaks the ablution of a Hanaffî; on the other hand, a Shâfi’î’s ablution will break if a nâ-mahram woman’s skin touches his skin, although it does will break according to the Hanaffî Madhhab.^[1] Therefore, if a person’s skin bleeds and touches a nâ-mahram woman’s skin after he has made an ablution, the salât he performs with that ablution will not be sahîh. Likewise, it is bâtil (invalid, wrong) according to the unanimity of all the Islamic scholars to follow another Madhhab while doing something according to a Madhhab. For example, if a dog touches a Shâfi’î who, according to his Madhhab, rubs lightly his wet hands on a small area of the hairy part of his own head when performing an ablution, it will not be sahîh for him to perform namâz [without washing the surface the dog has touched] by also following the Mâlikî Madhhab. The namâz of a person whom a dog has touched will not be sahîh according to the Shâfi’î Madhhab. However, according to the Mâlikî Madhhab, a dog is not religiously impure (najs), but a person in the Mâlikî Madhhab has to rub his wet hands on the entire hairy part of his head (when making an ablution). Similarly, talâq (divorce) given under duress is sahîh (valid) in the Hanaffî Madhhab, but it is not sahîh in the other three Madhhabs. Therefore, it is not permissible for this man to follow the Shâfi’î Madhhab and thereby go on being married with the woman whom he has divorced while remaining married at the same time to her sister by following the Hanaffî Madhhab.^[2] It is not sahîh, according to the unanimity of the Islamic scholars to make **taffîq** in doing an act, that is, to search for the rukhsas of the Madhhabs and to act in accordance with them (by following an eclectic policy). It is not permissible to do something without following any one of the four Madhhabs.”^[3] Furthermore, “It is permissible in the Shâfi’î Madhhab to perform the early and late afternoon prayers together and the evening and night prayers together when you have an ’udhr (excuse), such as safar, (which means long-distance journey,) and matar, [which means heavy

[1] Please scan the latter half of the twelfth chapter of the fifth fascicle of **Endless Bliss**.

[2] Please see the fifteenth chapter of the sixth fascicle of **Endless Bliss** for ‘talâq’.

[3] **Durr al-mukhtâr**, preface, and **Radd al-muhtâr**, annotation to it.

rain.] It is not permissible in the Hanafi Madhhab. It is harâm if a Hanaffî, when he is travelling, performs the early afternoon prayer in the time of the late afternoon prayer without any pressing circumstance or difficulty to do so; it is never sahîh for him to perform the late afternoon prayer in the time of the early afternoon prayer. But both cases are sahîh in the Shâfi'î Madhhab. When there is a great difficulty (haraj, mashaqqa) in doing something according to one's own Madhhab, it is permissible for him to choose the easy way (rukhsa) of doing that thing in his own Madhhab. If there is difficulty in doing the rukhsa, too, it will be permissible to follow another Madhhab for that particular 'ibâda. But then he will have to perform the fard and wâjib actions pertaining to that worship in the second Madhhab.”^[1] A person who imitates another Madhhab when doing an act of worship does not go out of his Madhhab; he has not changed his Madhhab. Only, while doing that act, he has to observe the principles of the other Madhhab, too.

Ibn 'Abidîn 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaih' states as follows in the five hundred and forty-second page of the fifth volume of *Radd-ul-muhtâr*: “If a Hanaffî who has performed an ablution without formally intending to perform an ablution performs the early afternoon prayer with this ablution, it will be permissible; if he becomes a Shâfi'î after the arrival of the time for the late afternoon prayer and performs the late afternoon prayer with this ablution, it will not be sahîh. He has to intend formally to perform an ablution and perform an ablution again.”^[2]

“If a person changes his Madhhab for worldly considerations without any religious necessity or without a necessity pertaining to knowledge, he has made a game of Islam. He must be punished. It is feared that he may die without îmân. Allâhu ta'âlâ declared: ‘Ask people who know.’ For this reason, it became wâjib to ask a mujtahid, that is, to follow a Madhhab. Following a Madhhab is possible either by saying what one's Madhhab is or, without saying, by intending to be in it with one's heart. To follow a Madhhab means to read, learn and act according to the teachings of the imâm al-madhhab. One cannot join a Madhhab by saying, ‘I am Hanaffî,’ or ‘I am Shâfi'î,’ without learning or knowing it. Such people should learn how to perform worship

[1] **ibid**, section on times of namâz.

[2] **Radd al-muhtâr**, v. II. p. 542. A formal intention is farz in the Shâfi'î Madhhab, whereas it is not farz in the Hanafi Madhhab.

from religious masters and from 'ilm al-hâl books.^[1]

"A person who despises the Madhhabs and changes his Madhhab in order to choose the easy ways of doing something, [i.e. who unites the Madhhabs and selects and gathers their rukhsas,] will not be accepted as a witness."^[2]

Ibn 'Âbidîn states in his preface that Hârûn ar-Rashîd, the Khalifa, said to Imâm Mâlik: "I want to spread your books all over Muslim countries and order everybody to follow only these books." Imâm Mâlik replied: "O Khalifa! Don't do that! Scholars' differing into Madhhabs is Allâhu ta'âlâ's compassion upon the Umma. Everyone follows the Madhhab he likes. All the Madhhabs are correct."

A '**Mu'min**' or '**Muslim**' or '**Muslimân**' is one who believes and accepts the Islamic teachings that were communicated to humanity through Muhammad 'alaihis-salâm' by Allâhu ta'âlâ and which have spread over Muslim countries. These teachings were declared in the Qur'ân al-kerîm and explained in thousands of hadîths. The Sahâbat al-kirâm heard them from the Prophet 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam'. The **Salaf as-sâlihîn**, i.e., the Islamic scholars who came after the Sahâbat al-kirâm in the second and third centuries, wrote them in their books as they heard them directly or through other scholars who had heard them from the Sahâbat al-kirâm. Islamic scholars who succeeded them differed from one another in their explanation of the knowledge reported by the Salaf as-sâlihîn; thus, seventy-three groups differing in the teachings pertaining to tenets of belief came into being. Only one of these groups did not follow their personal thoughts and opinions or change or add anything in their elucidations. This group with correct credo is called the **Ahl as-Sunna** or **Sunnî**. The remaining seventy-two groups who digressed as a result of erroneous interpretation and explanation of unclear ayâts and hadîths are called groups of **bid'a** (or **dalâla**, deviation, heresy) or the **lâ-madhhabî**; they are Muslims, too, but they are in heresy.

Some people, instead of deriving the knowledge of belief from the books of the Salaf as-sâlihîn 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaihim ajma'în', interpret the Qur'ân al-kerîm and hadîth ash-sherîfs in accordance with only their own minds and opinions; thus their creed deviates completely and they become disbelievers called

[1] **Radd ul-muhtâr**, section on ta'zîr.

[2] **ibid**, section on witness.

mulhids. The **mulhid** thinks of himself as a sincere Muslim and of the Umma of Muhammad ‘alaihis-salâm’. The **munâfiq** pretends to be a Muslim but is in another religion. The **zindiq** is an atheist and does not believe in any religion, but pretends to be a Muslim in order to make Muslims irreligious and atheistic. He strives to make reforms in Islam and to annihilate Islam by changing and defiling it. He is hostile to Islam. Examples of such irreligious people are freemasons and English spies.^[1]

Teachings that must be believed in order to be a Muslim are not only the six tenets of îmân. To be a Muslim, it is also obligatory to ‘believe’ that it is necessary to do the widely known fards and to avoid and not to do the harâms. A person who denies the fact that it is one’s primary duty to do the fards and to avoid the harâms will lose his faith and become a **murtadd** (renegade, apostate, proselyte). A person who believes it but does not do one or more of the fards or commits one or more of the harâms is a Muslim, but he is a guilty, sinful Muslim. Such a Muslim is called **fâsiq**. Doing the fards and avoiding the harâms are called “performing ‘ibâdâ.” A Muslim who tries to perform the ‘ibâdât and who repents immediately when he has a fault is called **sâlih**.

Today, it is not excusable for a person who lives in the free world not to know the six tenets of îmân and the widely known fards and harâms. It is a grave sin not to learn them. It is necessary to learn them briefly and to teach them to one’s children. If one neglects to learn them as a result of flippancy, one becomes a **kâfir** (disbeliever). Any non-Muslim who merely says, “**Eshhadu an lâ ilâha il-l-Allah wa eshhadu anna Muhammadan ’abduhu wa Rasûluh**,” and knows and believes its meaning becomes a Muslim immediately. Yet, later on he has to learn gradually the six tenets of îmân and the widely known fards and harâms for every Muslim, and Muslims who know them have to teach him. If he does not learn them he goes out of Islam and becomes a **murtadd**. It is necessary to learn them from genuine **’ilm al-hâl** books written by the Ahl as-Sunna scholars. [He should not believe speeches delivered or books written by professors who are unenlightened concerning the sunnî teachings.]

[1] Please see the book entitled ‘Confessions of A British Spy’, one of the publications of **Hakîkat Kitâbevi** in Istanbul, Turkey.

MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION

SEPARATISTS, HERETICAL MADHHABS

There are two major groups of Muslims. One of them is the group termed **Ahl as-Sunnat**. Muslims in this major group, which is the (only) right and correct group, and which is called (the Madhhab of) Ahl as-Sunnat, have parted into four different Madhhabs. (Muslims in) these four groups hold the same tenets of belief, the same *fmân*.

There is no difference between them in Islam. All of them hold the beliefs of the Ahl as-Sunna. The second major group consists of people who do not hold the same tenets of belief as that of the Sunnî group and called people of **bid'a**, i.e. the “**lâ madhhabî**.” Examples of these aberrant groups are Shiites and Wahhâbîs. People who follow such heretics as Ibni Taymiyya and Jemâladdîn Afghânî and Muhammad 'Abduh and Sayyid Qutb and Mawdûdî, and people who call themselves Tablîgh-i-jamâ'at, and Wahhâbîs are (in the aberrant major group called) Ahl-i-bid'at. Wahhâbîs call themselves “members of the fifth madhhab.” This claim of theirs is not true. There is no such thing as a “**fifth madhhab**.” Today there is no way other than learning Islamic knowledge from the 'ilm al-hâl books of one of these four Madhhabs. Everyone chooses the Madhhab that is easy for them to follow. They read its books and learn it. They do everything compatibly with it, follow it, and become a member of it (**taqlîd**). Because it is easy for a person to learn what he hears and sees from his parents, a Muslim usually belongs to the Madhhab of his parents. There being four Madhhabs instead of being one is a convenience for Muslims. It is permissible to leave one Madhhab and join another, yet it will take years to study and learn the new one, and the work done for learning the former one will be of no use and may even cause confusion while doing many things. It is by no means permissible to leave one Madhhab because one dislikes it, for Islamic scholars said that it will be disbelief (*kufr*) to dislike the Salaf as-sâlihîn or to say that they were ignorant.

Recently some people like Mawdûdî of Pakistan and Sayyid Qutb and Rashîd Ridâ of Egypt have appeared. They and their misguided readers say that the four Madhhabs should be united and that Islam should be made easy by selecting and gathering the rukhsas of the four Madhhabs. They defend this idea with their short minds and deficient knowledge. A glance over their books

will show at once the fact that they know nothing about *Tafsîr*, *Hadîth*, *Usûl* or *Fiqh*, and that they reveal their ignorance through their unsound logic and false writings. Consider the following:

1) Scholars of the four *Madhhabs* say, “The *mulfiq*’s deduction is incorrect,” i.e. an act of worship performed by following more than one *Madhab* at the same time will be *bâtil* (invalid), not *sahîh*, when this performance is not *sahîh* in any one of the *Madhhabs*. A person who does not obey the unanimity of the scholars of the four *Madhhabs* ‘*rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaihim ajma’în*’ will not be in any *Madhab*. He will be a *lâ madhhâbî*. Deeds of such a *lâ-madhhâbî* person will not be compatible with Islam. They will be worthless. He will have made a game of Islam.

2) Confining Muslims and their *’ibâdât* to a single way will make Islam more difficult. *Allâhu ta’âlâ* and His Prophet ‘*sall-Allâhu ’alaihi wa sallam*’ would have declared everything clearly if they wished it so and everything would be done by following only that one way. But, pitying human creatures, *Allâhu ta’âlâ* and His Messenger ‘*sall-Allâhu ’alaihi wa sallam*’ did not declare everything clearly. Various *Madhhabs* came out as a result of the explanations of the scholars of the *Ahl as-Sunna* ‘*rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaihim ajma’în*’. When a person encounters some difficulty, he chooses an easy way in his own *Madhab*. In case of greater difficulty, he follows another *Madhab* and does that act easily. There would be no such convenience in case there were only one *Madhab*. The *lâ-madhhâbî* who think that they are collecting the *rukhsas* to establish a single system of easy ways are, in actual fact, inventing hardships for Muslims, probably without being aware of what they are doing.

3) An attempt to do one part of an act of worship according to one *Madhab* and another part according to another *Madhab* will mean to mistrust the knowledge of the *imâm* of the former *Madhab*. As is written above, it will be *kufr* to say that the *Salaf as-sâlihîn* ‘*rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaihim ajma’în*’ were ignorant.

History has witnessed many people who wanted to make changes in worship and who insulted the scholars of the *Ahl as-Sunna* ‘*rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaihim ajma’în*’. It is obvious that the people who say it is necessary to select the *rukhsas* of the *Madhhabs* and to abolish the four *Madhhabs* cannot even correctly read or understand one page of the *a’immat al-madhâhib*’s books. For, understanding the *Madhhabs* and the superiority of the *a’imma*, (i.e. the *Imâms* [Leaders] of the four

Madhhabs,] requires being deeply learned. A person who is profoundly learned will not lead people to ruination by opening an ignorant and idiotic path. Believing the ignorant and heretical people, who have emerged in the course of history, leads one to perdition. Following the scholars of **Ahl as-Sunna**, who have come in every century for fourteen hundred years and who have been praised in hadîths, guides to happiness. We, too, should hold fast to the right way of our ancestors, of those pious, pure Muslims, of those martyrs who sacrificed their lives for the Name of Allâhu ta’âlâ and for the promulgation of Islam. And we should not be misguided by the poisonous, harmful articles of upstart reformers!

Unfortunately, however, the poisonous ideas of 'Abduh, the chief of the Cairo Masonic Lodge, have recently spread in Jâmi' al-Azhar in Egypt; thus, in Egypt there have appeared **religion reformers** such as Rashîd Ridâ; Mustafâ al-Marâghî, rector of the Jâmi' al-Azhar; 'Abd al-Majîd as-Salîm, muftî of Cairo; Mahmûd ash-Shaltut; Tantawî al-Jawharî; 'Abd ar-Râziq Pasha; Zakî al-Mubârak; Ferîd al-Wajdî; 'Abbâs 'Aqqâd; Ahmad Amîn; Doctor Tâhâ Husain Pasha; Qâsim Amîn; and Hasan al-Bannâ. Even sadder to say, as was done about their master 'Abduh, these people have been regarded as "modern Muslim scholars," and their books have been translated into various languages. They have caused many ignorant religious men and young Muslims to slip out of the right way.

The Great Muslim scholar Sayyid 'Abdulhakîm-i Arwâsî 'rahmatullâhi 'alaîh', the mujaddid of the fourteenth century of the Hegira, said: "'Abduh, Muftî of Cairo, could not understand the greatness of the Islamic scholars. He sold himself to the enemies of Islam and finally became a freemason and one of the ferocious disbelievers who have been demolishing Islam insidiously."

People who rolled down into disbelief or bid'a or heresy, like 'Abduh, always competed with one another in misleading also those young religious men who succeeded them. They pioneered the disasters which were foretold in the hadîth ash-sherîf, "**Ruination of my Umma will come through the fâjir (heretical) men of religious authority.**"

After 'Abduh's death in Egypt in 1323 (1905 A.D.), the novices whom he trained in Egypt did not stay idle; they published numerous harmful books which incurred manifestation of a Divine Curse and Wrath. One of them is the

book **Muhâwarât** by Rashîd Ridâ. In this book, he attacked, like his master, the four Madhhabs of the Ahl as-Sunna and, thinking of the Madhhabs as idealistic differences and misrepresenting the methods and conditions of ijtihad as reactionary controversies, went so far into heresy as to say that they had broken Islamic unity. He simply made fun of millions of true Muslims who had been following one of the four Madhhabs for a thousand years. He journeyed as far away from Islam as to search for the ways of meeting contemporary needs in changing of Islam. The only thing that is common among religion reformers is that each of them introduces himself as a real Muslim and an Islamic scholar of extensive knowledge who has comprehended real Islam and modern needs. As for the people who have read and understood Islamic books and who have been following in the footsteps of the scholars of the Ahl as-Sunna, who were given the good news that they were Rasûlullah's "alaihis-salâm" inheritors and who were praised in the hadîth ash-sherîf: "**Their time is the best of times;**" they describe those true and pious Muslims as 'mere vulgarly thinking imitators'. The reformers' declamations and articles show clearly that they know nothing of the rules of Islam or the teachings of Fiqh; that is, they are devoid of religious knowledge and are grossly ignorant. In the hadîths, "**The highest people are the scholars who have îmân**"; "**Religious scholars are Prophets' inheritors**"; "**The heart's knowledge is a secret of Allâhu ta'âlâ's mysteries**"; "**The Islamic scholar's sleep is an 'ibâda**"; "**Revere the scholars of my Umma! They are the stars on the earth**"; "**Scholars will intercede on the Day of Judgement**"; "**The Fuqahâ' are inestimable. It is an act of worship to be in their company**," and "**An Islamic scholar among his disciples is like a Prophet among his Umma**," does our Prophet 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam' praise the Ahl as-Sunna scholars of thirteen hundred years or 'Abduh and his novices, the upstarts who sprang up later? The question is answered by our master Rasûlullah 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam' again: "**Each century will be worse than the century prior to it. This worsening will continue till Doomsday!**" and "**As Doomsday draws near, men of religious post will be more rotten, more putrid than putrefied donkey flesh.**" These hadîths are written in **Mukhtasaru Tadhkirat al-Qurtubî**. All Islamic scholars and thousands of Awliyâ', whom Rasûlullah 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam' praised and lauded, unanimously say that the way which has been given the good news of salvation

from Hell is the way guided by those Islamic scholars who are called the **Ahl as-Sunnat wa-l-jamâ'a**, and that those who are not Sunnî will go to Hell. They also say unanimously that **talfîq** (unification), i.e. selecting and gathering the rukhsas of the four Madhhabs and making up a single false Madhhab, is wrong and absurd.

Will a reasonable person follow the way of the Ahl as-Sunna, which has been praised unanimously by Islamic scholars 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaihim ajma'în', who have come throughout a millennium, or will he believe the so-called "cultured, progressive" people who are unaware of Islam and who have sprung up within the latest hundred years?

Eminent and talkative ones of the seventy-two heretical groups, who the hadîth ash-sherîf states will go to Hell, have always attacked the scholars of Ahl as-Sunna 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaihim ajma'în' and attempted to censure these blessed Muslims; yet they have been disgraced with answers corroborated with âyats and hadîths. Seeing that they were unsuccessful with knowledge against the Ahl as-Sunna, they embarked on raid and murder, killing thousands of Muslims in every century. On the other hand, Muslims of the four Madhhabs of the Ahl as-Sunna have always loved one another and lived brotherly.

Rasûlullah 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam' stated: "**Muslims' parting into Madhhabs in matters of daily life is Allâhu ta'âlâ's compassion** [for them]." But such religion reformers as Rashîd Ridâ, who was born in 1282 [1865 A.D.] and died suddenly in Cairo in 1354 [1935 A.D.], said that they would establish Islamic unity by uniting the four Madhhabs. On the other hand, our Prophet 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam' commanded all Muslims throughout the world to unite on one single way of îmân, on the right way of his four Khalîfas. By working together, Islamic scholars 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaihim ajma'în' searched and studied the four Khalîfas' way of îmân and transferred it into books. They named this unique way, which our Prophet had commanded, **Ahl as-Sunnat wa-l-jamâ'a**. Muslims all over the world have to unite on this single way of the **Ahl as-Sunna**. Those who wish for unity in Islam, if they are sincere in their words, should join this established union. By contrast, freemasons and zindiqs, who have been trying to demolish Islam insidiously, have always deceived Muslims with such false words as 'unity' and,

under the mask of their slogan, “We shall bring cooperation,” they have broken the “unity of īmān” into pieces.

Enemies of Islam have been trying to annihilate Islam since the first (Islamic) century. As of today, freemasons, communists, Jews and Christians organize various planned attacks. Also, those heretical Muslims, who, as it was declared, will go to Hell, play tricks and slander the Ahl as-Sunna, the followers of the right way, and mislead Muslims off the true way. Thus they cooperate with the enemies of Islam in order to demolish the Ahl as-Sunna. These attacks also have been pioneered by the **British**, who have employed all their imperial resources, treasures, armed forces, fleets, technology, politicians and writers in this ignoble war of theirs. So they have demolished the world’s two greatest Muslim states that had been protectors of the Ahl as-Sunna, namely the Gurgāniyya State^[1] in India and the Ottoman Islamic Empire, which had extended over three continents. They have annihilated Islam’s valuable books in all countries and swept away Islamic teachings from many countries. In the Second World War, communists were about to perish altogether, when they received a last-ditch British succor, which helped them to regain their strength and spread all over the world. In 1917, British Prime Minister (1902-5) James Balfour established the **Zionist** organization, which worked for the reestablishment of a Jewish state in Palestine, a holy place for Muslims, and the continuous support given to this organization by the British Government resulted in the establishment of the State of Israel in 1366 [1947 A.D.]. It is the British Government, again, that caused the establishment of the Wahhābīte State in 1351 [1932 A.D.] by delivering to the Sons of Sa’ūd the Arabian Peninsula which they had grasped from the Ottomans. Thus they dealt the most fatal blow to Islam.

Abdurrahîd Ibrahim Efendi says in a passage entitled “The Hostility of the British Towards Islam” in the second volume of

[1] Also called the Timurid State or the Bâbur (Baber) Empire, founded in India in 933 [1526 A.D.] by Zahir-ud-dîn Muhammad Bâbur ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ‘alaih’ (888 [1482 A.D.] – 937 [1530]), a fifth generation descendant of Timûr Khân (Tamerlain), also called Emir Timûr Gurgân ‘rahmatullâhi ‘alaih’ (736 [1336 A.D.] – 807 [1405]). Please see **Confessions of A British Spy**, one of the publications of **Hakîkat Kitâbevi**.

the Turkish book '**Âlam-i İslâm**' printed in Istanbul in 1328 (1910 A.D.): "It was the chief aim of the British to abrogate the Caliphate of Muslims as soon as possible. It was a plot arranged by them to encourage Crimean Turks to revolt against the Ottoman State so that they could demolish the Caliphate. Their secret and tricky intention was seen clearly through the Treaty of Paris. They exposed the hostility in their hearts in the propositions which they made in the Lausanne Treaty, which was held in 1923. Whatever the disguise, all the disasters that fell upon the Turks were always caused by the British. To destroy Islam has ever been the main political aim of British politicians, for they have always feared Islam. They have been using mercenary consciences to deceive Muslims. These treacherous and hypocritical people are presented by the British as Islamic scholars. In short, the greatest enemy of Islam are the British."

Not only were Muslim countries stained with blood by the British for hundreds of years, but also Scotch freemasons deceived thousands of Muslims and religious men, made them freemasons, and through such falsities as "helping humanity, brotherhood," caused them to dissent from Islam and become apostates willingly. In order to annihilate Islam thoroughly, they used these masonic apostates as tools. Thus, freemasons such as Mustafâ Rashîd Pasha, 'Âlî Pasha, Fuad Pasha, Midhat Pasha and Tal'at Pasha were used to demolish Islamic states. Freemasons such as Jamâl ad-dîn al-Afghânî, Muhammad 'Abduh and novices trained by them were the cat's paws in defiling and annihilating Islamic knowledge. Of the hundreds of destructive and subversive books written by these masons, who occupied religious posts, the book **Muhâwarât** by the Egyptian Rashîd Ridâ was translated into many languages and distributed in Islamic countries; with this method, they have been trying to defile Muslims' religion and faith. And it is seen that those young religious men who have not read or understood the books of the scholars of Ahl as-Sunna 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaihim ajma'în' have been seized by this current and pushed into perdition and have also brought perdition to others.

The book **Muhâwarât** attacks the four Madhhabs of the Ahl as-Sunna, denies **ijmâ'** **al-Umma**, one of the four sources of Islamic knowledge, and says that everybody should act upon what he deduces from the Book (Qur'ân al-Kerîm) and the Sunna

(hadîth ash-sherîfs); thus, it attempts to exterminate Islamic teachings.^[1]

It is stated at the end of the book **Khulâsat-ut-tahqîq** that a Muslim either has become a mujtahid or has not reached the grade of ijtihâd. A mujtahid is either **mutlaq** (absolute) or **muqayyad** (affiliated to a Madhhâb). It is not permissible for a mujtahid mutlaq to follow another mujtahid; he has to follow his own ijtihâd. However, it is wâjib for a mujtahid muqayyad to follow the methods of the Madhhâb of a mujtahid mutlaq; and he acts upon his own ijtihâd which he employs in accordance with these methods.

Non-mujtahids should follow whichever one they choose of the four Madhhâbs. However, when doing an act in accordance with a certain Madhhâb, they have to observe all the conditions required by that Madhhâb for it to be sahîh. If they do not observe even one of the conditions, their act (of worship) will not be sahîh; it has been stated unanimously that such an act will be in vain (bâtil). Although they do not have to believe that their Madhhâb is superior, they had better believe so. **Talfîq**, that is, to do any worship or any act in accordance with the rules of more than one Madhhâbs that disagree with one another or, to put it more clearly, to select eclectically those rules of these Madhhâbs which disagree with one another in performing that worship, means to go out of the four Madhhâbs and to make up a fifth Madhhâb. This worship will not be sahîh in any of the Madhhâbs mixed with one another; it will be in vain and will mean to make a game of Islam. For example, if some najâsa has been dropped into a certain amount of water of less than **hawd kebîr** and more than **qullatain**^[2] and if the colour, taste or odor of the water has not changed and if a person performs ablution with this water without intending formally (niyya) to perform an ablution and if he does not wash

[1] In order to inform Muslim brothers of the tricks and harms of this book, we prepared our **Answer to an Enemy of Islam** in 1394 (1974 A.D.) and published it in Turkish and English. Also, seeing that the book **Khulâsat at-tahqîq fi bayâni hukmi-t-taqlîd wa-t-talfîq** by the great Muslim scholar 'Abd al-Ghanî an-Nabulusî 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaih' and the book **Hujjat-Allâhi 'ala-l-âlamîn** by Yûsuf an-Nabhânî 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaih' and **Saif al-abrâr** by Muhammad 'Abd ar-Rahmân as-Silhatî 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaih', one of the 'ulamâ' of India, were the exact refutations to this harmful book, we have reproduced these books by offset process and published them. (They are available from Hakîkat Kitâbevi in Istanbul, Turkey.)

[2] **Hawd kebîr**, 'great pool' of at least 25 square meters; **qullatain**, 217.75 kg.

certain parts of his body in the prescribed succession and if he does not rub his hands gently against them and if he does not wash them one right after another and if he begins his ablution without saying the Basmala, his ablution will not be sahîh according to any of the four a'immat al-madhhâhib. He who says that it is sahîh will have made up a fifth Madhhab. Even a mujtahid cannot suggest a fifth opinion disagreeing with the unanimity of the four Madhhabs. [The amount of water equaling a **qullatain** is explained in detail in the seventh chapter of the fourth fascicle of the book **Endless Bliss**.] Sadr ash-Sharî'a writes in his book **Tawdîh**: "When two different reports concerning something were transmitted from the Sahâbat al-kirâm, the posterior scholars were not permitted to propose a third one according to unanimity. There are also those (scholars) who said that the scholars of every century would be like the Sahâbat al-kirâm." Molla Khusraw 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaih' wrote in his work **Mir'ât al-usûl**: "When two different reports about doing something were transmitted from the scholars of the first century, it is not permissible, according to unanimity (of scholars), to suggest a third report. It is sahîh to say that the scholars of every century were like the Sahâbat al-kirâm." Jalâl ad-dîn al-Mihâllî, the first author of the tafsîr book **al-Jalâlain**, says in the commentary to **Jam' al-Jawâmi'** by as-Suyûtî: "It is harâm to disagree with ijmâ' (consensus of Islamic scholars). It is prohibited in the Qur'ân al-kerîm. For that reason, it is harâm to express a third opinion about something on which the Salaf as-sâlihiîn disagreed."

"One's doing an act of worship by following rules of the two, three or four Madhhabs disagreeing with one another is disobedience to the ijmâ' of these Madhhabs; such an act of worship will not be sahîh in any of these Madhhabs. In other words, **talfîq** is not permissible. Qâsim ibn Qatlûbagha writes in **at-Tashîh**: "It is unanimously stated that it is not sahîh to do an act of worship by following two different ijtihâds. For this reason, if a person, while performing an ablution, does not rub his wet hands over all his head and if then a dog touches him and then he performs namâz, his namâz will not be sahîh (valid). It is also written in the book **Tawqîf al-hukkâm** by Shihâb ab-dîn Ahmad ibn al-'Imâd 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaih', a Shâfi'i scholar, that such a namâz will be wrong according to the unanimity." According to Imâm Mâlik and al-Imâm ash-Shâfi'i 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaihimâ', the ablution and namâz of such a person will not be sahîh because he did not rub his wet hands on his whole head,

which is one of the fards of an ablution according to the former imām, and he touched a dog, which makes his ablution null and void according to the latter.

Muhammad al-Baghdādī ‘rahmatullāhi ta’ālā ’alaih’, a Hanaffi scholar, writes in his booklet entitled **Taqlīd**: “There are three stipulations to be fulfilled for imitating another Madhhab. The first one, which is also written by Ibn Humām in his work, **Tahrīr**, is that a person cannot finish in another Madhhab an act of worship which he began in accordance with his own Madhhab. For example, he cannot perform namâz in accordance with the Shāfi’î Madhhab with an ablution which he performed in accordance with the Hanaffi Madhhab. The second stipulation, as quoted by Ibn Humām in his **Tahrīr** from Ahmad ibn Idrīs al-Qarāfî, is that the act of worship he is doing should not be judged to be invalid by both of the Madhhabs he is following; if he, while performing an ablution, follows the Shāfi’î Madhhab and does not rub his hand on those parts of his body he has to wash in an ablution, and then if he touches a woman he is permitted to marry thinking his ablution will not break by doing so according to the Mâlikî Madhhab, the namâz he performs with this ablution will not be sahîh according to either Madhhab. The third stipulation is that one should not seek after the rukhsas^[1] of the Madhhabs.” Imām an-Nawawî and many other scholars emphasized the importance of this stipulation. Ibn Humām did not state this stipulation. Hasan ash-Shernblâlî writes in his **al-’Iqd al-farîd**: “Nikâh performed without the presence of the **wâlî** (guardian of either of the would-be couple who is not yet pubescent) by following the Hanaffi Madhhab or that which is performed without the presence of eye-witnesses by following the Mâlikî Madhhab, will be sahîh. However, the nikâh performed with the absence of both the guardian and the eye-witnesses will not be sahîh. Because it would be very difficult for the common people to observe this third stipulation they have been prohibited to imitate another Madhhab unless there is a darûra^[2] to do so. It has

[1] Easier ways in doing acts of worship, versus ’azîmats, which are harder but better ways. Please see the seventeenth chapter of the sixth fascicle of **Endless Bliss**.

[2] A darûrat is a situation which hinders one from performing an act of worship which is farz in one’s own Madhhab or from avoiding something which is harâm in one’s Madhhab, and which one cannot help.

been said that it will not be sahîh to imitate another Madhhab without consulting an Islamic scholar.” At this point we end our quotation from Muhammad Baghdâdî.

Ismâ’îl an-Nablusî ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaih’, in his annotation to the commentary to **ad-Durar**, refers to **al-’Iqd al-farîd** and says: “You do not have to remain attached to a Madhhab. You can do an act of worship by imitating another Madhhab as well. But then you have to observe all the conditions required in that Madhhab for that worship. You can perform two acts of worship not related to each other in two different ways by following two different Madhhabs.” Necessity of observing all the conditions when imitating another Madhhab exposes the fact that unification (talfîq) of the Madhhabs is not sahîh.

’Abd ar-Rahmân al-’Imâdî ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaih’, a Hanâfî scholar, says in his book **al-Muqaddima**: “A person can imitate any of the three Madhhabs other than his when there is a darûrat. Yet, he has to observe all the conditions required in that Madhhab for that worship. For example, a Hanâfî who performs an ablution from a qullatain amount of water stained with najâsa by imitating the Shâfi’î Madhhab, has to intend formally for performing the ablution, has to rub his hand gently on those parts of his body that have to be washed in ablution, has to recite al-Fâtiha when performing the namâz behind the imâm [in jamâ’at], and must certainly observe the ta’dîl al-arkân. It has been stated unanimously that his namâz will not be sahîh unless he does all of these.” His remark ‘darûrat’ for imitating another Madhhab was superfluous. By ‘darûrat’ he must have meant the ‘need’ for imitating; for, according to the majority of the ‘ulamâ’, one does not have to follow continuously the same Madhhab. One can follow another Madhhab if a difficulty (haraj) appears while following one’s Madhhab. All of what has been written so far shows that unification (talfîq) of the Madhhabs is not sahîh.

Ibn Humâm’s work **Tahrîr** does not contain any statements indicating that talfîq is sahîh. Muhammad al-Baghdâdî and al-Imâm al-Manâwî write that Ibn Humâm says in the book **Fath al-qadîr**: “It is sinful to transfer oneself to another Madhhab by using an ijtihâd or a document as a proof. Ta’zîr (chastisement) should be inflicted on such a person. It is even worse to transfer without an ijtihâd, a support. To transfer (in this context) means to act and perform an ’ibâdât in accordance with another Madhhab. One cannot transfer by only saying that one has transferred. This is called a promise, not a transfer. Even if one says so, one will not

have to follow that Madhhab. The âyat al-kerîma, ‘**Ask those who know about what you do not know**,’ commands us to ask a person who is known [strongly thought] to be an Islamic scholar about a (religious) rule. Scholars’ prohibition against changing one’s Madhhab is intended to prevent an attempt at collecting the rukhsas of the Madhhabs. To many scholars, every Muslim can follow the ijtihâd which comes easier to him in different matters.” If an ignoramus says that Ibn Humâm’s last statement shows that unification of the Madhhabs is sahîh, this reasoning of his is wrong; for, the statement shows that one act shall be done entirely in accordance with a single Madhhab, not by following more than one Madhhab. Those who do not belong to a Madhhab and religion reformers who cannot understand this put forward Ibn Humâm as a false witness for themselves. On the contrary, Ibn Humâm writes clearly in his work **Tahrîr** that unification of the Madhhabs is not permissible.

Islam’s reformers point to Ibn Nujaim’s ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alâih’ writing as an example for permission for talfîq, which says: “It is written in a fatwâ issued by Qâdî-Khân that if a piece of land area devoted as a waqf is sold at a ghaban fâhîsh price, it will be unlawful, according to Abû Yûsuf ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alâih’, because of the ghaban fâhîsh price. On the other hand, according to Abû Hanîfa, it is permissible for the deputy to sell it at ghaban fâhîsh (exorbitant)^[1] price; so the two ijtihâds are unified to make the sale sahîh.” However, the talfîq in this example takes place within the same one Madhhab. Both judgements are the results of the same Usûl. Not so is the case with the talfîq of two Madhhabs. Another evidence showing that Ibni Nujaym does not say that talfîq is permissible is his own statement, “A person who becomes imâm for a jamâ’at whose members are in another Madhhab (and conducts the namâz in jamâ’at) has to observe the principles of that Madhhab, too,” which exists in **Bahr-ur-râiq**, a commentary he wrote to the book **Kanz**.^[2] At this point we end our translation from the final part of the book **Khulâsa-t-ut-tahqîq**.

Muhammad ’Abd ar-Rahmân as-Silhatî ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ

[1] Please scan the forty-fourth chapter of the fifth fascicle of **Endless Bliss** for ‘waqf’, and the thirtieth chapter of the same book for ‘ghaban fâhîsh’. Also the book entitled **Islam’s Reformers**, one of our publications, provides detailed information about people who try to remodel Islam.

[2] **Khulâsat at-tahqîq**, final part.

'alaih', a scholar of India, wrote in his Persian book **Seyf al-abrâr al-maslûl 'ala-l-fujjâr**: "While explaining the hadîth ash-sherîf, **'Make it easy! Do not make it difficult!'** in his commentary to **Mishkât**, 'Allâma Hâfiż Hasan ibn Muhammad at-Tâyyib^[1] 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaih' says: "A person who gathers the easy ways of the Madhhabs becomes a zindiq." In summary:

1) Every Muslim has to follow one of the four Madhhabs when he performs an act or worship or any other act. It is not permissible to follow a scholar who is not in one of the four Sunnî Madhhabs.

2) Every Muslim may follow any of the four Madhhabs which he likes and which he finds easier for him. He may perform an act of worship in accordance with one Madhhab and another act or worship in accordance with another Madhhab.

3) As for performing an act of worship in accordance with more than one Madhhabs; it will be necessary to observe all the requirements of one of these Madhhabs for the soundness of that worship, and for that worship to be sahîh in that Madhhab. This is called **taqwâ**, and is very good. One would have followed (**taqlîd**) that Madhhab and would have observed the conditions in the other Madhhabs. Following a Madhhab is permissible provided one will observe all its conditions. If one's worship is not sahîh according to any of the Madhhabs he follows, this is called **talfîq**, which is never permissible.

4) One does not have to always remain attached to the Madhhab one has chosen. One can transfer oneself to another Madhhab any time one likes. Adapting oneself to any Madhhab requires learning well the teachings of Fiqh in that Madhhab, which can be learned from 'ilm al-hâl books. Therefore, it will be easier to remain attached to one Madhhab all the time. It is difficult to transfer oneself to or, in a certain matter, to imitate another Madhhab. It can be done only in case of a necessity, that is, when there is haraj, and on condition that one shall observe all its conditions.

Because it is also very difficult to learn the knowledge of Fiqh in another Madhhab, scholars of Fiqh prohibited the ignorant, i.e. those who do not have knowledge of Fiqh, to imitate another Madhhab. For example, it is written in **Bahr al-fatâwâ**: "If a

[1] At-Tâyyibî passed away in Damascus in 743 (1343 A.D.). First edition of his book was published in India in 1300 (1882 A.D.).

person in the Hanafî Madhab has a wound bleeding continuously and if it is difficult for him to make an ablution at every prayer time, it is not permissible for him to perform namâz as prescribed in the Shâfiî Madhab without observing the conditions of this Madhab.” Ibn ’Âbidîn explains this in detail in the chapter about “Ta’zîr.” In order to protect the ignorant’s worship against nullity, scholars of the Ahl as-Sunna ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaihim ajma’în’ did not permit them to imitate another Madhab except in case of haraj (difficulty).

At-Tahtâwî writes: “Some scholars of Tafsîr say that the 103rd âyat of Sûrat Âl-i ’Imrân, **‘Hold fast to Allâhu ta’âlâ’s rope,’** means, ‘Hold fast to what the Fuqahâ say.’ People who do not follow books of Fiqh will fall into heresy, be deprived of the aid of Allâhu ta’âlâ, and be burned in the fire of Hell. O Believers! Meditate over this âyat-i kerîma and cling to the group of the **Ahl as-Sunnat wa-l-jamâ’â**, who have been given the glad tidings that they shall be saved from Hell. Allâhu ta’âlâ’s grace and help are only for those who are in this group. Allâhu ta’âlâ will treat people who are not in this group with wrath and torment in Hell. Today, being among the Ahl as-Sunna requires following one of the four Madhhab; a person who does not follow one of the four Madhhab is a man of bid’â and will go to Hell.”^[1] A person who has gathered the easy ways of the four Madhhab will not have followed any of the four Madhhab. As is seen, a person who does not follow any of the four Madhhab is a lâ-madhhabî. A person who makes talfiq of the four Madhhab, that is, by mixing the four, acts according to any Madhab that comes easy to him, is a lâ-madhhabî, too. Also, one who follows one of the four Madhhab but holds a belief unconformable to the Ahl as-Sunna is a lâ-madhhabî. These three are not Sunnîs, they are people of bid’â who follow heresy (dalâlâ). True Muslims, however, follow one of the four Madhhab, i.e. the ‘true way’; in other words, they become Sunnî Muslims. The four Madhhab share the same credal tenets. The few minor differences among them are the fruits of Allâhu ta’âlâ’s magnanimity. Every Muslim chooses one of the four Madhhab that he finds easier for him/her.

[1] At-Tahtâwî’s commentary to **Durr al-mukhtâr**, section on ‘Zabâiyah’.

2 — THE FAITH OF THE AHL AS-SUNNA

I write the following lines after offering my hamd to Allâhu ta’âlâ. ‘Hamd’ means to believe the fact that Allâhu ta’âlâ, alone, creates all sorts of blessings and sends them (to us), and to express this fact. A blessing means something useful. Shukr (gratitude, thanksgiving) means to utilize all blessings in a manner agreeable with the Ahkâm-i-islâmiyya, (i.e. commandments and prohibitions of Allâhu ta’âlâ.) The blessings are written in the books of Ahl as-Sunnat scholars. The Ahl as-Sunnat scholars are the scholars in the four Madhhabs.

Imâm Muhammad al-Ghazâlî ‘rahmatullâhi ’alaih’ writes in his book **Kimyâ-i Sa’âdat**: “When a person becomes a Muslim, it will primarily be fard for him to know and believe the meaning of the phrase **Lâ ilâha il-l-Allah, Muhammadun Rasûlullah**. This phrase is called the **Kalimat at-tawhîd**. It is sufficient for every Muslim to believe without any doubt what this phrase means. It is not fard for him to prove it with evidence or to satisfy his mind. Rasûlullah ‘sall-Allâhu ’alaihi wa sallam’ did not command the Arabs to know or to mention the relevant proofs or to search and clarify any possible doubts. He commanded them to believe only and not to doubt. It is enough for everybody also to believe superficially. Yet it is fard kifâya that there should exist a few scholars in every town. It is wâjib for these scholars to know the proofs, to remove the doubts and to answer the questions. They are like shepherds for Muslims. On the one hand, they teach them the knowledge of îmân, which is the knowledge of belief, and, on the other hand, they answer the slanders of the enemies of Islam.

The Qur’ân al-kerîm states the meaning of the Kalimat at-tawhîd and Rasûlullah ‘sall-Allâhu ’alaihi wa sallam’ explains what is declared in it. All the Sahâbat al-kirâm learned these explanations and conveyed them to people who came after them. The high ranking scholars who conveyed to us what the Sahâbat al-kirâm had conveyed, by committing them to their books without making any alterations in them, are called the scholars of **Ahl as-Sunna**. Everybody has to learn the i’tiqâd (creedal tenets) of the Ahl as-Sunna and to unite and to love one another. The seed of happiness is in this i’tiqâd and in this unification.

The scholars of Ahl as-Sunna explain the meaning of the Kalimat at-tawhîd as follows: Men were nonexistent. They were created later. They have one Creator. He is the One who has created everything. The Creator is One. He does not have a

partner or a likeness. There is not a second He. He has been ever-existent; His existence did not have a beginning. He will be ever-existent; there is no end to His existence. He will not cease to exist. His existence is always necessary. His nonexistence is impossible. His existence is of Himself. He does not need any means. There is nothing that will not need Him. He is the One who creates everything and makes them go on existing. He is not material or a thing. He is not at a place or in any substance. He does not have a shape and cannot be measured. It cannot be asked how He is; when we say 'He,' none of the things which occur to our mind or which we can imagine is He. He is unlike these things. All of them are His creatures. He is unlike His creatures. He is the Creator of everything that occurs to mind, every illusion and every delusion. He is not above, below or at one side. He does not have a place. Every being is below the 'Arsh. And the 'Arsh is under His Power, under His Omnipotence. He is above the 'Arsh. Yet this does not mean that the 'Arsh carries Him. The 'arsh exists with His Favour and in His Omnipotence. He is the same now as He was in eternity, in eternal past. He will always be the same in the everlasting future as He had been before creating the 'Arsh. No change occurs in Him. He has His own Attributes. He has eight Attributes called **as-Sifát ath-thubûtiyya: Hayât** (Life), **'Ilm** (Omniscience), **Sem'** (Hearing), **Basar** (Seeing), **Qudra** (Omnipotence), **Irâda** (Will), **Kalâm** (Speech, Word) and **Takwîn** (Creativeness). No change ever occurs in these Attributes of His. Change implies deficiency. He has no deficiency or defect. Although He does not resemble any of His creatures, it is possible to know Him in this world as much as He makes Himself known and to see Him in the Hereafter. Here He is known without realizing how He is, and there He will be seen in an incomprehensible way. [Please read the forty-sixth letter of the first volume of **Maktûbât**, (A masterpiece written by the great Wâlî and scholar Imâm Rabbânî Mujaddid-i-elf-i-thânî Ahmad Fârûqî Serhendî 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaih'. A Turkish version of the blessed letter occupies the twenty-sixth chapter of the second fascicle of **Endless Bliss**.)]

Allâhu ta'âlâ sent Prophets "alaihim-us-salâm" to His human creatures. Through these great people, He showed His human creatures the deeds that bring happiness and those which cause ruination. The highest Prophet is **Muhammad** "alaihi-s-salâm", the Last Prophet. He was sent as the Prophet for every person, pious or irreligious, for every place and for every nation on the

earth. He is the Prophet for all human beings, angels and genies. In every corner of the world, everybody has to follow him and adapt himself to this highest Prophet".^[1]

Sayyid 'Abdulhakîm-i Arwâsî^[2] 'rahmatullâhi 'alaih' said: "Rasûlullah 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam' had three tasks. The first one was to communicate and make known (**tabligh**) the rules of the Qur'ân al-kerîm, i.e. the knowledge of îmân and of ahkâm fiqhîyya, to all human beings. **Ahkâm fiqhîyya** is composed of actions commanded and actions prohibited. These two branches of knowledge make up the **Ahkâm-i-islâmiyya**. His second task was to transmit the spiritual rules of the Qur'ân al-kerîm, the knowledge about Allâhu ta'âlâ Himself and His Attributes into the hearts of only the highest ones of his Umma. His first task, tabligh, should not be confused with this second task. The lâ-madhhâbî, (i.e. people who deny the four Madhhabs,) reject the second task. However, Abû Hurayra 'râdiy-Allâhu 'anh' said: 'I learned two types of knowledge from Rasûlullah 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam'. I have told you one of them. You would kill me if I explained the second one.' These words of Abû Hurayra's are written in the books **Bukhârî**, **Mishqât**, **Hadîqa**, and in the letters of **Maktûbât**, numbers 267 and 268. (English versions of the two letters can be read after this chapter.) The third task was directed towards those Muslims who did not obey the advice and sermons concerning carrying out the Ahkâm fiqhîyya. Even force was employed to get them to obey the Ahkâm fiqhîyya.

"After Rasûlullah 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam', each of the four Khalîfas 'râdiy-Allâhu 'anhum' accomplished these three tasks perfectly. During the time of hadrat Hâsan 'râdiy-Allâhu 'anh', fitnas and bid'âs increased. Islam had spread out over three continents. The spiritual light of Rasûlullah 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam' receded away from the earth. The Sahâbat al-kirâm 'râdiy-Allâhu 'anhum' decreased in number. Later, no one was able to do all these three tasks together by himself. Therefore, these tasks were undertaken by three groups of people. The task

[1] **Kimyâ' as-Sa'âda.** Muhammad al-Ghazâlî 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaih' was one of the greatest Islamic scholars. He wrote hundreds of books. All his books are extremely valuable. He was born in 450 (1068 A.D.) in Tûs, i.e. Meshhed, Persia, and passed away there in 505 (1111 A.D.).

[2] Sayyid 'Abdulhakîm Arwâsî was born in Başkal'a in 1281 (1864 A.D.) and passed away in Ankara in 1362 (1943 A.D.).

of communicating īmān and ahkām fiqhīyya was assigned to religious leaders called **mujtahids**. Amongst these mujtahids, those who communicated īmān were called **Mutakallimūn**, and those who communicated Fiqh were called **Fuqahā'**. The second task, i.e. making those willing Muslims attain the spiritual rules of the Qur'ān al-kerīm, was assigned to the Twelve Imāms of the Ahl al-Bayt 'rahmatullāhi ta'ālā 'alaihim' and to great men of Tasawwuf. Sirrī (Sarī) as-Saqatī (d. 251/876 in Baghdad) and al-Junayd al-Baghdādī (b. 207/821 and d. 298/911 in Baghdad) were two of them 'rahmatullāhi ta'ālā 'alaihimā'.

"The third task, having the rules of the religion implemented by force and authority, was assigned to sultans, i.e. governments. Sections of the first class were called **Madhhabs**. Sections of the second one were called **Tariqas**,^[1] and the third one was called **huqūq** (jurisprudence). Madhhabs that tell about īmān are called **Madhhabs of i'tiqād**. Our Prophet 'sall-Allāhu 'alaihi wa sallam' had stated that Muslims would part into seventy-three groups with respect to īmān, and that only one of them would be right and the others would be wrong. And happen it did. The group that was given the good news of being on the right way is called the **Ahl as-Sunnat wa-l-jamā'a**. The remaining seventy-two groups, which were declared to be wrong, are called the **groups of bid'a**, i.e. heretics. None of them are disbelievers. All of them are Muslims. But, if a Muslim who says he belongs to any of the

[1] The 'ulamā' of Ahl as-Sunna collected 'ilm at-tasawwuf by learning this second task of our Prophet 'alaihis-salām' from the Twelve Imāms 'rahmatullāhi ta'ālā 'alaihim'. Some people do not believe in Awliyā', karāmāt or Tasawwuf. This shows that they have no connection with the Twelve Imāms. If they had followed the Ahl al-Bayt, they would have learned this second task of our Prophet from the twelve Imāms and there would have been many scholars of Tasawwuf and Awliyā' among them. But there have not been any, and besides, they do not even believe that such scholars could exist. It is obvious that the Twelve Imāms are the Ahl as-Sunna's imāms. It is the Ahl as-Sunna who love the Ahl al-Bayt and follow the Twelve Imāms. To become a scholar of Islam, one has to be an heir of Rasūlullah 'alaihis-salām' in these two tasks. That is, one has to be an expert in these two branches of knowledge. 'Abd al-Ghanī an-Nabulusī 'rahmatullāhi ta'ālā 'alaih', one of such scholars, quoted, on pages 233 and 649 in his work **al-Hadīqat an-nadiyya**, the hadīths describing the spiritual rules of the Qur'ān al-kerīm and stated that denying these rules indicates ignorance and wretchedness.

seventy-two groups denies any information that has been declared clearly in the Qur'ân al-kerîm, in hadîth ash-sherîfs or that has spread among Muslims, he becomes a disbeliever. There are many people today who, while carrying Muslim names, have already dissented from the Madhhâb of the Ahl as-Sunna and have become heretics or non-Muslims." Quotations from hadrat 'Abdulhakîm Efendi end here.

Muslims have to keep on learning from the cradle to the grave. The knowledge which Muslims have to learn is called **al-'ulûm al-Îslâmiyya** (Islamic sciences), which consist of two parts: I) al-'ulûm an-naqliyya, II) al-'ulûm al-'aqliyya.

1) Al-'ulûm an-naqliyya (also called 'religious sciences'): These sciences are acquired by reading the books of the scholars of Ahl as-Sunna. The scholars of Islam derived these sciences from four main sources. These four sources are called **al-adillat ash-Shar'iyya**. They are the **al-Qur'ân al-kerîm, hadîth ash-sherîfs, ijmâ' al-Umma** and **qiyâs al-fuqahâ'**.

Religious sciences consist of eight main branches:

1) 'ilm at-tafsîr (the science of explanation of the Qur'ân al-kerîm). A specialist in this branch is called a **Mufassir**; he is a profoundly learned scholar able to understand what Allâhu ta'âlâ means in His Word.

2) 'ilm al-usûl al-hadîth. This branch deals with classification of hadîths. Different kinds of hadîths are explained in **Endless Bliss**, second fascicle, sixth chapter.

3) 'ilm al-hadîth. This branch studies minutely the utterances (hadîth), behaviour (sunna), and manners (hâls) of our Prophet 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam'.

4) 'ilm al-usûl al-kalâm. This branch studies the methods by which 'ilm al-kalâm is derived from the Qur'ân al-kerîm and hadîth ash-sherîfs.

5) 'ilm al-kalâm. This branch covers the study of the Kalimat at-tawhîd and the Kalimat ash-shahâda and the six fundamentals of îmân, which depend on them. These are the teachings to be believed by heart. Scholars of Kalâm usually wrote 'ilm al-usûl al-kalâm and 'ilm al-kalâm together. Therefore, the layman takes these two branches of knowledge as one single branch.

6) 'ilm al-usûl al-fiqh. This branch studies the derivation of the methods of Fiqh from the Qur'ân al-kerîm and hadîth ash-sherîfs.

7) 'ilm al-fiqh. This branch studies the **afâl al-mukallafîn**; that is, it tells how people who are discreet and pubescent should act

on matters concerning the body. It consists of teachings necessary for the body. The *af'al al-mukallafin* has eight sections: *fard*, *wâjib*, *sunna*, *mustahab*, *mubâh*, *harâm*, *makrûh* and *mufsid*. However, they can be briefly classified into three groups: actions commanded, actions prohibited and actions permitted (*mubâh*).

8) 'ilm at-tasawwuf. This branch is also called *'ilm al-akhlâq* (ethics). It explains not only the things we should do and we should not do with the heart but also helps the belief to be heartfelt, makes it easy for Muslims to perform their duties as taught in the *'ilm al-fiqh* and helps one attain *ma'rifa*.

It is *fard-i 'ain* for every Muslim, male or female, to learn *Kalâm*, *Fiqh* and *Tasawwuf* as much as necessary out of these eight branches, and it is a guilt, a sin, not to learn them.^[1]

II) Al-'ulûm al-'aqliyya (also called 'experimental sciences'): These sciences are divided into two groups: technical sciences and literary sciences. It is *fard kifâya* for Muslims to learn these sciences. As for Islamic sciences, it is *fard 'ayn* to learn as much as is necessary. To learn more than is necessary, that is, to become specialized in Islamic sciences is *fard kifâya*. If there is not a single scholar who knows these sciences in a town, all its inhabitants and government authorities will be sinful.

Religious teachings do not change in process of time. Making a mistake or erring while commenting on the *'ilm al-kalâm* is not susceptible of an excuse; it is an unpardonable crime. In matters pertaining to *Fiqh*, the variations and facilities shown by Islam can be utilized when one has the excuses ('udhrs) shown by Islam. It is never permissible to make alterations or to make reforms in religious matters with personal opinions or points of view. It causes one to go out of Islam. Change, improvement and progress in the *'ulûm al-'aqliyya* are permissible. It is necessary to develop them by searching, finding and even by learning them from non-Muslims as well.

The following article is quoted from the book **al-Majmû'at az-zuhdiyya**. It was compiled by an ex-minister of education, Seyyid Ahmed Zühdü Pasha 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaih':

The word '**fiqh**', when used in the form of '*faqiha yafqahu*', that is, in the fourth category, means 'to know, to understand.' When it is used in the fifth category, it means 'to know, to understand Islam.' A scholar in the *'ilm al-fiqh* is called a **Faqîh**.

[1] **Al-Hadiqa**, p. 323 and in preface to **Radd al-muhtâr**.

'Ilm al-fiqh deals with the actions which people should do and those which they should not do. The knowledge of Fiqh is composed of the Qur'ân al-kerîm, hadîth ash-sherîfs, ijmâ' and qiyâs. The consensus of the as-Sahâbat al-kirâm and the mujtahids who came after them is called **ijmâ' al-Umma**. The rules of the religion derived from the Qur'ân al-kerîm, hadîth ash-sherîfs and ijmâ' al-Umma are called **qiyâs al-fuqahâ'**. If it could not be understood from the Qur'ân al-kerîm or hadîth ash-sherîfs whether an action was halâl (permitted) or harâm (forbidden), then this action was compared to another action which was known. This analogy was called **qiyâs**. Applying qiyâs required the latter action to have the same factor which made the former action permitted or forbidden. And this could be judged only by those profound scholars who had attained the grade of ijtihâd.

The 'ilm al-fiqh is very extensive. It has four main divisions:

1) 'ibâdât, composed of five subdivisions: salât (namâz), sawm (fast), zakât, hajj, jihâd. Each of these subdivisions is composed of sections. As is seen, it is an act of worship to make preparations for jihâd. Our Prophet 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam' stated that there were two kinds of jihâd against the enemies of Islam: by actions and by words. It is fard to learn how to make and use new weapons in preparation for jihâd by actions. Jihâd is done by the State. It is fard for the people to join the jihâd by obeying the State's laws and orders concerning jihâd. Recently, enemy assault through publications, motion pictures, radio broadcast and every means of propaganda —the second kind of war— has tremendously increased; therefore it is also jihâd to stand against the enemies in this field.

2) munâkahât, composed of subdivisions, such as marriage, divorce, alimony and many others [written in detail at different occasions in the six fascicles of **Endless Bliss**. Please see the twelfth chapter of the fifth fascicle and the fifteenth chapter of the sixth fascicle].

3) mu'âmalât, composed of many subdivisions, such as purchase, sale, rent, joint-ownership, interest, inheritance, etc. (Please see the last four paragraphs of the sixth chapter of the fourth fascicle, and also the last nineteen chapters of the fifth fascicle, of **Endless Bliss**.)

4) 'uqûbât (penal code), composed of five main subdivisions: qisâs (lex talionis), sirqat (theft), zinâ (fornication and adultery), qadhf (accusing a virtuous woman of incontinence) and ridda (the case of becoming an apostate). (Please see the tenth, the eleventh,

the twelfth, the thirteenth, and the fourteenth chapters of the sixth fascicle of **Endless Bliss**.)

It is fard for every Muslim to acquire sufficient knowledge of Fiqh pertaining to worship. It is fard kifâya to learn munâkahât and mu'âmalât; in other words, these two sciences must be learned as much as necessary by people who need them. After 'ilm at-tafsîr, 'ilm al-hadîth and 'ilm al-kalâm, the most honourable ilm is 'ilm al-fiqh. The following six hadîths will be enough to indicate the honour of Fiqh and the Faqîh: 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ alaihim ajma'în'

'If Allâhu ta'âlâ wants to bestow His blessing on a slave of His, He makes a Faqîh of him.'

'If a person becomes a Faqîh, Allâhu ta'âlâ sends what he wishes and his sustenance through unexpected sources.'

'The person about whom Allâhu ta'âlâ says "most superior" is a Faqîh in the religion.'

'Against Satan, a Faqîh is firmer than one thousand 'âbids (people who worship much).'

'Everything has a pillar to base itself upon. The basic pillar of the religion is the knowledge of Fiqh.'

'The best and most valuable worship is to learn and teach Fiqh.'

Superiority of al-Imâm al-a'zam Abu Hanîfa 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaih' can be inferred from these hadîth-i-sherîfs as well.

The Islamic teachings in the Hanâfi Madhhab were conveyed through a chain beginning with 'Abdullah ibn Mes'ûd 'radîy-Allâhu 'anh', who was a Sahâbî. That means to say that Imâm al-a'zam Abû Hanîfa 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaih', the founder of the Madhhab, acquired the knowledge of Fiqh from Hammâd, and Hammâd from Ibrâhîm an-Nakhâ'î. Ibrahim an-Nakhâ'î was taught by Alkama, and Alkama studied under 'Abdullah ibn Mes'ûd, who was educated by Rasûlullah 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam'.

Abû Yûsuf, Imâm Muhammad ash-Shaibânî, Zufar ibn Hudhail and Hasan ibn Ziyâd were al-Imâm al-a'zam's disciples 'rahimahum-Allah'. Of these, Imâm Muhammad wrote about one thousand books on Islamic teachings. He was born in 135 A.H. and passed away in Rayy, Iran, in 189 (805 A.D.). Because he was married to the (widowed) mother of al-Imâm ash-Shâfi'î, one of his disciples, all his books were left to Shafî'î upon his death, whereby Shafî'î's knowledge increased. For this reason, al-Imâm

ash-Shâfi’î ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaih’ said: ‘I swear that my knowledge of Fiqh increased by reading Imâm Muhammad’s books. Those who want to deepen their knowledge of Fiqh should keep company with the disciples of Abû Hanifa.’ And once he said: ‘All Muslims are like the household, children, of al-Imâm al-a’zam.’ In other words, as a man earns a living for his wife and children, al-Imâm al-a’zam took it upon himself to explore the religious knowledge which people needed in their matters. Thereby, he spared Muslims of a lot of hard work.

Al-Imâm al-a’zam Abu Hanîfa ‘rahmatullâhi ’alaih’ compiled the knowledge of Fiqh, classified it into branches and sub-branches, and set usûls (methods) for it. Also, he collected the teachings of i’tiqâd as Rasûlullah ‘sall-Allâhu ’alaihi wa sallam’ and the Sahâbat al-kirâm ‘ridwânnullâhi ’alaihim ajma’în’ had preached, and taught them to hundreds of his disciples. Some of his disciples became specialists in the ’ilm al-kalâm, that is, in the teachings of îmân. Of them, Abû Bakr al-Jurjânî, one of Imâm Muhammad ash-Shaibânî’s disciples, attained eminence. And Abû Nasr al-Iyâd, one of his pupils, educated Abû Mansûr al-Mâturîdî in the ’ilm al-kalâm. Abû Mansûr wrote in his books the teachings of kalâm as they came from al-Imâm al-a’zam ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaih’. By contending against heretics, he consolidated the i’tiqâd of the Ahl as-Sunna. He spread it out far and wide. He passed away in Samarqand in 333 (944 A.D.). This great scholar and another scholar namely Abu-l-Hasan al-Ash’arî, are called the **imâms of the Madhhabs of the Sunnî i’tiqâd**.

Scholars of Fiqh are grouped in seven grades. Kemâl Pâshazâda Ahmad ibn Sulaimân Efendi ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaih’, in his work **Waqf an-nîyyât**, explained these seven grades as follows:

1. Mujtahids of Islam, who constructed the methods and principles of deriving tenets from the four sources of the religion (Adilla-i arba’a), and derived tenets in accordance with the principles they established. Examples of these scholars were **a’immat al-madhhâb**, (i.e. Imâm a’zam Abû Hanîfa, Imâm Mâlik, Imâm Shâfi’î, and Imâm Ahmad bin Hanbal, leaders of Islam’s four right and correct Madhhabs in practices.)^[1]

[1] It goes without saying that these four Madhhabs are, respectively, Hanâfi and Mâlikî and Shâfi’î and Hanbalî Madhhabs.

2. Mujtahids in a Madhhab, who, following the principles formulated by the imâm of the Madhhab, derived rules from the four sources. They were, (in the Hanâfi Madhhab,) Imâm Abû Yûsuf, Imâm Muhammad, etc. ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaihim ajma’în’.

3. Mujtahids on matters (mas’ala), who, for the matters that were not dealt with by the founder of the Madhhab, derived rules using the methods and principles of the Madhhab. Yet in doing this, they had to follow the imâm. Among them were at-Tahâwî (238-321 A.H., in Egypt), Hassâf Ahmad ibn ’Umar (d. 261, in Baghdad), ’Abdullah ibn Husain al-Kerkhî (340), Shams al-a’imma al-Halwânî (456, in Bukhârâ), Shams al-a’imma as-Sarahsî (483), Fakhr-ul Islâm ’Alî ibn Muhammad al-Pazdawî (400-482, in Samarqand), Qâdî-Khân Hasan ibn Mansûr al-Farghânî (592), etc. ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaihim ajma’în’.

4. As-hâb at-takhrîj, who were not entitled to employ ijtihâd. They were scholars who explained in brief unclear rules derived by mujtahids. Husâm ad-dîn ar-Râzî ’Alî ibn Ahmad was one of them. He ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaih’ is also known with the epithet ‘Jessâs’. He passed away in 370 A.H.

5. Arbâb at-tarjîh, who preferred one of the several riwâyâs (narrations) coming from mujtahids. They were Abu-l-Hasan al-Qudûrî (362-428 A.H., in Baghûdâd) and Burhân ad-dîn ’Alî al-Marghinânî, the author of **al-Hidâya**, who was martyred by the hordes of Jenghiz in the Bukhârâ Massacre of 593 A.H. [1198 A.D.].

6. Scholars who wrote various riwâyâs about a matter in an order with respect to their reliability were called muqallids. They did not include any refused riwâya in their books. Abû-l-Barakât ’Abdullah ibn Ahmad an-Nâsaffî (d. 710 A.H.), the author of **Kanz ad-daqâiq**; ’Abdullah ibn Mahmûd al-Musûlî (d. 683), the author of **Mukhtâr**; Burhân ash-Sharî’â Mahmûd ibn Sadr ash-Sharî’â ’Ubaid-Allah (d. 673), the author of **al-Wiqâya**; and Ibn as-Sâ’âtî Ahmad ibn ’Alî al-Baghdâdî (d. 694), the author of **Majmâ’ al-bahrain**, are a few of them. ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaihim ajma’în’.

7. They are also muqallids^[1] incapable of distinguishing weak riwâyâs from genuine ones.

[1] These people were counted among Fiqh scholars because they could understand what they read, and explained them to the muqallids who could not understand them.

**Person without a Madhhab has not found the right way for himself;
Even if he imitates all others, it will not be correct!
Person not learned in Islam cannot be a mujahid for himself.**

**Thine Mercy is what I hope, below par as I am in talent;
Is anything ever hard for Thee, o my Allah the Magnanimous!**

**Thine Mercy is on the sinner, so sinful as I am myself;
I can't deny my wrongdoings, while Thou art the Omniscient.
With my black face, chained as I am, I dragged myself;**

**Thine Mercy is what I hope, below par as I am in talent;
Is anything ever hard for Thee, o my Allah the Magnanimous!**

**All people are now at loss, Thou art the sole right and true Self;
There is no one else, Thou, alone, are worthy of being worshipped!
What can the helpless slave do; Thou, alone, is Almighty, Thyself!**

**Thine Mercy is what I hope, below par as I am in talent;
Is anything ever hard for Thee, o my Allah the Magnanimous!**

THE TWO HUNDRED and SIXTY-SEVENTH LETTER of the FIRST VOLUME

This letter, written for Husâm-ad-dîn Ahmad 'rahmatullâhi 'alaih', touches upon the esrâr (secret and delicate spiritual information) and the deqâiq (extremely subtle pieces of spiritual information):

May hamd (praise and gratitude) be to Allâhu ta'âlâ! May salât (prayers and benedictions) and salâm (salutations, best wishes) be for His beloved Prophet and for the Prophet's blessed Âl (family, intermediate relatives)! We have been blessed with the honour of having read your letter, which you sent to this faqîr, (i.e. Imâm Rabbâñî) out of kindness. In return for this, may Allâhu ta'âlâ reward you with goodness! Which one of the gifts that Allâhu ta'âlâ has bestowed (on me) shall I write about? How will I express the gratitude for them? Most of the subtle pieces of knowledge and ma'rifats that are being made to shower (on me) as a guidance and assistance from Allâhu ta'âlâ are being written. And they are being read by everybody, those who understand them and those who don't, alike. However, none of the esoteric and secret pieces of information that have been singled out and

imparted (to me) can be exposed. In fact, nothing can be said about them, neither in letters nor even in signs. Furthermore, my valuable son, who has digested the ma'rifats of this faqîr, (me,) cannot be told anything about these most delicate pieces of information for all the high grades he has already attained, such as the grades of sulûk and jadhbâ, (which are explained at various places of **Endless Bliss**, e.g. in the thirty-ninth chapter of its sixth fascicle.) Yes. Utmost is being done for their concealment. I know that my blessed son has attained these secret pieces of knowledge and he is being protected against error and confusion. Because they are secret, I become tongue-tied. Their secrecy prevents me from opening my mind. The state I am in is an exemplification of the state purported in the thirteenth âyat-i-kerîma of esh-Shu'âra: "**My breast will be straitened, and my speech may not go (smoothly): ...**" These secrets are not of the sort that will disallow definition; rather, perhaps, they will not go into definition.

***It is not for nothing that the hâfiz cries out;
He has many a wondrous thing, just do watch out!***

All these blessings, which we are trying to conceal, are coming from the sources of Prophethood, which in turn belong to Prophets "alaihim-us-salawât-u-wa-t-teslîmât". The higher ones of angels also have shares from this blessing. They make a selection from the blessed people who follow in the Prophets' "alaihim-us-salawât-u-wa-t-teslîmât" footsteps and honour them with this blessing. Hadrat Abû Hurayra 'radîy-Allâhu 'anh' stated: "I learned two types of knowledge from Rasûlullah 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam'. I have told you one of them. You would kill me if I told you the second one." This second kind of knowledge is the one which is secret. Not everybody can understand it. It is a great gift from Allâhu ta'âlâ. He bestows it on anyone He likes. Allâhu ta'âlâ gives great gifts. Please take a look at the letter which was written to my highly valuable teacher's children!

My dear sir! In this faqîr's (Imâm Rabbâni's) opinion, invention of a bid'at in Tasawwuf is no less ugly than inventing a bid'at^[1] in the religion (Islam) itself. The barakats of Tasawwuf

[1] Something which did not exist in Islam's credal tenets or practices or spiritual behaviours during the time of the early Muslims and which were inserted into Islam later is called bid'at. All bid'ats are evil and ugly.

will flow on and reach later generations so long as no bid'ats are invented. When an alteration is made in Tasawwuf, fayzes and barakats will stop coming simultaneously. Utmost care should be taken lest changes should take place in the paths (orders) of Tasawwuf. Non-members of Tasawwuf should not be conversed with. If something intended to make an alteration in Tasawwuf is seen, it should definitely be prevented, by force if necessary, regardless of the place and the agent. The correct and genuine form of the path in question should be consolidated and spread. Wa-s-salâm and wa-l-ikrâm.

THE TWO HUNDRED and SIXTY-EIGHTH LETTER of the FIRST VOLUME

This letter was written for Khân-i-Khânân. It imparts who the scholars that are the inheritors of Prophets are and what the secret pieces of knowledge are:

May hamd be to Allâhu ta'âlâ! Salâm to His chosen slaves! The faqîrs being here are in a state that makes it worthwhile to offer hamd. I pray for your being in safety, in good health, and in the right way as well. Our subject being legacy pertaining to knowledge, I am writing a few other words, making maximal use of the time in hand. It is stated in a hadîth-i-sherîf: "**Scholars are Prophets' inheritors.**" There are two kinds of knowledge handed down by Prophets "alaihim-us-salawât-u-wa-t-teslîmât":

1. Knowledge on rules; 2. Secret knowledge.

A scholar's being an inheritor requires his having share in both these kinds. A scholar who has a share in only one kind of knowledge cannot be a heir. For, a heir will have a share in each item of the legacy handed on. It is out of the question for a heir to have a share in one item and not to have a share in the remainder. Someone who has a share in one item only will be called a creditor, rather than a heir. A creditor will get his rightful share only. Our Prophet "alaihi wa 'alâ 'âlih-is-salât-u-wa-s-salâm" stated: "**Scholars among my Umma (Muslims) are like the Israelite Prophets.**" Scholars mentioned here are the scholars who inherit, not those who are like creditors. Creditors will get only what is owed to them from a certain part of the legacy. For, the heir, being very close (to the deceased) and a witness (to the facts), is identical with the person who handed down the legacy. That is not the case with the creditor. Likewise, a non-heir cannot

be a scholar. He can be said to be a scholar in a certain thing. For instance, he can be a scholar of Fiqh. A scholar, (in this sense,) is one who is a heir, who, in turn, has a share in both kinds of knowledge. Many people construe the word 'ilm-i-esrâr (secret knowledge) as the knowledge called 'tawhîd-i-wujûd', [such as seeing the single being in all and seeing all in one being.] They say that it is the knowledge of the things sensed by (devotees called) sâlikîs during (their spiritual ecstacies called) hâls and which they termed ihâta (surrounding), sereyân (penetration), qurb (closeness), and ma'iyyat (attachment). Hâshâ (May Allâhu ta'âlâ protect us against such suppositions)! It is not the case. Such pieces of knowledge are not secret knowledge. Nor are they pieces of knowledge worthy of the rank of Prophethood. For, such pieces of knowledge occur during states of ecstasy of Tasawwuf, when the devotee is suffused with (ecstacies termed) hâls. They are not the pieces of knowledge acquired by people who are sober and conscious. As for the pieces of knowledge belonging to Prophets; all of them, both the pieces of knowledge pertaining to Islamic rules and the secret ones, are sober and conscious pieces of knowledge. None of them is mixed with knowledge during unconsciousness. Knowledge during unconsciousness goes with grades of Wilâyat (Tasawwuf). For, Walîs are in a state of ecstasy and absent-mindedness. These pieces of knowledge can be, at the most, the secrets of Wilâyat. They are not the secrets of Nubuwwa. Although Prophets are possessed of Wilâyat as well, things related to Wilâyat are infinitesimal with these great people; they are a mere nothing when compared with things related to Prophethood. A Persian couplet in English:

***The Sun rises, and all places brighten;
Morn star is no longer seen, for certain!***

I have explained it in my books and letters. I state once again that the superiority of the grades pertaining to Prophethood are analogous to an ocean. Grades pertaining to Wilâyat are like drops of water in comparison with the ocean. But how can I keep a number of people from having said that Wilâyat was superior to Nubuwwat (Prophethood), which was an unfounded assertion (made blindfold) since they had not attained the grades pertaining to Prophethood. Most of those people modified this assertion and said: "Prophets' Wilâyet is superior to their own Nubuwwat." All those people failed to understand what

Prophethood was. They made a comment without knowing what they were commenting on. So was the case with those who held (the state of) sekr, [i.e. the state of unconsciousness and absent-mindedness,] superior to sahw, i.e. sobriety. If they had known what sahw was, they would have felt too reluctant even to make mention of sekr in a conversation about sahw. A Persian line in English:

How can earth ever be compared with a world of purity?

Their holding sekr superior to sahw must have resulted from holding the sahw of superior people and that of the ignorant equal. I wish they had not said so, be it at the cost of preferring their having held the sekr of the ignorant and that of the superior equal. For, any wise person will know that sahw, (i.e. sobriety,) is certainly better than sekr, (i.e. unconsciousness.) That is the case both with the ignorant's sahw and with the superiors' sahw. To hold Wilâyat superior to Prophethood and sekr superior to sahw is identical with holding disbelief superior to being a Muslim. For, disbelief and ignorance are analogous to Wilâyat, whereas Islam and ma'rifat take place in Prophethood. Hallâj-i-Mansûr 'qaddas Allâhu ta'âlâ sirrah-ul-'azîz' states, as is expressed in the following Arabic couplet rendered into English:

***I don't believe in Allah's religion; disbelief is needed;
This is a reality, even if Muslims do not like it!***

Muhammad 'alaihis-salâm' avoided disbelief and trusted himself to Allâhu ta'âlâ. The eighty-fourth âyat of Isrâ Sûra purports: "**Say unto them: Everyone acts according to his own disposition. ...**" It should be known that as Islam is better than disbelief in Islam, likewise Islam is better than disbelief in Haqîqat (inner nature of Islam). For, Islam is the outer appearance of Haqîqat.

Question: Whereas kufr (disbelief), jahl (ignorance) and sekr exist in the grades called **jem'** of Wilâyat, its (higher) grades called **farq** accomodate Islam, sahw, and ma'rifat. Then, in what sense should we evaluate one's saying that kufr, sekr, and jahl exists in grades of Wilâyat?

Answer: Sahw and the like in the grades termed 'farq' are sahw and so in comparison with the dense sekr that accompanies the grades termed **jem'**. Sahw and sekr have amalgamated there. Islam and kufr also have amalgamated in those grades. Likewise,

ma'rifat also has been smeared with jahl (ignorance). Were it possible to write, I would provide quite a lengthy definition of the states and ma'rifats in the grades called farq and thereby elucidate how sekr and the like have smeared the others in those grades. Consideration careful enough will lead people with keen intelligence to a clear understanding of the matter. It is surprising, nay, exceedingly surprising, indeed! Suffice it to say that Prophets "alaihim-us-salawât-u-wa-t-teslîmât" attained all those greatnesses and superiorities of theirs in the way of Prophethood, not in the way of Wilâyat! Wilâyat is nothing more than something to serve Prophethood. If Wilâyat were superior to Prophethood, the higher ones of angels, since their Wilâyat is superior to other Wilâyats, would be higher than Prophets "alaihim-us-salawât-u-wa-t-teslîmât", (which is not the case.)

Most of the people who deem Wilâyat superior to Prophethood, seeing that the Wilâyat possessed by the higher ones of angels is superior to Prophets' Wilâyat, have said, "Higher ones of angels are superior to Prophets." This supposition has caused them to differ from the way taught by an overwhelming majority of the scholars of Ahl as-Sunnat 'rahmatullâhi 'alaihim ajma'in'. All such errors are results of failure in understanding what Prophethood is.

As the time of Prophethood evanesces back into the forlorn depths of the past, everybody deems the grades of Prophethood inferior to the grades of Wilâyat. For that matter, I have had to linger over the subject. I expect to have shed light upon the inner nature of the matter. Yâ Rabbî! Please do forgive us our sins! Please do keep our feet oriented in the right way! Please do help us with our struggles against unbelievers! Âmîn. Meyân Shaikh Dâwûd, my valuable brother, was about to set out with the intention of visiting you. He is the cause of these writings. Wa-s-salâm.

3 — AL-IMÂM AL-A'ZAM ABÛ HANÎFA 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaih'

The book **Qâmûs al-a'lâm** states:

Al-Imâm al-a'zâm Abû Hanîfa's name was Nu'mân. His father's name was Thâbit. His grandfather's name was Nu'man, too. He was the first of the four great imâms of the Ahl as-Sunna. '**Imâm**' means 'profoundly learned scholar.' He was one of the mainmasts of the brilliant religion of Muhammad "alaihis-salâm". He was a descendant of a Persian notable. His grandfather had embraced Islam. He was born in Kûfa in 80 [698 A.D.]. He was born early enough to see Enes ibn Mâlik, 'Abdullah ibn Ebî Awfâ, Sahl ibn Sa'd as-Sâ'idî and Abû al-Fadl Âmir ibn Wâsila, four Sahâbîs 'radîy-Allâhu ta'âlâ 'anhum'. He learned 'ilm al-fiqh from Hammâd ibn Ebî Sulaimân. He enjoyed the companionship of many notables of the Tâbi'iñ, and of Imâm Ja'far as-Sâdiq 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaih'. He memorized innumerable hadîths. He was brought up so as to become a great judge, but he became an imâm al-madhab. He had a superior, and amazingly keen intellect. In 'ilm al-fiqh, he attained an unequalled grade in a short time. His name and fame became world-wide.

Yazîd ibn 'Amr, Governor of Iraq during the time of Marwân ibn Muhammad, the fourteenth and last Umayyad Khalîfa, who was a grandson of Marwân ibn Hakam 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaih' and was killed five years after assuming the caliphate in Egypt in 132 [750 A.D.], proposed to Abû Hanîfa 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaih' to become a judge for the law-court of Kûfa. But, since he had as much zuhd, taqwâ and warâ' as he had knowledge and intellect, he refused it. He was afraid of not being able to safeguard human rights because of human weaknesses. With a command from Yazîd, he was given a whipping, hundred and ten blows to the head. His blessed face and head swelled. The next day, Yazîd took the Imâm out and oppressed him by repeating his offer. The Imâm said, "Let me consult," and obtained permission to leave. He went to the blessed city of Mekka and stayed there for five or six years.

The 'Abbâsid Khalîfa Abû Ja'far Mansûr 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaih' commanded him to be the chief of the Supreme Court of Appeal in 150 A.H. [767 A.D.]. He refused it and was put into jail. He was subjected to whipping, ten blows more every following day. When the number of whippings reached one hundred, he

attained martyrdom. Abû Sa'd Muhammad ibn Mansûr al-Hârizmî 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaih', one of the viziers of Melikshâh (447-485 A.H., the third Seljuqî Sultan and the son of Sultan Alparslan), had a wonderful dome built over his grave. Afterwards, Ottoman emperors embellished his tomb and had it restored several times.

Abû Hanîfa 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaih' was the first who compiled and classified 'ilm al-fiqh, and he gathered information for each branch of knowledge. He wrote the books **Farâ'id** and **Shurût**. There are innumerable books describing his extensive knowledge in Fiqh; his extraordinary ability in qiyâs; and his dumbounding superiority in zuhd, taqwâ, mildness and righteousness. He had many disciples, some of whom became great mujtahids.

The Hanâfi Madhhab spread far and wide during the time of the Ottoman Empire. It almost became the official Madhhab of the State. Today, more than half of the Muslims on the earth and most of the Ahl as-Sunna perform their 'ibâda according to the Hanâfi Madhhab. Citation from the book **Kâmûs-ul a'lâm** ends here.

The book entitled **Mîr'ât al-kâ'inât** states:

The ancestors of al-Imâm al-â'zam 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaih' come from the province of Fâris, Iran. His father, Thâbit, had met Imâm 'Alî 'radiy-Allâhu 'anh' in Kûfa and Hadrat 'Alî had pronounced a benediction over him and his progeny. Al-Imâm al-â'zam was one of the greatest among the Tâbi'ûn and saw Enes ibn Mâlik 'radiy-Allâhu 'anh' and three or seven more of the Sahâbat al-kirâm. He learned hadîth-i sherifs from them.

A hadîth shérif, which al-Imâm al-Hârizmî quoted from Abû Hurayra 'radiy-Allâhu 'anh' through isnâd muttasil (an uninterrupted chain of reporters), states: **"Among my Umma, there will come a man called Abû Hanîfa. On the Day of Rising, he will be the light of my Umma."** Another hadîth shérif states: **"A man named Nu'mân ibn Thâbit and called Abû Hanîfa will appear and will revive Allâhu ta'âlâ's Religion and my Sunna."** And another one states: **"In every century, a number of my Umma will attain high grades. Abû Hanîfa will be the highest of his time."** These three hadîths are written in the books entitled **Mawdû'ât al-'ulûm** and **Durr al-mukhtâr**. This hadîth shérif is also well-known: **"Among my Umma, a man called Abû Hanîfa will appear. There is a beauty-spot between his two shoulder blades. Allâhu ta'âlâ will revive His Religion through his hand."**

[Preface to **Durr al-mukhtâr** writes: “A hadîth sherîf states: ‘**As Âdam ‘alaihi-s-salâm’ was proud of me, likewise I am proud of a man of my Umma named Nu’mân and called Abû Hanîfa. He is the light of my Umma.**’ ” Another hadîth sherîf states: “**Prophets ‘alaihimu-s-salâm’ are proud of me. And I am proud of Abû Hanîfa. He who loves him will have loved me. He who feels hostility towards him will have felt hostility towards me.**” These hadîths are written also in the book entitled **al-Muqaddima** and written by the profound scholar Hadrat Abû-l-Laith as-Samarqandî and in **Taqadduma**, which is a commentary to the former. In the preface to the Fiqh book **al-Muqaddima** by al-Ghaznawî hadîths praising him are quoted. In **Diyâ’ al-ma’nawî**, a commentary to it, Qâdî Abî-l-Baqâ said: “Abû'l-Faraj 'Abd ar-Rahmân ibn al-Jawzî, based on the words of al-Khatîb al-Baghdâdî, said that these hadîths were mawdû’. Yet this remark of his is bigotry, for these hadîths were reported by several chains of transmitters.” Ibn 'Âbidîn, in his commentary to **Durr al-mukhtâr**, proved that these hadîths were not mawdû’ and quoted the following hadîth sherîf from the book **al-Khayrât al-hisân** and written by Ibn Hajar al-Makkî: “**The ornament of the world will be taken away in the year 150.**” He went on: “The great Fiqh scholar Shams al-a’imma 'Abd al-Ghaffâr al-Kardarî (d. 562 [1166 A.D.] said: ‘It is obvious that this hadîth sherîf refers to al-Imâm al-a’zam Abû Hanîfa, since he passed away in 150.’ A hadîth sherîf quoted by al-Bukhârî and Muslim says: “**If imân went to the planet Venus, a man of Fâris (Persian) descent would bring it back.**” Imâm as-Suyûtî, a Shâfi’î scholar, remarked: “It has been stated unanimously that this hadîth sherîf refers to al-Imâm al-a’zam.” Nu’mân ’Alûsî writes in the book **Ghâliyya** that this hadîth-i sherîf refers to Abû Hanîfa and that his grandfather descended from a Fâris family. ’Allâma Yûsuf, a Hanbâlî scholar, quoted in his work entitled **Tanwîr as-sahîfa** from Hâfiz ’Allâma Yûsuf ibn ’Abd al-Barr (b. 368/978 and d. 463/1071 in Shâtîba), Qadî of Lisbon, Portugal: “Do not slander Abû Hanîfa and do not believe those who slander him! I swear by Allâhu ta’âlâ that I know not a person superior to him, having more warâ’ or being more learned than he. Do not believe what al-Khatîb al-Baghdâdî said! He was antipathetic towards the ‘ulamâ’. He slandered Abû Hanîfa, Imâm Ahmad and their disciples. The ‘ulamâ’ of Islam refuted al-Khatîb and censured him. Ibn al-Jawzî’s grandson, ’Allâma Yûsuf Shams ad-dîn al-Baghdâdî, wrote in his forty-volumed book **Mir’ât az-zamân** that he was astonished to know

that his grandfather had followed al-Khatîb. Imâm al-Ghazâlî ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaih’, in his **Ihyâ**, praises al-Imâm al-a’zam with such words as ‘âbid’, ‘zâhid’ and ‘al-’ârifu billâh’. If the Sahâbat al-Kirâm and the ‘ulamâ’ of Islam had different points of view from one another, it was not because they did not approve of one another’s words or because they were not in good terms with one another or because they disliked one another; mujtahids ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaihim ajma’în’ disagreed with one another concerning ijtihâd for Allâhu ta’âlâ’s sake and to serve Islam.”]^[1]

An ’âlim dreamt of Rasûlullah ‘sall-Allâhu ’alaihi wa sallam’ and asked him: “What would you say about Abû Hanîfa’s knowledge?” He answered: “Everybody needs his knowledge.” Another ’âlim asked in his dream: “O Rasûl-Allah! What would you say about the knowledge Nu’mân ibn Thâbit has, who lives in Kûfa?” He answered: “Learn from him and do as he says. He is a very good person.” Imâm ’Alî ‘radîy-Allâhu ’anh’ said: “Let me inform you of a person called Abû Hanîfa, who will live in Kûfa. His heart will be full of knowledge and hikma. Towards the end of the world, many people will perish because of not appreciating him, just as the Shî’ites will perish because of not having appreciated Abû Bakr and ’Umar ‘radîy-Allâhu ’anhûmâ’.” Imâm Muhammad al-Bâqir ibn Zayn al-’Âbidîn ’Alî ibn Husain ‘rahmatullâhi ’alaihim’ b. 57 A.H. in Medina and d. 113, buried in the shrine of Hadrat ’Abbâs ‘radîy-Allâhu ’anh’ in Medina) looked at Abû Hanîfa and said: “When people who destroy the religion of my ancestors increase in number, you will revive it. You will be the saviour of those who fear and the shelter of those who are confused! You will lead the heretics to the right way! Allâhu ta’âlâ will help you!” When he was young, al-Imâm al-a’zâm ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaih’ studied ’ilm al-kalâm and ma’rifâ and became very competent. Thereafter, serving Imâm Hammâd for twenty-eight years, he attained maturity. When Hammâd passed away, he took his place as a mujtahid and muftî. His knowledge and superiority became known far and wide. His virtue, intelligence, sagacity, zuhd, taqwâ, trustworthiness, readiness of wit, devotion to Islam, righteousness and his perfection in every respect as a human being were above those of all others of his time. All the mujtahids and those who succeeded

[1] It is explained in the second fascicle of **Endless Bliss** that a **mawdû’ hadîth** does not mean ‘false, made-up hadîth’ in ’ilm al-usûl al-hadîth.

him and noble people —even Christians— praised him. Al-Imâm ash-Shâfi’î ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaih’ said: “All men of Fiqh are Abû Hanîfa’s children.” He said once: “I get blessings (tabarruk) from Abû Hanîfa[’s soul]. I visit his grave every day. When I am in difficulty, I go to his grave and perform two rak’as of namâz. I invoke Allâhu ta’âlâ, and He gives me what I wish.” Al-Imâm ash-Shâfi’î was a disciple of Imâm Muhammad.^[1] He remarked: “Allâhu ta’âlâ bestowed knowledge upon me through two persons. I learned the Hadîth ash-sherîf from Sufyân ibn ’Uyayna and Fiqh from Muhammad ash-Shaybânî.” He said once, “In the field of religious knowledge and in worldly matters, there is one person to whom I am grateful. He is Imâm Muhammad.” And again, al-Imâm ash-Shâfi’î said: “With what I learned from Imâm Muhammad I have written a pack-animal-load of books. I would not have acquired anything of knowledge had he not been my teacher. All men of knowledge are the children of the scholars of Iraq, who were the disciples of the scholars of Kûfa. And they were the disciples of Abû Hanîfa.”

Al-Imâm al-a’zam acquired knowledge from four thousand people.

Scholars of every century wrote many books telling about the greatness of al-Imâm al-a’zam.

In the Hanâfi Madhhab, five hundred thousand religious problems were solved and all of them were answered.

Al-Hâfiż al-kebîr Abû Bakr Ahmad al-Hârizmî wrote in his book **Musnad**: “Sayf al-a’imma reports that when al-Imâm al-a’zam Abû Hanîfa derived a matter from the Qur’ân al-kerîm and hadîth-i-sherîfs, he would propound it to his masters. He would not give the answer to the inquirer unless all of them confirmed it.” One thousand of his disciples attended all his classes when he taught in the mosque of Kûfa city. Forty of them were mujtahids. When he found the answer for a matter he would propound it to his disciples. They would study it together and, when they were all in agreement that it was consistent with the Qur’ân al-kerîm and hadîth-i-sherîfs and with the words of the Sahâbat al-kirâm, he would be delighted and say: “Al-hamdu li’llâh wa’llâhu ekber,” and all those who were present would repeat his words. Then he would tell them to write it down.

[1] Al-Imâm al-a’zam Abû Hanîfa’s two leading disciples were Imâm Muhammad ash-Shaybânî and Imâm Abû Yûsuf ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaihim’.

[It is written in the book entitled **Radd al-Wahhâbî**:^[1] “Being a **Mujtahid** requires first being specialized in the Arabic language and in the various linguistic sciences such as awdâ’, sahîh, marwî, mutawâtîr; ways of radd; mawdû’ vocabulary; fasîh, radî and mazmûn forms; mufrad, shâdh, nâdir, musta’mal, muhmal, mu’rab, ma’rifa, ishtiqaq, haqîqa, majâz, mushtarak, izdâd, mutlaq, muqayyad, ibdâl and qalb. Next you would have be specialized in sarf, nahw, ma’âni, bayân, bâdî, balâghât, ’ilm al-usûl al-fiqh, ’ilm al-usûl al-hadîth, ’ilm al-usûl at-tafsîr, and have memorized the words of the imâms of jarh and ta’dîl. Being a **Faqîh** requires, in addition to these, knowing the proof-texts for every matter and studying the meaning, the murâd and ta’wîl of the proof-texts. Being a **Muhaddith**, that is, a scholar of Hadîth, requires only memorizing the hadîths as you heard them; it is not compulsory to know the meanings, murâds, ta’wîls, or to understand the proof-texts for the rules of Islam. If a Faqîh and a Muhaddith disagree with each other about a hadîth sherîf, e.g. if the former says that it is sahîh and the latter says that it is da’îf, the Faqîh’s argument will be valid. Therefore, al-Imâm al-a’zâm’s argument or decision is more valuable than all the others because he was the first Mujtahid and the highest Faqîh due to his having heard many hadîths directly from the Sahâbat al-kirâm without any intervention. A hadîth sherîf that was said to be sahîh by this exalted imâm was said to be sahîh by all Islamic scholars. A Muhaddith cannot be in the grade of a Faqîh. And he can never reach the grade of an Imâm al-madhab.”

’Abdulhaq ad-Dahlawî, a scholar of Hadîth, wrote in his book **Sirât-i mustaqîm**: “Some hadîths which al-Imâm ash-Shâfi’î took as documents were not taken as documents by al-Imâm al-a’zam Abu Hanîfa. Seeing this, the lâ-madhabî used it as an opportunity for traducing al-Imâm al-a’zam and claimed that Abû Hanîfa had not followed the hadîth ash-sherîf. However, Hadrat al-Imâm al-a’zâm Abû Hanîfa found and took other hadîths which were more sahîh and dependable in documenting the matter.”

A hadîth sherîf states: “**The most beneficial ones of my Umma are those who live in my time. The next most beneficial ones are those who will succeed them. And the next most beneficial ones**

[1] First published in India in 1264 (1848 A.D.); reprinted in Persian in Istanbul in 1401 (1981 A.D.).

are those who will come after them.” This hadîth shérîf shows that the Tâbi’ûn were more beneficial than the Taba’ at-Tâbi’în. The Islamic ‘ulamâ’ all agree that al-Imâm al-a’zam Abû Hanîfa saw some of the as-Sahâbat al-kirâm, heard hadîths from them, and, therefore, was one of the Tâbi’ûn. For example, al-Imâm al-a’zam heard the hadîth, “**A person who builds a mosque for Allâhu ta’âlâ’s sake will be given a villa in Paradise,**” from ’Abdullah ibn ’Awfâ, who was a Sahâbî. Jalâl ad-dîn as-Suyûtî, a Shâfi’î scholar, wrote in his book **Tabyîd as-sahîfa** that al-Imâm ’Abdulkerîm, one of the Shâfi’î scholars, wrote a complete book describing the Sahâbis whom al-Imâm al-a’zam had seen. It is written in **Durr al-mukhtâr** that al-Imâm al-a’zam saw seven Sahâbîs. Among the four a’immat al-madhhâhib, only al-Imâm al-a’zam was honoured with being one of the Tâbi’ûn. It is a rule in **’ilm al-usûl** that the report of those who admit something is preferred to the report of those who refuse it. It is obvious that al-Imâm al-a’zam Abû Hanîfa, being one of the Tâbi’ûn, is the highest of the a’immat al-madhhâhib. The lâ-madhhâbîs’ denying al-Imâm al-a’zam’s superiority or their trying to vilify this exalted Imâm by saying that he was weak in the knowledge of Hadîth, is similar to their denying the superiority of Hadrat Abû Bakr and Hadrat ’Umar ‘radiy-Allâhu ’anhumâ’. This perverse negation of theirs is not a sort of illness that can be cured by preaching or advice. May Allâhu ta’âlâ cure them! The Muslims’ Khalîfa ’Umar ‘radiy-Allâhu ’anh’ said during his khutba: “O Muslims! As I tell you now, Rasûlullah ‘sall-Allâhu ’alaihi wa sallam’ told us during his khutba: **“The most beneficial people are my Sahâba. The most beneficial after them are their successors. And the next most beneficial are those who will come after them. There will be liars among those who will come after these.”**” The four Madhhabs which Muslims have been following and imitating today are the Madhhabs of those beneficial people whose goodness was affirmed by Rasûlullah ‘sall-Allâhu ’alaihi wa sallam’. The Islamic scholars declare in consensus that it is not permissible to adopt a Madhhab other than these four Madhhabs.

Ibn Nujaim al-Misrî ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaih’, author of the book **Bahr ar-râ’iq**, wrote in his work **Eshbâh**: “Hadrat al-Imâm ash-Shâfi’î said that a person who wanted to be a specialist in the science of Fiqh should read Abû Hanîfa’s books.” ’Abdullah Ibn Mubârak said: “I have not seen another specialist as learned as Abû Hanîfa in the science of Fiqh. The great scholar Mis’ar used to kneel before Abû Hanîfa and learn what he did not know by

asking him. I have studied under a thousand scholars. However, had I not seen Abû Hanîfa, I would have slipped into the bog of Greek philosophy.” Abû Yûsuf said: “I have not seen another person as profoundly learned as Abû Hanîfa in the science of Hadîth. There is not another scholar who can expound hadîths as competently as he did.” The great scholar and mujtahid Sufyân ath-Thawrî said: “In comparison with Abû Hanîfa, we were like sparrows versus a falcon. Abû Hanîfa is the leader of scholars.” ’Alî ibn Âsim said: “If Abû Hanîfa’s knowledge were to be measured with the total knowledge of all scholars contemporary with him, Abû Hanîfa’s knowledge would prove to be greater.” Yazîd ibn Hârûn said: “I studied under a thousand scholars. Among them I did not see anyone who had as much warâ’ as Abû Hanîfa did or who was as wise as Abû Hanîfa ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaih’.” Muhammad ibn Yûsuf ash-Shâfi’î, one of the Damascene scholars, praises al-Imâm al-a’zam Abû Hanîfa much, explains his superiority in detail, and says that he is the leader of all mujtahids in his book **Uqûd al-jamâ’ fi manâqibi-n-Nu’mân**. Al-Imâm al-a’zam Abû Hanîfa said: “We esteem and love Rasûlullah’s ‘alaihi-s-salâm’ hadîths above all. We search for the words of the Sahâbat al-kirâm, choose and adopt them. As for the words of the Tâbi’ûn, they are like our words. Translation from the book **Radd-i Wahhâbî** ends here. This book was printed in India and in Istanbul, in 1264 [1848 A.D.] and in 1401 [1981 A.D.], respectively.

In the book **Sayf-ul-muqallidîn ’alâ a’nâk-il-munkirîn**, Mawlânâ Muhammad ’Abd al-Jalîl wrote in Persian: “The lâ-madhabî say that Abû Hanîfa was weak in the science of Hadîth. This assertion of theirs shows that they are ignorant or jealous. Al-Imâm az-Zahabî and Ibn Hajar al-Makkî say that al-Imâm al-a’zam was a scholar of Hadîth. He learned hadîths from four thousand scholars. Three hundred of them were among the Tâbi’ûn and were scholars of Hadîth. Al-Imâm ash-Shârîf says in the first volume of **al-Mîzân**: ‘I have studied three of al-Imâm al-a’zam’s **Musnâds**. All of them convey information from the well-known scholars of the Tâbi’ûn.’ Hostility which the lâ-madhabî people bear against the Salaf as-sâlihîn and their jealousy towards the mujtahid imâms, particularly towards their leader al-Imâm al-Muslimîn Abû Hanîfa, must have obstructed their perception and conscience to the extent that they deny the beauty and superiority of these Islamic scholars. They are intolerant of the fact that pious people have what they do not

have. It is for this reason that they deny the superiority of the imâms of Islam and thus venture into the shirk (polytheism) of jealousy. It is written in the book **Hadâ'iq**: 'When al-Imâm al-a'zam Abû Hanîfa memorized hadîths he wrote them down. He kept the hadîth books he wrote in wooden boxes, some of which he always kept at hand wherever he went. His quoting only a few hadîths does not show that the number of hadîths he memorized was small. Only bigotted enemies of Islam may say so. This bigotry of theirs proves al-Imâm al-a'zam's perfection; an inept person's slandering the learned indicates the latter's perfection.' Founding a great Madhhab and answering hundreds of thousands of questions by documenting them with âyats and hadîths could not have been done by a person who was not deeply specialized in the sciences of Tafsîr and Hadîth. In fact, bringing forth a new, unique Madhhab without a model or an example is an excellent proof for al-Imâm al-a'zam's expertise in the sciences of Tafsîr and Hadîth. Because he worked with extraordinary energy and brought forth this Madhhab, he did not have time to quote the hadîths or to cite their transmitters one by one; this cannot be grounds for denigrating that exalted imâm by jealousy or casting aspersions on him by saying that he was weak in the science of Hadîth. It is a known fact that riwâya (transmitting) without dirâya (ability, talents) has no value. For example, Ibn 'Abd al-Barr said: 'If riwâya without dirâya were valuable, a dustman's quoting a hadîth would be superior to Luqmân's intelligence.' Ibn Hajar al-Makkî was one of the scholars in the Shâfi'i Madhhab, but he wrote in his book **Qalâ'id**: 'The great scholar of Hadîth A'mash asked al-Imâm al-a'zam Abû Hanîfa many questions. Al-Imâm al-a'zam answered each of his questions by quoting hadîths. After seeing al-Imâm al-a'zam's profound knowledge in Hadîth, A'mash said, "O, you, the scholars of Fiqh! You are like specialized doctors, and we the scholars of Hadîth are like pharmacists. We cite hadîths and their transmitters, but you are the ones who understand their meanings." ' It is written in the book **'Uqûd al-jawâhiri-l-munîfa**: 'Ubaidullah ibn 'Amr was in the company of the great scholar of Hadîth A'mash, when someone came up and asked a question. As A'mash thought about the answer, al-Imâm al-a'zam joined in. A'mash repeated the question to the Imâm and requested an answer. Al-Imâm al-a'zam immediately answered it in detail. Admiring the answer, A'mash said, "O Imâm! From which hadîth do you derive this?" Al-Imâm al-a'zam quoted the hadîth ash-sherîf from which he

derived the answer and added, “I heard this from you.”’ Al-Imâm al-Bukhârî knew three hundred thousand hadîths by heart. He wrote only twelve thousand of them in his books because he feared very much the threat in the hadîth ash-sherîf, “**If a person quotes, in the name of hadîth, what I have not uttered, he will be tormented very bitterly in Hell.**” Having much warâ’ and taqwâ, al-Imâm al-a’zam imposed very heavy conditions for the transmitting of hadîths. He would quote only those hadîths fulfilling these conditions. Some scholars of Hadîth transmitted numerous hadîths because their branch was wider and their conditions were lighter. Scholars of Hadîth never belittled one another on account of differing conditions. Had this not been so, Imâm Muslim would have said something to offend al-Imâm al-Bukhârî ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaihimâ’. Al-Imâm al-a’zam Abû Hanîfa’s transmitting only a few hadîths because of his circumspection and taqwâ could only be a good reason for praising and lauding him.”^[1]]

The book *Mir’ât al-kâ’înât* goes on: “Al-Imâm al-a’zam Abû Hanîfa ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaih’ performed morning prayer in mosque and answered his disciples’ questions until noon every day. After early afternoon prayer, he taught his disciples again until night prayer. Then he would go home and, after resting for a while, return to mosque and worship until morning prayer. Mis’ar ibn Kadâm al-Kûfî, one of the Salaf as-sâlihîn, who passed away in 115 [733 A.D.], and many other great people reported this fact.

“He earned his living in a halâl way by trading. He sent goods to other places and with his earnings he met the needs of his disciples. He spent much for his household and gave an equal amount as alms to the poor. Moreover, every Friday he dispensed twenty gold coins to the poor for his parents’ souls. He did not stretch his legs towards his teacher Hammâd’s ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaih’ house, although he lived at a distance of seven streets away. Once he found out that one of his partners had sold a large amount of goods incompatibly with Islam. He distributed all the ninety thousand aqchas earned to the poor, not taking a single penny of it. After brigands had raided the villages of Kûfa and had stolen sheep, he feared that those stolen sheep might be slaughtered and sold in the town and did not eat mutton for seven years, for he knew that a sheep lived seven years at the longest.

[1] *Sayf al-muqallidîn ’alâ a’nâqi-l-munkirîn.*

He avoided the harâm to that degree. He observed Islam in his every action.

“For forty years al-Imâm al-a’zam ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaih’ performed morning prayer with the ablution he had made for night prayer, [that is, he did not sleep after night prayer.] He performed hajj fifty-five times. During the last one, he went into the Ka’ba, performed a prayer of two rak’as and recited the whole Qur’ân al-kerîm during the prayer. Then, weeping, he invoked: ‘O my Allâhu ta’âlâ! I have not been able to worship Thee in a manner worthy of Thee. Yet I have understood very well that Thou cannot be comprehended through intelligence. For this understanding of mine, please forgive the defects in my service!’ At that moment a voice was heard: ‘O Abâ Hanîfa! You have acknowledged Me very well and have served Me beautifully. I have forgiven you and Muslims who will be in your Madhhab and follow you until the end of the world.’ He read the Qur’ân al-kerîm from the beginning to the end once every day and once every night.

“Al-Imâm al-a’zam had so much taqwâ that for thirty years he fasted every day [except the five days of a year on which it is harâm to fast]. He often recited the entire Qur’ân al-kerîm in one rak’â or two. And sometimes, during namâz or outside it, he read an âyat describing Heaven and Hell over and over again and sobbed and lamented.^[1] People who heard him pitied him. Among the Umma of Muhammad ‘alaihis-salâm’, reciting the entire Qur’ân al-kerîm in a single rak’â of namâz fell to the lot of only ‘Uthmân ibn ’Affân, Tamîm ad-Dârî, Sa’d ibn Jubair and al-Imâm al-a’zam Abû Hanîfa. He did not accept any presents from anyone. He wore clothes like those of the poor. Yet at times, in order to exhibit the blessings of Allâhu ta’âlâ, he wore very valuable clothes. He performed hajj fifty-five times and stayed in Mekka for several years. Only at the place where his soul was taken, he had recited the entire Qur’ân al-kerîm seven thousand times. He said: “I laughed once in my life, and I regret it.” He talked little and thought much. He discussed some religious matters with his disciples. One night, while leaving the mosque immediately after performing the night prayer in jamâ’â, he began to talk with his disciple Zufar on some subject. One of his feet was inside the mosque and the other was outside. The conversation

[1] Crying out of love for Allâhu ta’âlâ in namâz does not break the namâz in the Hanâfi Madhhab.

continued until the adhân for the morning prayer. Then, without taking the other step out, he went back in for the morning prayer. Because 'Alî 'râdiy-Allâhu 'anh' had said, 'It is permissible to have a personal allowance of up to four thousand dirhams,' he distributed to the poor what was more than four thousand dirhams of his earnings.

"Khalîfa Mansûr revered the Imâm very much. He presented him ten thousand aqchas and a jâriya. The Imâm declined to accept them. At that time one aqcha was worth one dirham of silver. In 145 A.H., Ibrâhîm ibn 'Abdullah ibn Hasan ibn 'Alî was recruiting men in order to help his brother Muhammad 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaihim ajma'în', who had proclaimed himself Khalîfa in al-Madînat al-munawwara. When he came to Kûfa, it was rumoured that Abû Hanîfa was helping him. Mansûr heard this and had the Imâm taken from Kûfa to Baghdad. He told him to tell everybody that Mansûr was rightfully the Khalîfa. He offered him presidency of the Supreme Court of Appeal in return. He pressed him very much. The Imâm did not accept it. Mansûr imprisoned him and had him thrashed with a stick thirty strokes. His blessed feet bled. Mansûr repented and sent him thirty thousand aqchas, only to be refused again. He was imprisoned again and thrashed ten strokes more every following day. [According to some report] on the eleventh day, for fear that the people might rebel, he was forced to lie down on his back and poisonous sherbet (a sweet fruit drink) was poured into his mouth. As he was about to die, he prostrated (sajda). Some fifty thousand people performed namâz of janâza for him.^[1] Because of the enormous crowd, it was performed with difficulty and finished not before the late afternoon prayer. For twenty days many people visited his grave and performed namâz of janâza for him near his tomb.

"He had seven hundred and thirty disciples. Each of them was famed for his virtue and pious deeds. Many of them became qâdîs or muftîs. His son Hammâd 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ alaih' was one of his notable disciples." Passages from the book **Mir'ât-ul-kâinât** end here.

***They have been leaders guiding the ahl-i dîn,
rahmatullâhi 'alaihim ajma'în.***

[1] Please see the fifteenth chapter of the fifth fascicle of **Endless Bliss**.

There were some disagreements between al-Imâm al-a'zam and his disciples on the teachings that were to be obtained through ijtihâd. The following hadîth ash-sherîf declares that these disagreements were useful: “**Disagreement** (on the 'âmâl, practices) **among my Umma is** [Allâhu ta'âlâ's] **compassion.**” He feared Allâhu ta'âlâ very much and was very diligent in adapting himself to the Qur'ân al-kerîm. He said to his disciples: “If you come across a document (sanad) inconsistent with my words on a subject, ignore my words and follow that document.” All his disciples swore: “Even our words inconsistent with his words surely depend on a proof (dalîl, sanad) we had heard from him.”

Hanâfî muftîs have to issue fatwâs agreeable with what al-Imâm al-a'zam said. If they cannot find his word, they should follow Imâm Abû Yûsuf. After him, Imâm Muhammad should be followed. If the words of Imâm Abû Yûsuf and Imâm Muhammad are on one side and those of al-Imâm al-a'zam on the other, a muftî may issue a fatwâ according to either side. When there is a darûra (a situation that cannot be helped), he may issue a fatwâ suitable with the words of the mujtahid who showed the easiest way. He cannot issue a fatwâ that does not depend on the words of any of the mujtahids; such an issue cannot be called a fatwâ.^[1]

[1] ‘Fatwâ’ means a conclusive verdict which an authorized Islamic scholar delivers as an answer to Muslims’ questions on a religious matter whereon they are at a loss as to how to act. Sources of the verdict have to be appended to the fatwâ.

4 — WAHHÂBISM AND ITS REFUTATION BY THE AHL AS-SUNNA

Although they say they are Muslims, **Wahhâbîs**, also called **Najdîs**, are one of the groups who have departed from the Ahl as-Sunna.

Ahmed Cevdet Paşa, a statesman, and **Eyyûb Sabrî Paşa** [d. 1308 (1890 A.D.)], Rear-Admiral during the time of the thirty-fourth Ottoman sultan 'Abd al-Hamîd Khân II (1258-1336 [1842-1918], buried in the shrine of Sultan Mahmûd in Istanbul) 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaihim', each wrote a history book, in which they explained Wahhâbism in full detail.^[1] The following is derived, for the most part, from the latter's book, who translated the information from Ahmad Zaynî Dahlân's^[2] book entitled "Fitnat al-Wahhâbiyya." He passed away in 1308 (1890 A.D.).

Wahhâbism was established by Muhammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahhâb. He was born in Huraymila in Najd in 1111 [1699 A.D.] and died in 1206 [1791 A.D.]. Formerly, he had been to Basra, Baghdad, Iran, India and Damascus with a view to travelling and trade. He was in Basra when, in 1125 [1713 A.D.], he succumbed to a snare set by Hempher, who was only one of the numerous British spies, and served as a tool in the British plans to (**destroy Islam**). He published the absurdities prepared by the spy in the name of **Wahhabism**. Our book **Confessions of A British Spy** gives detailed information on the establishment of Wahhabism. There he found and read books written by Ahmad Ibn Taymiyya of Harrân (661-728 [1263-1328], d. in Damascus), the contents of which were incompatible with the Ahl as-Sunna. Being a very cunning person, he became known as ash-Shaikh an-Najdî. His book entitled **Kitâb at-tawhîd**,^[3] which he prepared in cooperation with British spies,

[1] The seventh volume of the former's 12-volume **Târîkh-i Othmâni** and the third volume of the latter's 5-volume **Mir'ât al-Haramain** (p. 99. Turkish, the Library of Süleymaniyye).

[2] Ahmad Dahlân 'rahmatullâhi 'alaih', (1231 [1816], Mekka-1304 [1886], Medina), Mufti of Mekka.

[3] Meccan scholars wrote very beautiful answers to **Kitâb at-tawhîd** and refuted it with sound documents in 1221. The collection of their refutations, titled **Sayf al-Jabbâr**, which was later printed in Pakistan, was reproduced in Istanbul in 1395 [1975 A.D.].

was annotated by his grandson, 'Abd ar-Rahmân, and was interpolated and published in Egypt with the title **Fat-h al-majîd** by a Wahhâbî called Muhammad Hamîd. Muhammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahhâb's ideas imbued villagers, the inhabitants of Dar'iyya and their chief, Muhammad ibn Su'ûd. People who accepted his ideas, which he termed Wahhâbiyya, are called Wahhâbîs or Najdîs. They increased in number, and he imposed himself as the qâdî and Muhammad ibn Su'ûd as the amîr (ruler). He declared it as a law that only their own descendants should succeed them.

Muhammad's father, 'Abd al-Wahhâb, who was a pious Muslim and a scholar of Medina, apprehended from Ibn 'Abd al-Wahhâb's words that he would start an eccentric movement and advised everybody not to talk with him. But he proclaimed Wahhâbism in 1150 [1737 A.D.]. He spoke ill of the ijtihâds of the 'ulamâ' of Islam. He went so far as to call the Ahl as-Sunna "disbelievers." He said that he who visited the shrine of a Prophet or of a Walî and addressed him as "Yâ Nabî-Allâh!" (O Allah's Prophet) or as, "Yâ 'Abd al-Qâdir!" would become a polytheist (mushrik).

The Wahhâbî point of view is that he who says that anybody besides Allâhu ta'âlâ did something becomes a polytheist, a disbeliever. For example, he who says, "Such and such medicine relieved the pain," or "Allâhu ta'âlâ accepted my prayers near the grave of Prophet or Walî so and so," becomes a polytheist. To prove these ideas, he puts forth as documents the âyat al-kerîma: "**Iyyâka nasta'in**" (**Only Thy help we ask**) of the Sûrat al-Fatiha and the âyats expounding tawakkul.^[1]

The book entitled **al-Usûl-ul-arba'a fi-terdîd-il-wahhâbiyya**, at the end of its second part, says in Persian:

The Wahhâbîs and other lâ-madhhâbî people cannot comprehend the meanings of **majâz**^[2] and **isti'âra** (metaphor).

[1] The correct meanings of these âyats by the 'ulamâ' of the Ahl as-Sunna and the matters of tawhîd and tawakkul are written in detail in **Endless Bliss**, Third Fascicle, Chapter 35. People who know the correct meaning of 'tawhîd' will understand that the Wahhâbîs, who consider themselves muwahhidîs, are not muwahhidîs (believers in tawhîd).

[2] Majâz is the use of a word not in its usual or obvious literal meaning but in a sense connected to its meaning. When a word special to Allâhu ta'âlâ is used for men in a majâzî (figurative, symbolic) sense, the Wahhâbîs take it in its literal meaning and call the one who uses it symbolically a polytheist and disbeliever; they are unaware that such words are used for men in symbolical senses in the Qur'ân al-kerîm and hadîth ash-sherîfs.

Whenever somebody says that he did something, they call him a polytheist or a disbeliever although his expression is a majâz. However, Allâhu ta’âlâ declares in many âyats of the Qur’ân al-kerîm that He is the Real Maker of every act and that man is the majâzî maker. In the 57th âyat of Sûrat al-An’âm and in Sûrat Yûsuf, He says: “**The decision (hukm) is Allâhu ta’âlâ’s alone,**” that is, Allâhu ta’âlâ is the only Decider (Hâkim). In the 64th âyat of the Sûrat an-Nisâ’, He says: “**They will not be Believers unless they make thee (the Prophet) judge (yuhakkimûnaka) of what is in dispute between them.**” The former âyat states that Allâhu ta’âlâ is the only Real Hâkim, and the latter states that man can be metaphorically referred to as a hâkim.

Every Muslim knows that Allâhu ta’âlâ alone is the One who gives life and takes life away, for He declares: “**He alone gives and takes life,**” in the 56th âyat of the Sûrat Yûnus, and, “**Allâhu ta’âlâ is the One who makes man dead at the time of his death,**” in the 42nd âyat of the Sûrat az-Zumar. In the 11th âyat of the Sûrat as-Sajda, He says as a majâz: “**The angel who is appointed as the deputy to take life takes your life.**”

Allâhu ta’âlâ alone is the One who gives health to the sick, for the 80th âyat of Sûrat ash-Shu’arâ states: “**When I become sick, only He gives me recovery.**” He quotes ’Isâ “alaihis-salâm” in the 49th âyat of the Âl-i ’Imrân sûra as saying: “**I heal him who is blind and baras,^[1] and I bring the dead back to life by Allâhu ta’âlâ’s permission.**” The One who gives a child to man is actually He; the 18th âyat of the Sûrat Mariam states [the Archangel] Jabrâ’îl’s “alaihis-salâm” majâzî words, “**I will give you a pure son.**”

The real owner of man is Allâhu ta’âlâ. The 257th âyat of the Sûrat al-Baqara states this openly: “**Allâhu ta’âlâ is the Walî** (Protector, Guardian) **of those who believe.**” And by saying, “**Allâhu ta’âlâ and His Prophet** “alaihis-salâm” **are your walîs,**” and “**The Prophet protects the Believers more than they protect themselves,**” in the 56th and 6th âyats of Sûras al-Mâ’ida and al-Ahzâb, respectively, He means that man, too, although symbolically, is a walî. Similarly, the real helper is Allâhu ta’âlâ, and He also calls men ‘mu’în’ (helper) metaphorically. He says in the third âyat of the Sûrat al-Mâ’ida: “**Help one another in goodness and piety (taqwâ).**” Wahhâbîs use the word ‘mushrik’

[1] A skin-diseased person, albion or vitiligo, with complete or partial whiteness, respectively, of the skin.

(polytheist) for Muslims who call somebody an 'abd (servant, slave) of someone other than Allâhu ta'âlâ, for example, "Abd an-Nabî" or "Abd ar-Rasûl"; however, in the 32nd âyat of Sûrat an-Nûr, it is declared: **"Give in marriage your unmarried women and those pious ones among your slaves and female slaves."** The Real Rabb (Trainer) of men is Allâhu ta'âlâ, but someone else can also be called 'rabb' metaphorically; in the 42nd âyat of the Sûrat Yûsuf is said, **"Mention me in the presence of your rabb."**

'Istighâtha' is what the Wahhâbîs oppose most: 'to ask help or protection of someone other than Allâhu ta'âlâ,' which they call polytheism. In fact, as all Muslims know, true istighâtha is only from Allâhu ta'âlâ. However, it is permissible to say metaphorically that one can do istighâtha from someone, for, it is declared in the 15th âyat of Sûrat al-Qassâs: **"People of his tribe did istighâtha from him against the enemy."** A hadîth shérîf says: **"They will do istighâtha from Âdam 'alaihis-salâm' at the place of the Mahshar."** A hadîth shérîf quoted in **al-Hisn al-hasîn**, says: **"He who needs help should say, 'O Allâhu ta'âlâ's slaves! Help me!"** This hadîth shérîf commands us to call for help from someone not near us.^[1] Translation from the book **al-Usûl-ul-arba'a** ends here.

[Every word has a distinguishable meaning, which is called the real meaning of that word. The word will be called **majâz** when it is not used in its real meaning but in any other meaning which can be related to it. When a word special to Allâhu ta'âlâ is used as **majâz** for human beings, Wahhâbî people will think that the word is being used in its real meaning. So, they will call a person who uses the word mushriq, or kâfir. But they should pay attention to the fact that these words are used as **majâz** in âyats and hadîth-i-shérîfs for human beings.]

To ask for shafâ'a (intercession) and help from Rasûlullah 'alaihis-salâm' and the Awliyâ' does not mean to turn away from Allâhu ta'âlâ or to forget that He is the Creator. It is like expecting rain from Him through the cause or means (wâsita) of

[1] **Al-Usûl al-arba'a fî tardîd al-Wahhâbiyya** (in Persian), end of the second part, India, 1346 [1928 A.D.]; photographic reproduction, Istanbul, 1395 (1975 A.D.). This book was written by Muhammad Hasan Jân Sâhib, one of the grandsons of hadrat Imâm Rabbâni 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ alaihimâ'. The author, Jân Sâhib, refutes the Wahhâbîs and other lâ-madhhabî people also in his Arabic work **Tarîq an-najât**, India, 1350 (with Urdu translation); photographic reproduction, Istanbul, 1396 [1976 A.D.].

clouds; expecting cure from Him by taking medicine; expecting victory from Him by using cannons, bombs, rockets and aeroplanes. These are causes. Allâhu ta’âlâ creates everything through causes. It is not polytheism (shirk) to hold on to these causes. Prophets ‘alaihim-us-salâm’ always held on to causes. As we go to a fountain to drink water, which Allâhu ta’âlâ created, and to the bakery to get bread, which again He created, and as we make armaments and drill and train our troops so that Allâhu ta’âlâ will give us victory, likewise we set our hearts on the soul of a Prophet or a Walî in order that Allâhu ta’âlâ will accept our prayers. To use a radio in order to hear a sound which Allâhu ta’âlâ creates through the means of electro-magnetic waves does not mean to forget about Him and have recourse to a box, for He is the One who gives this peculiarity, this power, to the apparatus in the radio box. Allâhu ta’âlâ has concealed His Omnipotence in everything. A polytheist worships idols but does not think of Allâhu ta’âlâ. A Muslim, when he uses causes and means, thinks of Allâhu ta’âlâ, who gives effectiveness and peculiarities to the causes and creatures. Whatever he wishes, he expects it from Allâhu ta’âlâ. He knows that whatever he gets comes from Allâhu ta’âlâ. The meaning of the above-mentioned âyat shows that this is true. That is, when saying the Sûrat al-Fâtiha in each namâz, the Believer says, ‘O my Rabb! I hold on to material and scientific causes in order to get my worldly desires and needs, and beg Thine beloved slaves to help me. As I do so, and always, I believe that Thou alone is the Giver, the Creator of wishes. From Thee alone do I expect!’ Believers who say this every day can not be said to be polytheists. To ask for help from the souls of Prophets and Awliyâ’ is to hold on to these causes, which were created by Allâhu ta’âlâ. This âyat of Sûrat al-Fâtiha states clearly that they are not polytheists but true Believers. Wahhâbîs also stick to material and scientific means. They satisfy their sensual desires by any means. But they call it “polytheism” to have recourse to Prophets and Awliyâ’ as mediators.

Since the words of Muhammad ibn ’Abd al-Wahhâb were all in accordance with sensual desires, people who did not have religious knowledge believed them easily. They asserted that the scholars of Ahl as-Sunna and Muslims of the right way were disbelievers. Amîrs (leaders) found Wahhâbism consistent with their desires to increase their power and to enlarge their lands and territories. They forced the Arab tribes to become Wahhâbîs. They killed people who would not believe them. Villagers, from

fear of death, obeyed the amîr of Dar'iyya, Muhammad ibn Sa'ûd. Becoming soldiers of the amîr suited their desires to attack the property, life and chastity of non-Wahhâbîs.

Shaikh Sulaymân, Muhammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahhâb's brother, was a Sunnî scholar. This blessed person refuted Wahhâbism in his book **as-Sawâ'iq al-ilâhiyya fi-r-raddi 'alâ-l-Wahhâbiyya** and prevented the dissemination of its heretical tenets. This valuable book was printed in the year 1306. It was also printed by offset process in Istanbul in 1395 [1975 A.D.]. Muhammad's teachers, who realized that he had opened a way leading to evil, refuted his corrupt books. They announced that he had deviated from the right way. They proved that Wahhâbîs gave wrong meanings to âyats and hadîths. Yet all these increased the villagers' resentment and hostility against the Believers.

Wahhâbism was spread not through knowledge but through cruelty and bloodshed by ignorant people. Of the cruel people who soaked their hands with blood in this way, the amîr of Dar'iyya, Muhammad ibn Sa'ûd, was the most stone-hearted. This man was of the Banî Hanîfa tribe and was one of the descendants of those idiots who had believed that Musailamat al-kadhdhâb was a prophet. He died in 1178 [1765 A.D.] and was succeeded by his son 'Abd-ul-'azîz, who, in his turn, was slain by a Shiite in 1217. He was succeeded by his son Sa'ûd, who died in 1231. His son 'Abdullah took his place, only to be executed in Istanbul in 1240. His place was taken by Tarkî bin 'Abdullah, a grandson of 'Abd-ul-'azîz's. The person to succeed him, in 1254, was his son Faisal, who in his turn was succeeded by his son 'Abdullah in 1282. His brother 'Abd-ur-Rahmân and his son 'Abd-ul-'azîz settled in Kuwait. In 1319 [1901 A.D.] 'Abd-ul-'azîz moved to Riyâd and became the Emîr. In 1918 he attacked Mekka in cooperation with the British. In 1351 [1932 A.D.] he established the State of Sa'udi Arabia. We read in newspapers issued in 1991 that Fahd, the Emîr of Su'ûd, had sent four billion dollars as an aid to the Russian disbelievers who had been fighting the Mujahideen in Afghanistan.

Wahhâbîs claim that they are on the way of being sincere in believing in the Oneness of Allâhu ta'âlâ and in escaping disbelief, that all Muslims have been polytheists for six hundred years, and that they have been trying to save them from disbelief. To prove themselves right, they put forward the fifth âyat kerîma of Sûrat al-Ahqâf and the 106th âyat kerîma of the Sûrat Yûnus. However, all the Tafsîrs of Qur'ân al-kerîm unanimously say that

these two âyats and many others have all been intended for polytheists. The first of these âyats is: “**No one is more heretical than the one who turns away from Allâhu ta’âlâ and worships things which will never hear till the end of the world.**” And the other one is: “**Tell the Meccan polytheists: I was commanded not to worship things, which are neither useful nor harmful, other than Allâhu ta’âlâ. If you worship anyone but Allâhu ta’âlâ, you will be tormenting and doing harm to yourselves!**”

The book entitled **Kashf ash-shubuhât** tampers with the third âyat kerîma of Sûrat az-Zumar, which declares: “**Those who accept things other than Alâhu ta’âlâ as guardians say, ‘If we worship them, we worship them so that they might help us approach Allâhu ta’âlâ and intercede for us.**” This âyat kerîma quotes the words of polytheists who worship idols. The book likens Muslims who ask for shafâ'a to such polytheists and intentionally says that polytheists also believed that their idols were not creative but that Allâhu ta’âlâ alone was the Creator. In an interpretation of this âyat kerîma, the book **Rûh al-bayân** says: “Human creatures are created with the ability to acknowledge the Creator, who created them and everything. Every human creature feels the desire to worship his Creator and to be drawn towards Him. Yet this ability and desire are worthless, for the nafs, Satan or bad companions might deceive man, [and as a result, this innate desire will be destroyed,] and man will become [either an unbeliever in the Creator and the Last Day like communists and freemasons or] a polytheist. A polytheist cannot approach Allâhu ta’âlâ, nor can he know Him. The valuable thing is the ma’rifa, the knowledge, which ensues after eliminating polytheism and embracing tawhîd. Its sign is to believe in Prophets “alaihis-salâm” and their books and to follow them. This is the only way of being drawn towards Allâhu ta’âlâ. The merit of prostrating oneself was naturally given to Satan, but he refused to prostrate in a manner unsuitable for his nafs. Ancient Greek philosophers became disbelievers because they wanted to approach Allâhu ta’âlâ not by following Prophets “alaihis-salâm” but by their own reasons and nafses. Muslims, to approach Allâhu ta’âlâ, adapt themselves to Islam, thus their hearts get filled with spiritual light. The Attribute ‘Jamâl’ (Beauty) of Allâhu ta’âlâ manifests itself to their spirits. Polytheists, to approach Allâhu ta’âlâ, follow not the Prophet or Islam but their nafses, their defective minds and bid’as, and thus their hearts become darkened and their spirits become obscured. Allâhu ta’âlâ, at the end of this âyat kerîma,

states that they lie in their statement, “We worship idols so that they shall intercede for us.” As is seen, it is very unjust to take the 25th âyat kerîma of Sûrat al-Luqmân, which says, “**If you ask disbelievers, ‘Who created the earth and the skies?’ they will say, ‘certainly Allâhu ta’âlâ created them,’**” and the 87th âyat kerîma of Sûrat az-Zukhruf, which says, “**If you ask those who worship things other than Allâhu ta’âlâ, ‘Who created these?’ they will say, ‘Certainly Allâhu ta’âlâ created them,’**” as documents and to say, “Polytheists, too, knew that the Creator was Allah alone. They worshipped idols so that they would intercede for them on the Day of Judgement. For this reason they became polytheists and disbelievers.”^[1]

We, Muslims, do not worship Prophets “alaihis-salâm” or Awliyâ’ ‘rahimahum-Allâhu ta’âlâ’; we say that they are not companions or partners of Allâhu ta’âlâ. We believe that they were creatures and human beings and that they are not worth worshipping. We believe that they are the beloved slaves of Allâhu ta’âlâ, and He will pity His slaves for the grace of His beloved ones. Allâhu ta’âlâ alone creates loss and profit. He alone is worth worshipping. We say that He pities His slaves for the sake of His beloved ones. As for polytheists; although they, owing to the knowledge inherent in their creation, say that their idols are not creative, and because they have not developed this latent knowledge by following Prophets “alaihim-us-salâm”, believe that their idols are worth worshipping, and so they worship them. Because they say idols are worth worshipping, they become polytheists. Otherwise, they would not become polytheists for saying that they wanted intercession. As is seen, likening the Ahl as-Sunna to idolatrous disbelievers is completely wrong. All these âyats were sent for idolatrous disbelievers and polytheists. The book **Kashf ash-shubuhât** gives wrong meanings to the âyats, uses sophism and says that Sunnî Muslims are polytheists. It also recommends that non-Wahhâbîte Muslims should be killed and that their property should be confiscated.

[1] Jamîl Sidqî az-Zahâwî ‘rahmatullâhi ta’âlâ ’alaih’ an ’âlim of Iraq, in his work **al-Fajr as-Sâdiq fi-r-radd-i-’ala-l-munkiri-t-tawâsul-i-wa-l-karâmat-i-wa-l-hawâriq**, (published in Egypt in 1323 [1905 A.D.], photographic second reproduction, Istanbul, 1396 [1976 A.D.]), explained this âyat-i kerîma and proved that it had been misinterpreted. Jamîl Sidqî taught ’ilm al-kalâm at the University of Istanbul. He died in 1355 [1936 A.D.]. The 1956 edition of **al-Munjid** gives a picture of him.

Two hadîths quoted by 'Abdullah ibn 'Umar 'radiy-Allâhu 'anhumâ' state: "**They have left the right course. They have imputed to Muslims the [meanings of the] âyats that descended for disbelievers,**" and "**Of all my fears on behalf of the Umma, the most horrible thing is their interpretation of the Qur'ân al-kerîm according to their own opinions and their fallacious translations.**" These two hadîths foretold that lâ-madhabî people would appear and by misinterpreting the âyats that had descended for disbelievers they would use them against Muslims.

Another person who realized that Muhammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahhâb had wrong ideas and would be harmful later on and who gave advice to him was Shaikh Muhammad ibn Sulaymân al-Madâni 'rahimahullâhu ta'âlâ', (d. 1194 [1780 A.D.], Medina,) one of the great scholars of Medina. He was a Shâfi'i scholar in Fiqh and wrote many books. His annotation to Ibn Hajar al-Makkî's 'rahimah-Allâhu ta'âlâ' **at-Tuhfat al-muhtâj**, a commentary to the book **Minhâj**, has gained great fame. In his two-volume book, which is entitled **al-Fatâwâ**, he says: "O Muhammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahhâb! Don't slander Muslims! I advice you for Allâhu ta'âlâ's sake. Yes, if someone says that someone other than Allâhu ta'âlâ creates actions, tell him the truth! But those who cling to causes (wasîla) and who believe that both causes and the effective power in them are created by Allâhu ta'âlâ cannot be called disbelievers. You are a Muslim, too. It would be more correct to call one Muslim a 'heretic' than calling all Muslims as such. He who leaves the community is more likely to have gone astray. The 114th âyat kerîma of Sûrat an-Nisâ' proves my word right: **'If a person who, after learning the way to guidance, opposes the Prophet "alaihis-salâm" and deviates from the Believers' beliefs and 'ibâdât, in the next world We shall resurrect him in disbelief and apostasy, with which he has been so intimate, and We shall hurl him into Hell.'**"

Although Wahhâbîs have innumerable wrong tenets, they are based on three principles:

1— They say that a'mâl (practices) or 'ibâdât (acts of worship) are included in îmân and that he who does not perform a fard although he believes that it is fard, for example, namâz because of laziness or zakât because of stinginess, becomes a disbeliever and he must be killed and his property must be divided and distributed among Wahhâbîs.

Ash-Shihristânî states: "Scholars of Ahl as-Sunna have unanimously said that worship is not included in îmân. If a

Muslim does not perform a certain act of farz worship because of laziness although he believes that it is farz to perform it, he will not become a disbeliever. There has not been unanimity concerning those who do not perform namâz; according to the Hanbalî Madhhâb, a person who does not perform namâz because of laziness becomes a disbeliever.”^[1] [Thenâullah Pâni-pûtî ‘rahmatullâhi ’alaîh’ states at the beginning of his book **Mâlâ budda**: “A Muslim does not become a disbeliever by committing a grave sin. If he is put into Hell, he will be taken out of Hell sooner or later and will be put into Paradise. He will stay eternally in Paradise.” This book is in Persian and was printed in Delhi in 1376 [1956 A.D.] and was reproduced by Hakîkat Kitâbevi in Istanbul in 1410 [1990 A.D.]. In the Hanbalî Madhhâb, it was said that only a person who did not perform namâz would become a disbeliever. The same was not said for other kinds of worship. Therefore, it would be wrong to consider Wahhâbîs as Hanbalîs in this respect. As is explained above, people who do not belong to the Ahl as-Sunna cannot be Hanbalîs, either.^[2] Those who do not belong to any of the four Madhhâbs do not belong to the Ahl as-Sunna.

2— They say that a person who asks for shafâ'a from the souls of Prophets ‘alaihim-us-salâm’ or Awliyâ’ ‘rahimahum-Allâhu ta’âlâ’ or who visits their graves and prays with the thought that they are mediators will become a disbeliever. They also believe that the dead do not have any sense.

If a person who talked to a dead person in a grave had been a disbeliever, our Prophet ‘sall-Allâhu ’alaihi wa sallam’, great scholars and the Awliyâ’ would not have prayed in this manner. It was our Prophet’s ‘sall-Allâhu ’alaihi wa sallam’ habit to visit the **Bakî** Cemetery in Medina and the martyrs of Uhud. In fact, it is written in the 485th page of the Wahhâbîte book **Fath al-majid** that he greeted and talked to them.

Our Prophet ‘sall-Allâhu ’alaihi wa sallam’ always said in his prayers: “**Allâhumma innî as-aluka bi-haqqi-s-sâ’îlîna ’alaika,**” (Yâ Rabbî! I ask Thee for the sake of those people whom Thou hast given whatever they asked) and recommended that we pray so. When he interred Fâtimâ, the mother of Hadrat ’Alî ‘radiy-Allâhu ’anhumâ’, with his own blessed hands, he said, “**Ighfir li-ummi Fâtîmata binti Asad wa wassi’ ’alaihâ madkhalahâ bi-haqqi**

[1] **Al-milal wa ’n-nihâl** (Turkish), p. 63, Cairo, 1070 A.H.

[2] See our **Advice for the Muslim** for details on the same subject.

nabiyyika wa-l-anbiyâ'-illadhîna min qabli innaka arhamu-r-râhimîn." (Yâ Rabbi! Forgive Mother Fâtimat binti Asad, her sins! Widen the place she is in! Accept this prayer of mine for the right [love] of Thy Prophet and of the Prophets who came before me! Thou art the Most Merciful of the merciful!) In a hadîth sherîf reported by 'Uthmân ibn Hunayf 'radiy-Allâhu 'anh', one of the greatest of the Ansâr, it is told how the Prophet 'alaihis-salâm' ordered a blind man, who asked him to pray for his healing, to perform an ablution and a namâz of two rak'as and then to say: "**Allâhumma innî as'âluka wa atawajjahu ilaika bi-nabiyyika Muhammadi-n-nabiyyi-r-Rahma, yâ Muhammad innî atawajjahu bika ilâ Rabbî fî hâjatî hâdhihî li-takdiya lî, Allâhumma shaffî'hu fiyya.**" In this prayer the blind man was commanded to have recourse to Muhammad 'alaihis-salâm' as a mediator so that his prayer would be accepted. The Sahâbat al-kirâm often recited this prayer, which is quoted in the second volume of **Ashi'at al-lama'ât** and also in **al-Hisn al-hasîn** with its references and, with the meaning it purports: "I turn towards Thee through Thine Prophet."

These prayers show that it is permissible to put those whom Allâhu ta'âlâ loves as mediators and to pray to Him by saying "for their sake."

Shaikh 'Alî Mahfûz, who died in 1361 [1942 A.D.], one of the great scholars of **Jâmi' al-Azhar**, praises Ibn Taymiyya and 'Abduh very much in his book **al-Ibdâ'.** Nevertheless, he says in the two hundred and thirteenth page of the same book: "It is not right to say that the great Awliyâ 'rahimahum-Allâhu ta'âlâ' perform worldly deeds after death, such as curing the ill, rescuing those who are about to be drowned, helping those who are against the enemy and having lost things found. It is wrong to say that, because the Awliyâ are very great, Allâhu ta'âlâ has left these tasks to them or they do what they wish or that a person who adheres to them will not go wrong. But whether they are alive or dead, Allâhu ta'âlâ blesses, among His Awliyâ', the ones whom He chooses and, through their karâmât, He cures the ill, rescues people who are about to be drowned, helps those who are fighting an enemy and recovers lost things. This is logical. In fact, the Qur'ân al-kerîm reveals these facts."^[1]

[1] Shaikh 'Alî Mahfûz, **al-Ibdâ'**, p. 213, Cairo, 1375 [1956 A.D.]; 'Abdullah ad-Dasûqî and Yûsuf ad-Dajwî, professors at Jâmi' al-Azhar, wrote eulogies praising the book at the end of **al-Ibdâ'**.

'Abd al-Ghanî an-Nabulusî 'rahimah-Allâhu ta'âlâ' writes: "A hadîth qudsî, which al-Bukhârî quotes on the authority of Abû Hurayra 'radiy-Allâhu ta'âlâ 'anh', says: Allâhu ta'âlâ declared: **'My slaves cannot approach Me through anything as close as they approach Me by means of the fard. If My slaves do the supererogatory worship, I love them so much so that they hear with Me, see with Me, hold everything with Me, walk with Me, and I give them whatever they ask of Me. If they trust in Me, I protect them.'**" The supererogatory acts of worship mentioned here are, [as is clearly written in **Marâq al-falâh** and at-Tahtâwî's annotation,] the sunna and supererogatory worship done by those who have performed the acts of worship which are fard. This hadîth sherîf shows that a person who, after doing the acts of worship which are fard, does the supererogatory worships as well will earn Allâhu ta'âlâ's love and his prayers will be accepted."^[1] Whether alive or dead, when such people pray for others, people for whom they pray will attain what they wish. Such people hear even when they are dead. They do not turn down those who ask empty-handed, as they did not when they were alive; they pray for them. For this reason, a hadîth sherîf states: "**When you are in trouble in your affairs, ask for help from those who are in graves!**" The meaning of this hadîth sherîf is clear, and its ta'wîl (interpretation in a different way) is not permitted. 'Alûsî's interpretation is false.

In actual fact, "Muslims are still Muslims when they are dead just as is the case when they are asleep. Prophets are still Prophets 'alaihis-salâm' after death just as is the case when they are asleep; for, it is the soul who is a Muslim or a Prophet, respectively. When a man dies, his soul does not cease to be alive. This fact is written in the book **'Umdat al-'aqâ'id** by Imâm 'Abdullah an-Nasaffî [printed in London in 1259 (1843 A.D.)]. Likewise, Awliyâ' are still Awliyâ' (rahimahum-Allâhu ta'âlâ) when they are dead just as they are when asleep. He who does not believe this is ignorant and stubborn. I have proven in another book that the Awliyâ' possess karâmât after they die, too."^[2] The Hanâffî scholar Ahmad ibn Sayyid Muhammad al-Makkî al-Hamawî and the Shâfi'î scholars Ahmad ibn Ahmad as-Sujâ'î and Muhammad ash-Shawbarî al-Misrî wrote booklets in which they proved with

[1] 'Abd al-Ghanî an-Nabulusî, **al-Hadîqat an-nâdiyya**, p. 182, Istanbul, 1290.

[2] **al-Hadîqa an-nâdiyya**, p. 290.

evidence that Awliyâ' possessed karâmât, that their karâmât continued after their death, and that tawassul or istighâtha [see below] at their graves was permitted (jâ'iz).^[1]

Muhammad Hâdimî Efendi 'rahimah-Allâhu ta'âlâ' of Konya (d. 1176/1762 in Konya) wrote: "The Karâmât of Awliyâ' are true. A **Walî** is a Muslim who is **al-'ârifu billâh** (one who knows Allâhu ta'âlâ and His Attributes as well as is possible). He performs many 'ibâdât and tâ'at. He very carefully avoids sins and the sensual desires of his nafs. Things created by Allâhu ta'âlâ outside of His Law of Causation and scientific laws are called '**khâriq-ul 'âda**' (wonders), which are of eight kinds: mu'jiza, karâma, i'âna, ihâna, sihr, ibtilâ, isâbat al-ayn (effect caused by the evil eye) and irhâs. **Karâma** is an extraordinary event that happens through a devoted Believer who is al-'ârifu billâh. He is a Walî, not a Prophet. Abû Ishaq Ibrâhîm al-Isfarâinî, a Shâfi'i scholar, denied some of the karâma, and all Mu'tazila^[2] denied karâma. They said that it can be confused with mu'jiza and, therefore, belief in Prophets might become difficult. However, a Walî through whom a karâma happened does not claim Prophethood, nor does he want a karâma to happen.^[3] It is permissible to pray to Allâhu ta'âlâ through Prophets and Awliyâ' even after their death because their mu'jiza and karâma do not cease after death. This type of prayer is called '**tawassul**' or '**istighâtha**' Ar-Ramlî, too, said the same. Al-Imâm al-Haramain said: 'Only the Sh'ites deny the continuity of karâma after death.' 'Alî Ajhurî, a prominent Mâlikî scholar of Egypt, said: 'The Walî, when he is alive, is like a

[1] These three booklets were published together with Ahmad Zaynî Dahlânî's 'rahimah-Allâhu ta'âlâ 'alaih' **ad-Durar as-saniyya fi-r-raddi 'alâ-l-Wahhâbiyya** in Cairo in 1319 [1901 A.D.]; photographic reproduction, Istanbul, 1396 [1976 A.D.].

[2] People who held the wrong belief termed Mu'tazila.

[3] Allâhu ta'âlâ creates everything through (a law of causation called) 'âdat-i-ilâhiyya. Sometimes He suspends His 'âdat-i-ilâhiyya and creates in a unusual way termed wonder or wonderful event for the sake of His beloved slaves. When a wonderful event takes place through a Prophet, it is called a **mu'jiza** (pl. mu'jizât). When it takes place through a Walî (pl. Awliyâ'), which in turn means a slave of His whom He loves, it is called a **karâmat** (pl. karâmât). Mind you, however, He sometimes creates wonders through His enemies as well. Such wonders are called **istidrâj**. Allâhu ta'âlâ states that He creates istidrâj to make His enemies even worse.

sword in its sheath. After his death, his influence becomes more effective like that of a sword out of its sheath.’ This statement is also quoted by Abû ’Alî Sanjî in his book **Nûr al-hidâya**. It is certified in the light of the Book (Qur’ân al-kerîm), the Sunna and ijmâ’ al-Umma that karâma is true. Hundreds of thousands of the karâmât of the Awliyâ’ have been reported in many valuable books.”^[1] Translation from the book *Berîqa* ends here.

And, a sahîh hadîth conveyed by the hadîth scholars Ibn Hudhaima, ad-Dâra Qutnî and at-Tabarânî on the authority of ’Abdullah ibn ’Umar ‘radîy-Allâhu ta’âlâ ’anhumâ’ states: “**It has become wâjib for me to intercede for those who will visit my grave.**” Imâm al-Manâwî, too, quoted this hadîth in *Kunûz ad-daqa’iq*. In addition, he wrote the hadîth ash-sherîf, “**After my death, visiting my shrine is like visiting me when I am alive,**” from Ibn Hibbân; and the hadîth ash-sherîf, “**I will intercede for a person who visits my grave,**” from at-Tabarânî. The following two hadîths, which are marfû’, the first one quoted by Imâm al-Bazzâr and the second one written in the **Sahîh of Muslim** and both on the authority of ’Abdullah ibn ’Umar ‘radîy-Allâhu ta’âlâ ’anhumâ’, are known by almost every Muslim: “**It has become halâl for me to intercede for those who will visit my grave;**” “**On the Day of Judgment I shall intercede for those who come to al-Madînat al-munawwara to visit my grave.**”^[2]

It is great news that is quoted in this hadîth ash-sherîf: “**A person who performs hajj and then visits my grave will have visited me when I was alive,**” which was quoted by at-Tabarânî, ad-dâra Qutnî and [’Abd ar-Rahmân] Ibn al-Jawzî. The hadîth ash-sherîf, “**A person who does not visit me after performing the hajj will have hurt me,**” which ad-Dâra Qutnî quotes, alludes to those who neglect to visit the Prophet’s ‘alaihis-salâm’ grave after hajj although they do not have an excuse (not to do so).

’Abd al-’Azîz, Rector of the Islamic University of al-Madînat al-munawwara, wrote in his **Tahqîq wa Îdhâh**: “None of the [above] hadîths [recommending the visit] has any support or document. Shaikh al-Îslâm Ibn Taymiyya said that all of them were mawdû’.” However, their sanâds (documents) are written in detail in the eighth volume of az-Zarkânî’s commentary to **al-Mawâhib** and at the end of the fourth volume of as-Samûdî’s **Wafâ’ al-wafâ’**. In these books, it is also written that these hadîths

[1] *Berîqa*, p. 269.

[2] *Mir’ât al-Madîna (Mir’ât al-Haramain)* p. 106.

were hasan^[1] and that Ibn Taymiyya's comment was groundless. The rector and the instructors of Medina university try to calumniate the writings of the scholars of Ahl as-Sunna and in their place spread the Wahhâbî tenets all over the world with their books. In order to convince Muslim and non-Muslim nations that they are true Muslims, they follow a new policy; they have founded an Islamic centre called **Râbitat al-'Âlam al-Islâmî** in Mekka and have gathered ignorant and bribable men with religious education that they have selected from every country and to whom they pay salaries, which amount to hundreds of gold coins. These ignorant men with religious posts, having no knowledge about the books of the scholars of Ahl as-Sunna, are used like puppets. From this centre they disseminate their tenets, which they call "**fatwâs of world Muslim unity**," to the entire world. In the fallacious fatwâ issued during the Ramadân of 1395 [1975 A.D.], they said: "It is fard for women to perform the namâz of Jum'a. The Khutba of Jum'a and 'Iyd can be delivered in the native language of every country." A heretic named Sabri from among the followers of Mawdûdî, a member of this center of fitna and fasâd in Mekka, immediately took that fatwâ to India, whereupon salaried, wealthy, and ignorant men being there forced women into mosques, and initiated the practice of performing the khutba in various languages. To prevent this practice, scholars of the Ahl as-Sunna and true men of religion in India 'rahimahum-Allâhu ta'âlâ' prepared fatwâs from valuable sources and spread them. Wahhâbis could not refute these fatwâs—the truth. Hundreds of men with religious education from Kerala, in southern India, realizing that they had been deceived, repented and returned to the line of the Ahl as-Sunna. Four of those fatwâs which are based on reliable sources, were printed in offset process and posted to all Islamic countries. Real men of religious authority in every country call the attention of Muslims to, and try to extinguish, the agitation which divides Islam from within. Thanks be to Allâhu ta'âlâ, the innocent and vigilant youth in every corner of the world can distinguish the truth from falsehood.

While explaining the subjects concerning the khutba of Jum'a, takbîr iftitâh and prayers in namâz, Ibn 'Âbidîn 'rahimah-Allâhu ta'âlâ' wrote in his work **Radd al-muhtâr**: "Delivering the khutba

[1] Please see the sixth chapter in the second fascicle of **Endless Bliss** for kinds of hadîth.

in a language other than Arabic would be like saying the takbîr iftitâh (“Allâhu akbar”) in another language when beginning to perform namâz. The takbîr iftitâh is like the dhikrs of namâz, and it is makrûh tahrîma to recite the dhikrs and prayers of namâz in a language other than Arabic, as it was forbidden by Hadrat ‘Umar ‘radîy-Allâhu ‘anh.’” In the chapter on the wâjibs of namâz, he wrote: “To commit a makrûh tahrîma is a minor sin. If one continues to commit it, one loses one’s ‘adâla.”^[1] It is written in **at-Tahtâwî** that a person who continually commits a minor sin becomes a fâsiq and that one should go to another mosque in order not to perform namâz [in jamâ’at] behind an imâm who is a fâsiq or a committer of bid’â. Because it was a makrûh and a bid’â, which is a grave sin, to read the whole or a part of the khutba in another language, the Sahâbat al-kirâm and the Tâbi’ûn ‘rahimahum-Allâhu ta’âlâ’ always delivered the entire khutba in Arabic in Asia and Africa, even though the listeners had no knowledge of Arabic and could not understand the khutba. Although religious knowledge had not spread and had to be taught to them, they read the entire khutba in Arabic. And it was for this reason that for six hundred years the Ottoman Shaikh al-Islâms and world-wide famous great Muslim scholars, although they seriously wanted the khutba to be read in Turkish so that the congregation could understand its contents, could not permit it — for they knew it was not permissible for the khutba to be delivered in Turkish.

A hadîth sherîf, quoted by Imâm al-Bayhakî on the authority of Abû Hurayra ‘radîy-Allâhu ‘anh’ states: “**When a person greets me, Allâhu ta’âlâ gives my soul to my body and I hear his greeting.**” Relying on this hadîth sherîf, Imâm al-Bayhakî ‘rahimah-Allâhu ta’âlâ’ said that Prophets “alaihim-us-salâm” were alive in their graves in a life unknown to us.

Moreover, ’Abdal-’Azîz ibn ’Abdullah of Medina quotes this hadîth in the 66th page of his **al-Hajj wa-l’Umra** and comments that it expresses the death of the Prophet ‘alaihis-salâm’. Yet, in the same page, he states that he is alive in his grave in a life unknown to us. His statements contradict each other. In actual fact, this hadîth sherîf indicates that his blessed soul is given to his body and he acknowledges greetings. Furthermore, the two hadîths quoted in the 73rd page of the same book report the

[1] ‘Justness’; he will become unreliable on religious matters; he will not be accepted as a witness.

command that one should say, “**As-salâmu ’alaikum ahl ad-diyâri min al-Mu’mînîn**,” while visiting graves. The hadîths order us to greet the graves of all Muslims. Someone who hears can be greeted or spoken to; although the la-madhabî quote these hadîths, they claim that the dead cannot hear, and they say ‘polytheist’ about people who believe that the dead can hear. They misinterpret âyats and hadîths!

There are many hadîths stating that Rasûlullah ‘sall-Allâhu ’alaihi wa sallam’ is alive in his grave in an unknown life. There being so many of them signifies that they are sound. Of these hadîths, the following two are written in the six widely known books of hadîths: “**I will hear the Salawât recited at my grave, I will be informed of the Salawât recited at a distance**”; “**If a person recites Salawât at my grave, Allâhu ta’âlâ sends an angel and informs me of this Salawât. I will intercede for him on the Day of Judgment.**”^[1]

If a Muslim goes to the grave of a dead Muslim whom he knew when he was alive and greets him the dead Muslim will recognize him and reply to him. A hadîth shérif quoted by Ibn Abî-d-dunyâ declares that a dead Muslim recognizes and answers a person who greets him and becomes happy. If a person greets dead people whom he did not know, they become pleased and acknowledge the greeting (salâm). While good Muslims and martyrs ‘rahimahum-Allâhu ta’âlâ’ recognize and answer those who greet them, is it possible that Rasûlullah ‘sall-Allâhu ’alaihi wa sallam’ will not? As the sun in the sky illuminates the entire world, so he answers all simultaneous greetings simultaneously.^[2]

A hadîth shérif says: “**After my death, I will hear as I do when I am alive.**” Another hadîth shérif quoted by Abû Ya’lâ says, “**Prophets ‘alaihim-us-salâm’ are alive in their graves. They perform namâz.**” Ibrâhîm ibn Bishar and Sayyid Ahmad ar-Rifâ’î and many other Awliyâ ‘rahimahum-Allâhu ta’âlâ’ said that they had heard a reply after they had greeted Rasûlullah ‘sall-Allâhu ’alaihi wa sallam’.

The great Muslim scholar Hadrat Jalâl ad-dîn as-Suyûtî wrote

[1] (The prescribed prayer called) **Salawât** is as follows: “**Allâhumma salli ’alâ Sayyidinâ Muhammadin wa ’alâ Al-i-Sayyidinâ Muhammad.**”

[2] Please see the seventeenth chapter of the fifth fascicle of **Endless Bliss** for information on how to behave when visiting a grave.

the book **Sharaf al-muhkam** as an answer to the question asked of him: “Is it true that Sayyid Ahmad ar-Rifâ’i kissed Rasûlullah’s blessed hand?” In this book, he proved with reasonable and traditional evidence that Rasûlullah ‘sall-Allâhu ’alaihi wa sallam’ was alive in his grave in an incomprehensible life and that he heard and answered greetings. He also explained in this book that on the Mi’râj Night Rasûlullah saw Mûsâ ‘alaihi-s-salâm’ performing namâz in his grave.

A hadîth sherîf, which our mother ’Â’ishat as-Siddîqa ‘radiy-Allâhu ’anhâ’ related, says: **“I suffer the pain of the poisonous meat I ate at Khaibar. Because of that poison my aorta almost fails to function now.”** This hadîth sherîf shows that, in addition to Prophethood, Allâhu ta’âlâ has given the status of martyrdom to Muhammad, the Highest of Mankind ‘alaihis-salâm’. Allâhu ta’âlâ declares in the 169th âyat of Sûrat âl ’Imrân: **“Never regard those who have been killed in the way of Allâhu ta’âlâ as dead! They are alive in His view. They are being nourished.”** No doubt this great Prophet ‘alaihis-salâm’, who has been poisoned in the way of Allâhu ta’âlâ, is the highest of people honored with the status defined in this âyat kerîma.

A hadîth sherîf quoted by Ibn Hibbân says: **“Prophets’ ‘alaihim-us-salâm’ blessed bodies never rot. If a Muslim recites the Salawât for me, an angel conveys that Salawât to me and says, ‘So and so’s son so and so has recited a Salawât and greeted you.’”**

A hadîth sherîf reported by Ibn Mâja says: **“On Fridays recite the Salawât for me repeatedly! The Salawât will be communicated to me as soon as it is recited.”** Abu-d-dardâ’ ‘radiy-Allâhu ta’âlâ ’anh’, one of those who were in the company of the Prophet ‘alaihis-salâm’ at that moment, asked, “Will it be communicated to you after death, too?” The Prophet ‘alaihis-salâm’ said: **“Yes, I will be informed of it after my death, too, for, it is harâm for the earth to decompose Prophets ‘alaihim-us-salâm’. They are alive after death, and they are nourished.”** [This hadîth-i-sherîf is written also in the final section of the book **Mawtâ-wal-qubûr**, by Thenâ-ullâhi Pâni-pûtî. This book is in Persian and was printed in Delhi in 1310 [1892 A.D.] and reproduced by Hakîkat Kitâbevi in Istanbul in 1990.]

Hadrat ’Umar ‘radiy-Allâhu ’anh’, after the conquest of Quds (Jerusalem), went to the Prophet’s ‘alaihis-salâm’ blessed Grave (al-Qabr as-Sa’âda) and visited his grave and greeted him. Hadrat ’Umar ibn ’Abd al-’Azîz, who was a great Walî, usually sent officials from Damascus to Medina and had them recite a Salawât

at the Blessed Grave and greet him. Hadrat 'Abdullah ibn 'Umar, after returning from each journey, would go directly to the Hujrat as-Sâ'âda; first he would visit Rasûlullah 'alaîhis-salâm', then Abû Bakr as-Siddîq 'radiy-Allâhu 'anh' and then his father, and greet them. Imâm Nâfi' said: "More than a hundred times I saw Hadrat 'Abdullah ibn 'Umar go to the Blessed Grave and say, 'As-salâmu 'alaika yâ Rasûl-Allah!' One day Hadrat 'Alî 'radiy-Allâhu 'anh' went into Masjid ash-Sherîf and he wept when he saw the grave of Hadrat Fâtimâ 'radiy-Allâhu 'anh' and he wept all the more when he went to the Hujrat as-Sâ'âda. Then, saying, 'As-salâmu 'alaika yâ Rasûl-Allah' and 'As-sâlâmu 'alaikumâ, O Two Brothers of Mine!' he greeted the Prophet 'alaîhis-salâm', Hadrat Abû Bakr and Hadrat 'Umar 'radiy-Allâhu ta'âlâ anhumâ'."

According to al-Imâm al-a'zam Abu Hanîfa 'rahmatullâhi 'alaîh', one should perform hajj first and then go to al-Madînat al-munawwara and visit Rasûlullah 'alaîhis-salâm'. The same is written in the fatwâ of Abu-l-Laith as-Samarqandî.

Qâdî 'Iyâd, author of the book **Shifâ'**; Imâm an-Nawawî, a Shâfi'i scholar; and Ibn Humâm, a Hanâfi scholar 'rahimahum-Allâhu ta'âlâ', said that there had been ijmâ' al-Umma on it being necessary to visit the Blessed Grave. Some scholars said that it is wâjib. As a matter of fact, it is sunnat to visit graves, a fact which is written also in the Wahhâbîte book **Fat-h al-majid**.

The 63rd âyat al-kerîma of Sûrat an-Nisâ' purports: "**If they, after tormenting their nafses, come to you (My Messenger) and beg for Allâhu ta'âlâ's (My) pardon, and if My Messenger apologizes on behalf of them, they will certainly find Allâhu ta'âlâ as the Receiver of Repentance and Compassionate.**" This âyat kerîma indicates that Rasûlullah 'sall-Allâhu 'alaîhi wa sallam' will intercede and his intercession (shafâ'a) will be accepted. Also, it commands us to come from distant places and visit his blessed grave and ask for his intercession.

A hadîth sherîf states: "**It is suitable to set off on a long-distance journey only for visiting three mosques.**" This hadîth points out that it is thawâb to go on a long journey for the purpose of visiting Masjid al-Harâm in Mekka, Masjid an-Nabî in Medina and the Masjid al-Aqsâ in Jerusalem. For this reason, Muslims who go for hajj but do not visit the Blessed Grave in Masjid an-Nabî will be deprived of this reward.

Imâm Mâlik 'rahmatullâhi 'alaîh' said that it is makrûh for those who visit the Blessed Shrine to stay too long near the Hujrat

as-Sa'âda. Imâm Zain al-'Âbidîn 'rahmatullâhi 'alaih', while visiting, stood near the pillar which stood on the Rawdat al-Mutahhara side and he approached no further. Until Hadrat 'Âisha 'radiy-Allâhu 'anhâ' died, the visit was done by standing, facing the qibla, at the outer side of the door of the Hujrat as-Sa'âda.

A hadîth sherîf says: "**Do not make my grave a [place of] festival.**" Hadrat 'Abd al-'Azîm al-Munzirî, a hadîth scholar, explained this hadîth sherîf as: "Do not consider it enough to visit my grave only once a year, like on 'Iyd days. Try to visit me frequently!" And the hadîth ash-sherîf, "**Do not make a cemetery of your houses,**" means that we should not make our houses look like a cemetery by not performing namâz in them. Hence, Hadrat al-Munzirî's explanation is correct. As a matter of fact, it is not permitted to perform namâz in a cemetery. It was said that this hadîth sherîf might come to mean, "Do not fix a certain day like a feast for visiting my shrine?" Jews and Christians, during their visit to their Prophets, habitually assembled together, played instruments, sang songs and held ceremonies. These hadîths imply that we should not behave like them; that is, we should not make merry or commit forbidden things on feast days or play reeds or drums or gather to hold ceremonies during our visit. We should visit and greet, pray and then leave silently without staying long.

Al-Imâm al-a'zam Abû Hanîfa 'rahimah-Allâhu ta'âlâ' said that visiting the Blessed Grave is a most valuable sunna, and there are some scholars who said that it is wâjib. For this reason, visiting the Blessed Grave is allowed as a vow in the Shâfi'î Madhhâb.^[1]

In fact, "Allâhu ta'âlâ, in His Word, **If I had not created you, I would not have created anything!**"^[2] points out that Muhammad "alaihis-salâm" is the Habîb-Allah (Allâhu ta'âlâ's Most Beloved). Even an ordinary person will not refuse something asked for the sake of his beloved. It is easy to have a lover do something for the sake of his beloved. If a person says, 'O my Allâhu ta'âlâ! For the sake of Thine Muhammad "alaihis-salâm", I ask of Thee,' this wish of his will not be refused. Trivial worldly affairs, however, are not worth putting Rasûlullah's 'sall-Allâhu

[1] Please see the fifth and sixth chapters of the fifth fascicle of **Endless Bliss**.

[2] This hadîth qudsî is quoted also in al-Imâm ar-Rabbanî's 'rahimah-Allâhu ta'âlâ' **Maktûbât**, vol III, 122nd letter.

’alaihi wa sallam’ grace as a mediator.”^[1]

Al-Imâm al-a’zam Abu Hanîfa ‘rahimah-Allâhu ta’âlâ’ said: “I was in Medina. Shaikh Ayyûb as-Sahtiânî, one of the sulahâ’, went into the Masjid ash-Sherîf. I followed him. Hadrat Shaikh faced the Blessed Grave and stood with his back to the qibla. Then he went out.” Hadrat Ibn Jamâ’a wrote in his book **al-Mansak al-kabîr**: “While visiting, after performing a namâz of two rak’as and praying near the minbar (pulpit), you should come to the Qibla side of the Hujrat as-Sa’âda and, with the Prophet’s ‘alaihis-salâm’ blessed head on your left, you should stay two metres away from the wall of the **al-Marqad ash-Sherîf** (the Prophet’s shrine); then, leaving the qibla wall behind and turning slowly till you face the **Muwâjahat as-Sa’âda**, you should greet him. This is so in all four Madhhabs.”

’Abd al-Ghanî an-Nabulusî ‘rahimah-Allâhu ta’âlâ’, while explaining the twenty-third of the “Disasters incurred by the tongue,” writes: “It is makrûh tahrîma to say, while praying, ‘for the right of the Prophets’ or ‘for the right of [such and such living or dead] Walî’ or to ask Allâhu ta’âlâ for something by saying so, for, it has been said that no creature has any rights on Allâhu ta’âlâ; that is, he does not have to grant anyone’s wish. This is true; yet He promised His beloved slaves and recognized a right for them on Himself; that is, He will accept their wish. He declared in Qur’ân al-kerîm that He placed a right of His slaves on Himself, for example, **‘It has become a right on Us to help Believers.’**”^[2] It is declared in **al-Fatâwâ al-Bezzâziyya**: “It is permitted to ask for something for the sake of a Prophet or a dead or living Walî by mentioning his name.” The commentary on **Shir’â** states: “One must pray [to Allâhu ta’âlâ] by making intermediaries of His Prophets ‘alaihim-us-salâm’ and Sâlih Believers. This fact is also written in **al-Hisn al-hasîn**.” As is seen, Muslim scholars said that it is permissible to pray to Allâhu ta’âlâ through the right and love which He has given to His beloved ones. And not a single scholar said that it would be polytheism to pray with the idea that men have rights on Allâhu ta’âlâ. Only Wahhâbîs say so.

Although they praise **al-Fatâwâ al-Bezzâziyya** in the book **Fat-h al-majîd** and put forward his fatwâs as documents, they object

[1] **Mir’ât al-Madîna**, p. 1282.

[2] **Al-Hadîqa**.

to him in this respect. Also Hâdimî, while explaining the “Disasters caused by the tongue,” wrote: “‘For the right of Thy Prophet or Wali’ means ‘his Prophethood or Wilâya is right.’ Our Prophet ‘alaihis-salâm’, too, with this intention said: **‘For the right of Thy Prophet Muhammad,’** and, during the wars he asked for Allâhu ta’âlâ’s help for the right of the poor among the Muhâjirûn. Also there were many Muslim scholars who prayed: ‘For the sake of those people whom Thou hast given whatever they asked from Thee,’ and, ‘For the right of Muhammad al-Ghazâlî,’ and who wrote these prayers in their books.”^[1] The book **al-Hisn al-hasîn** is full of such prayers. The tafsîr **Rûh al-bayân** says in an explanation of the eighteenth âyat of Sûrat al-Mâida: A hadîth quoted by ‘Umar al-Fârûq ‘radîy-Allâhu ‘anh’ states: **“When ‘Âdam ‘alaihis-salâm’ made a mistake, he said: ‘O my Rabb! Forgive me for the sake of Muhammad ‘alaihis-salâm.’ And Allâhu ta’âlâ said: ‘I have not created Muhammad yet. How do you know him?’ He said: ‘O my Rabb! When Thou created me and gave me of Thine soul, I looked up and saw the phrase ‘Lâ ilâha illallâh Muhammadun Rasûlullâh’ written on the skirts of the ‘Arsh. Thou would only write the name of Thine most beloved by Thine Name. Considering this, I knew that Thou loved him very much.’ Upon this Allâhu ta’âlâ said: ‘O ‘Âdam, you tell the truth. Of Mine creatures, he is the one I love most; so I have forgiven you for his sake. If Muhammad had not existed, I would not have created you.’”** This hadîth sherif is quoted in Imâm al-Bayhakî’s **Dalâ’il** and in Âlûsî’s **Ghâliyya**.

The Wahhâbî writes: “Imâm Zayn al-‘Âbidîn ‘Alî ‘rahimah-Allâhu ta’âlâ’ saw a man praying by the Prophet’s ‘alaihis-salâm’ grave and interrupted him by quoting for him the hadîth, **‘Recite a Salawât for me. Wherever you are, your greeting will be communicated to me.’**” It narrates the event incorrectly and goes on: “Hence, it is forbidden to go near a grave for praying and reciting Salawât, which is similar to making graves places of festival. It is forbidden for those who go to perform namâz in the Masjid an-Nabî to approach the tomb for greeting. None of the Sahâba did so, and they prevented those who wanted to do so. No other deed but the prayers and greetings said by his Umma will be communicated to the Prophet.”^[2] He also writes that the Sa’ûdî government placed soldiers near the Prophet’s ‘alaihis-salâm’

[1] Hâdimî, **Berîqa**, Istanbul, 1284.

[2] **Fat-h al-Majîd**, p. 259; see above p. 53 for this book.

shrine in the Masjid an-Nabî to prevent Muslims from doing so.^[1]

Hadrat Yûsuf an-Nabhânî refuted these lies at many places in his book: “Imâm Zayn al-’Âbidîn ‘rahimah-Allâhu ta’âlâ’ did not forbid to visit the Blessed Grave of the Prophet ‘alaihis-salâm’. But he forbade non-Islamic, disrespectful behaviour during a visit. His grandson, Imâm Ja’far as-Sâdiq, used to visit the Hujrat as-Sa’âda, and, standing near the pillar which stood in the direction of the Rawdat al-Mutahhara, he would greet and say: ‘His blessed head is on this side.’ **‘Do not make my grave** [a place of] **festival**’, means ‘Do not visit my grave on certain days like feast days. Visit me usually.’ ”^[2] Abû ’Abdullah al-Qurtubî writes in his **at-Tadhkira** that the deeds of the Prophet’s ‘alaihis-salâm’ Umma are communicated to him every morning and every evening.” (pp. 88, 106) “Khalîfa Mansûr, during his visit to [the shrine of] the Prophet ‘alaihis-salâm’, asked Imâm Mâlik, ‘Shall I face the tomb or the qibla?’ Imâm Mâlik ‘rahimah-Allâhu ta’âlâ’ said: ‘How could you turn your face away from Rasûlullah ‘sall-Allâhu ’alaihi wa sallam’? He is the cause of your and your father Âdâm’s ‘alaihis-salâm’ forgiveness!’ ” (pp. 89, 116) “The hadîth ash-sherîf, **‘Visit graves!’** is a command. If a harâm is committed during the visit, not the visit itself, but the harâm should be forbidden.” (p. 92) “Imâm an-Nawawî says in his **Edhkâr**, ‘It is a sunna to visit frequently the shrines of the Prophet ‘alaihis-salâm’ and of pious Muslims and to stay for some time near such places of visitation.’ ” (p.98) “Ibn Humâm, in his **Fat-h al-qadîr**, quotes the hadîth ash-sherîf transmitted by ad-Dâra Qutnî and al-Bazzâr and which says: **‘If someone visits me** [at my shrine] **only with a view to visiting me and not to do anything else, he will have the right to be interceded for by me on the Day of Judgment.’** ” (p. 100) “Allâhu ta’âlâ favoured the Awliyâ’ with karâmât. Their karâmât are witnessed frequently even after their death. They are able to be helpful after death, too. It is permitted to have them intercede with Allâhu ta’âlâ. But one should ask help from them in a manner compatible with Islam. It is not permitted to say: ‘I will give that much... for you if you give me what I request,’ or ‘If you cure my unhealthy relative,’ which is often uttered by the ignorant. However, this cannot be regarded as an act causing disbelief or polytheism, for, even an utterly ignorant person will

[1] **ibid**, p. 234.

[2] **Shawâhid al-haqq**, p. 80. 3rd. ed., Cairo, 1385 [1965 A.D.]. The next six quotations with page numbers refer to this book, too.

not expect a Walî to create. He wants the Walî to be the cause in Allâhu ta’âlâ’s creating. He thinks that the Walî is a human creature whom Allâhu ta’âlâ loves, and says: ‘Please ask Allâhu ta’âlâ to favour me with what I wish; He will not reject your prayer.’ As a matter of fact, Rasûlullah ‘sall-Allâhu ‘alaihi wa sallam’ said: **‘There are many people who are considered low and worthless but who are Allâhu ta’âlâ’s beloved slaves. When they want to do something, Allâhu ta’âlâ certainly creates it.’**^[1] Obeying such hadîths, Muslims ask the Awliyâ’ to intercede. Imâm Ahmad, al-Imâm ash-Shâfi’î, Imâm Mâlik and al-Imâm al-a’zam Abû Hanîfa ‘rahimahum-Allâhu ta’âlâ’ said that it is jâ’iz (possible, permissible) to attain baraka (blessing) through the graves of the pious. Those who say that they are of the Ahl as-Sunna or that they belong to one of the Madhhabs of the Ahl as-Sunna must say as these imâms said. Otherwise, we would rather take them as liars than Sunnîs.” (p. 118)

It is written in the subject concerning the performance of hajj on behalf of someone else in the book **al-Fatâwâ al-Hindiyya**: “It is permissible to donate the thawâb of an ‘ibâda to someone else. Therefore, the thawâb of namâz; fast; alms; pilgrimage; recitation of Qur’ân al-kerîm; dhikr; visitation of graves of Prophets, martyrs, Awliyâ’ and sâlih Muslims; giving a shroud for a corpse; and the thawâb of all gifts and good deeds can be donated.” It is understood from this passage, too, that visiting graves of the Awliyâ’ does yield thawâb.

Documents of what has been written so far are written at length in our Arabic and English books. Allâhu ta’âlâ orders Muslims to unite. Therefore, all Muslims should learn the i’tiqâd of the **Ahl as-Sunnat wa-l-Jamâ’â** and come together on the right way of Truth by believing as taught in the books of these great scholars of the Ahl as-Sunna. The Prophet ‘sall-Allâhu ‘alaihi wa sallam’ said that the only right way will be the way of the Ahl as-Sunna. We must be very careful not to stray from the unity of the Ahl as-Sunna and not to be taken in by the deceitful writings of ignorant men with religious post who trade in religious books or the writings of heretics who want to deceive Muslims. Allâhu ta’âlâ declares clearly in the 114th âyat of Sûrat an-Nisâ that those who dissent from the Muslims’ unity will go to Hell. It is clear by documents and references that a person who does not join in one

[1] This hadîth is also quoted in the 381st page of the book **Fat-h al-majîd**.

of the four Madhhabs has separated himself from the unity of the Ahl as-Sunna and that such a lâ-madhhabî person will become a heretic or a non-Muslim.^[1]

The book **at-Tawassulu bi-n-Nabî wa jahâlat al-Wahhâbiyyîn** proves with examples and documents that Ibn Taymiyya had departed from the way of the **Ahl as-Sunnat wa-l-Jamâ'a**. **Wahhâbîsm** is a mixture of Ibni Taymiyya's heresies and the British spy Hempher's lias and slanders.^[2]

3— Wahhâbîs say: “It causes kufr (disbelief) and shirk (polytheism) to build a dome over a grave, to light oil-lamps for those who worship and serve in shrines, and to vow alms for the souls of the dead! The inhabitants of al-Haramain (Mekka and Medina) have worshipped domes and walls up to now.”

Building a dome over a grave is harâm if it is for ostentation or ornamentation. If it is for protecting the grave from destruction, it is makrûh. If it is intended lest a thief or an animal should break in, it is permissible. But it should not be made a place for visiting; that is, one should not say that it should be visited at certain times.

It is not makrûh to bury corpses in a building that has been built before. The Sahâbat al-kirâm buried Rasûlullah ‘sall-Allâhu ’alaihi wa sallam’ and his two Khalîfas in a building. None of them was against it. The Hadîth ash-sherîf states that their unanimity cannot have been based on heresy. The great Islamic scholar Ibn ’Âbidîn wrote: “Some scholars said that it was makrûh to put a covering cloth, a skullcap or a turban over the graves of pious Muslims or Awliyâ’. The book **al-Fatâwâ al-hujja** says that it is makrûh to cover a grave with cloth. But, to us, it is not makrûh if it is intended to show everybody the greatness of the one in the grave or to prevent him from being insulted or to remind those who visit him to be respectful and behave well. Acts that are not prohibited in the al-adillat ash-Shar’iyya should be judged in view of the intention involved. Yes, it is true that during the time of the Sahâbat al-kirâm neither domes were built over graves nor sarcophagi or clothes were put on graves. But none of them was

[1] **Khâshiyâtu Durr al-mukhtâr** by the great scholar Ahmad at-Tahtâwî and **al-Basâ’ir ’alal-munkir-tawassuli bi-l-maqâbir**, which was written in Pakistan as a refutation to **fat-h-al-majîd** and reprinted in Istanbul.

[2] Please see the book entitled **Confessions of a British Spy**, which is available from **Hakîkat Kitâbevi**, Fâtih, Istanbul, Turkey.

against the interment of Rasûlullah 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam' and the Shaikhain (his two immediate Khalîfas) in a room. For this reason and for carrying out the commands, '**Do not step on graves!**' and '**Do not be disrespectful to your dead!**' and because they were not prohibited, they cannot be bid'as only because they were practices observed by later generations. All Fiqh books state that right after the farewell tawâf it is necessary to leave Masjid al-Harâm as an act of respect towards the Ka'ba al-mu'azzama. However, the Sahâbat al-kirâm did not have to do so because they were always respectful towards the Ka'ba. But since succeeding generations were unable to show due veneration, our scholars declared that it was necessary to show respect by leaving the Masjid walking backwards. Thus, they made it possible for us to be respectful like the Sahâbat al-kirâm. Likewise, it became permissible to cover the graves of the Sulahâ' and Awliyâ with cloth or to build domes over them in order to be respectful as the Sahâbat al-kirâm were. The great scholar Hadrat 'Abd al-Ghanî an-Nabulusî explains this in detail in his book **Kashf an-nûr**.^[1] In Arabia, shrines are called "**mashhad**." In al-Madînat al-munawwara, there were many mashhads in the Bakî Cemetery. The lâ-madhhabî destroyed all of them. No Islamic scholar has ever said that it would be polytheism or disbelief to build domed tombs or to visit tombs. No one has ever been seen demolishing tombs.

Ibrâhîm al-Halabî 'rahimah-Allâhu ta'âlâ' wrote at the end of the book entitled **al-Halabî al-kâbir**: "If a person decides that his land will be a cemetery and if there is an empty space in it, it is permissible to build a domed tomb in it with an intention of burying a corpse. When there is no empty space left, this tomb shall be demolished and graves shall be dug [in its place]. This is so because it is a place belonging to a waqf, devoted to be made a cemetery." If building domed tombs had been known to be polytheistic, or if domed tombs had been considered idols, it would always have been necessary to demolish them.

The first of the Islamic tombs to exist on the earth was the Hujrat al-mu'attara, where Rasûlullah 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa

[1] Ibn 'Âbidîn, **Hâshiyatu Durr al-mukhtâr (Radd al-muhtâr)** p. 232, vol. V, Bulaq, 1272; **Kashf an-nûr** and Jalâl ad-dîn as-Suyûti's 'rahimah-Allâhu ta'âlâ' **Tanwîr al-khalâk fi imkâni ru'yati-n-Nâbî jihâran wa-l-malâk** were published together with the title **al-Mînhat al-wâhbiyya**, Istanbul, 1393 [1973 A.D.].

sallam' was buried. Our master Rasûlullah 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam' passed away in the room belonging to his beloved wife, our mother 'Â'isha 'radiy-Allâhu 'anhâ', before noon on Monday, the twelfth of Rabî al-awwal, 11 A.H. On Wednesday night he was buried in that room. Hadrat Abû Bakr and Hadrat 'Umar 'radiy-Allâhu ta'âlâ 'anhumâ' were buried in the same room. No Sahâbî was opposed to this. Now, this unanimity of the Sahâbat al-kirâm is being opposed to. Even though denial of **ijmâ' al-Umma** by ministerinterpretation (ta'wil) of a dubious document (dalîl) does not result in disbelief, it causes bid'a.

Hadrat 'Â'isha's "radiy-Allâhu 'anhâ' room was three metres high, somewhat more than three metres long and wide, and was made of sun-dried bricks. It had two doors, one facing the west and the other facing the north. Hadrat 'Umar 'radiy-Allâhu ta'âlâ 'anh', when he was Khalîfa, enclosed the Hujrat as-Sa'âda with a low stone wall. 'Abdullah ibn Zubair 'radiy-Allâhu ta'âlâ 'anhumâ', when he became Khalîfa, demolished this wall and rebuilt it with black stones and had it plastered beautifully. This wall was not roofed at the top and there was a door on the north. When Hadrat Hasan 'radiy-Allâhu ta'âlâ 'anh' passed away in 49 A.H., his brother Hadrat Husain 'radiy-Allâhu ta'âlâ 'anh', as required by his last will, had his corpse brought to the door of the Hujrat as-Sa'âda and wanted to take his corpse into the shrine to pray and ask for intercession; there were some people who objected to it, thinking that the corpse would be buried in the shrine. Therefore, to prevent the clamour, the corpse was not taken into the shrine and was buried at the Bâkî Cemetery. Lest such unsuitable events should happen again, the doors of the room and the one outside were walled up.

Walîd, the sixth Umayyad Khalîfa, when he was the governor of Medina, raised the wall round the room and had the room covered over with a small dome. When he became Khalîfa, he ordered 'Umar ibn 'Abd al-'Azîz, his successor as the governor of Medina, to enlarge the Masjid ash-Sherîf in 88 [707 A.D.]; hence, the room was surrounded with a second wall. This was pentagonal in shape and roofed; and with no doors.^[1]

The book **Fat-h al-majîd** says: "A person who intends to get blessed (tabarruk) with a tree, stone, grave or the like becomes a polytheist. Graves have been idolized by building domes over

[1] See article 15 in **Advice for the Muslim** for more detail.

them. The people of the Jâhiliyya Ages, too, worshipped pious persons and statues. Today, all such and even more excessive acts are committed at shrines and graves. To attempt to get blessed with the graves of pious persons is similar to worshipping the idol al-Lât.^[1] These polytheists suppose that Awliyâ' hear and answer their prayers. They say that they approach the dead by making vows and giving alms for the graves. All these acts are major forms of polytheism. A polytheist is still a polytheist even if he calls himself something else. Praying to the dead respectfully and affectionately, slaughtering animals, making vows and other similar acts are all polytheistic whatever they call them. Today's polytheists, using the words 'ta'zîm' (respect, honour) and 'tabarruk,' say that what they do is permissible. This supposition of theirs is fallacious."^[2]

We have already translated the answers given by Muslim scholars to such offensive lampoons against the Muslims of the Ahl as-Sunna, and have written them in our various books. In the following, a passage from the first chapter of the book **al-Usûl al-arba'a fi tardîd al-Wahhâbiyya** is translated to show the vigilant reader that the Wahhâbîs have deceived themselves and will lead Muslims to ruination:

"The Qur'ân al-kerîm, Hadîth ash-sherîfs, statements and acts of the Salaf as-sâlihîn, and most of the scholars document that it is permissible to show ta'zîm to somebody other than Allâhu ta'âlâ. The 32nd âyat of Sûrat al-Hajj purports: **'When one shows honour (yu'azzim) to Allâhu ta'âlâ's sha'â'ir, this behaviour is out of the heart's taqwâ.' So it became wâjib to show honour to Allâhu ta'âlâ's sha'â'ir.** 'Sha'â'ir' means 'signs and indications.' Abdulhaqq ad-Dahlawî 'rahimah-Allâhu ta'âlâ' said: **'Sha'â'ir** is the plural of sha'îra, which means indication ('alâma). Anything that reminds one of Allâhu ta'âlâ is a sha'îra of Allâhu ta'âlâ.' The 158th âyat of Sûrat al-Baqara purports: **'As-Safâ and al-Marwa are among the sha'â'ir of Allâhu ta'âlâ.'** As is understood from this âyat kerîma, not only are the hills as-Safâ and al-Marwa the sha'â'ir of Allâhu ta'âlâ, but there are other sha'â'ir as well. And not only can the places called 'Arafât, Muzdalifa and Minâ be cited as sha'â'ir. Shâh Walf-Allah ad-Dahlawî 'rahimah-Allâhu ta'âlâ' says on the 69th page of his work **Hujjat Allâhi-l-bâlîgha**:

[1] One of the chief idols worshipped by the Arabs during the pre-Islamic era called the Jâhiliyya Ages.

[2] **Fat-h al-majîd**, p. 133.

‘The greatest sha’âir of Allâhu ta’âlâ are the Qur’ân al-kerîm, the Ka’bat al-mu’azzama, the Prophet “alaihis-salâtu wa-s-salâm” and the ritual salât.’ And in the 30th page of his book **Altâf al-Quds**, Shah Walf-Allah ad-Dahlawî ‘rahimah-Allâhu ta’âlâ’ says: ‘To love the sha’âir of Allâhu ta’âlâ means to love the Qur’ân al-kerîm, the Prophet “alaihi-s-salâtu wa-s-salâm” and the Ka’ba, or, to love anything that reminds one of Allâhu ta’âlâ. To love the Awliyâ’ of Allâhu ta’âlâ is the same.’^[1] While the two hills near Masjid al-Harâm in Mekka, namely as-Safâ and al-Marwa, between which the Prophet Ismâ’îl’s “alaihi-s-salâm” mother Hadrat Hajar walked, are among the sha’âir of Allâhu ta’âlâ and can cause one to remember that blessed mother, why should not the places where the Prophet Muhammad “alaihi-s-salâm”, who is the most superior of all creatures and the Beloved One of Allâhu ta’âlâ, was born and brought up and the places where he worshipped, migrated, performed salât and passed away and his blessed shrine and the places of his Âl (his blessed wives and Ahl al-Bayt) and companions be counted among the sha’âîr? Why do they destroy these places?

“When the Qur’ân al-kerîm is read attentively and objectively, it will be easily seen that many âyats express ‘ta’zîm’ for Rasûlullah “alaihi-s-salâm”. The Sûrat al-Hujurât purports: **‘O people who believe! Do not go ahead of Allâhu ta’âlâ and His Prophet ‘sall-Allâhu ’alaihi wa sallam’! Fear Allâhu ta’âlâ! O those who believe! Do not speak louder than the Prophet’s voice! Do not call him as you call one another! The reward for the deeds of those who do so will vanish! Allâhu ta’âlâ fills with taqwâ the hearts of those who lower their voices in the presence of Allâhu ta’âlâ’s Prophet; He forgives their sins and gives them many rewards. Those who shout at him from the outside are thoughtless; it is better for them to wait till he comes out.’** It is apparent to a person who reads and thinks over these five âyats impartially how much Allâhu ta’âlâ praises the ta’zîm that will be shown to His beloved Prophet “alaihi-s-salâm” and how seriously He commands the Umma to be respectful and modest towards

[1] Because the Prophet said, ‘**When Awliyâ’ are seen Allâhu ta’âlâ is remembered**,’ which is quoted in Ibn Abî Shayba’s **Musnad**, in **Irshâd at-Tâlibîn**, and in **Kunûz ad-daqâiq**, this hadîth sherîf shows that Awliyâ’, too, are among the sha’âir. It is written in **Jâmi’ ul-fatâwâ** that it is permissible to build domes over the graves of Awliyâ and Islamic scholars in order to show them honour.

him. The degree of its importance can be judged by the fact that all the deeds of those who speak louder than him will come to naught. These âyats came as a penalty for the seventy people of the Banî Tamîm tribe who had called the Prophet by shouting disrespectfully in Medina. Today some people say that they are the descendants of the Banî Tamîm tribe. It must have been for them that Rasûlullah said: '**A violent and torturous people are in the East,**' and '**Satan will arouse disunion from there,**' pointing to a direction towards the Najd territory [on the Arabian Peninsula] with his blessed hand. Some of the lâ-madhhabî are '**Najdîs**', who have spread out from the Najd. The disunion predicted in the hadîth quoted above appeared twelve hundred years later: they came from the Najd to the Hijâz, plundering Muslims' possessions, killing the men and enslaving the women and children. They committed baser evils than did disbelievers.

“WHAT IS MORE: In the above âyats, the repetitive phrase '**O those who believe,**' shows that all Muslims of all centuries till the Last Day are commanded to be respectful towards Rasûlullah 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam'. If the command had been only for the as-Sahâbat al-kirâm, 'radîy-Allâhu ta'âlâ 'anhuma ajma'în', '**O Sahâba,**' would have been said. As a matter of fact, the phrases, '**O wives of the Prophet!**' and '**O people of Medina!**' are Qur'ânic. The same phrase, '**O people who believe!**' is used in the âyats stating that namâz, fast, pilgrimage, zakât and other acts of worship are fard for all Muslims of all times till the Last Day. So the Wahhâbîs' idea that 'the Prophet 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam' was to be respected when he was alive; he must not be respected or asked for help after his death,' is groundless in view of these âyats.

"The above âyats indicate that ta'zîm towards others besides Allâhu ta'âlâ is also necessary. The 104th âyat of Sûrat al-Baqara purports: '**O people who believe! Do not say “Râ'inâ”** [to the Prophet], **but say, “Look upon us.” You, be listeners to Allâhu ta'âlâ's commands.**' Believers used to say 'Râ'inâ' (watch over, protect us) to the Prophet 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam'. 'Râ'inâ' also meant 'to swear, to blemish' in the Jewish language, and the Jews used this word for the Prophet 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam' in this sense. Because it also had this bad meaning, Allâhu ta'âlâ forbade the Believers to use this word.

"The 33rd âyat of Sûrat al-Anfâl purports: '**Allâhu ta'âlâ will not punish them while you are with them,**' and promises not to punish them until the end of the world. This âyat refutes the

Wahhâbîs' claim that the Prophet went away and became soil.

"The 34th âyat of Sûrat al-Baqara purports: '**When We said to the angels, "Prostrate yourselves before Âdâm," they all fell prostrate, except the Satan (Iblîs).**' This âyat kerîma commands that Âdâm 'alaihis-salâm' should be shown ta'zîm. Satan refused to respect somebody other than Allâhu ta'âlâ and slandered Prophets, and thereby disobeyed this command. Wahhâbîs are in the footsteps of Satan. Yûsuf's 'alaihis-salâm' parents and brothers, too, showed honour to him by prostrating themselves before him. If it caused polytheism or disbelief to show honour or respect to somebody other than Allâhu ta'âlâ, He would not have praised His beloved slaves with the word 'sajda' (prostration) when describing them. According to the Ahl as-Sunna, prostration before somebody other than Allâhu ta'âlâ is harâm because it resembles the prostration in worship, not because it is a sign of respect!"

"Satan always appeared in the figure of an old man of the Najd to Rasûlullah 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam'. When the disbelievers assembled at a place called Dâr an-Nadwa in Mekka and decided to kill the Prophet, Satan appeared in the figure of an old man of the Najd and taught them how to carry out the murder, and they agreed to do as the Najdî old man said. Since that day, Satan has been called **Shaikh an-Najdî**. Hadrat Muhyiddîn Ibn al-'Arabî writes in his work **al-Musâmarât**: 'When the Qouraish disbelievers were repairing the Ka'ba, each of the heads of the tribes said that he was going to replace the valuable stone called al-Hajar al-aswad. Later they agreed that the person who came [to the Ka'ba] earliest the following morning would be the referee to choose one from among them to place the stone. Rasûlullah 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam' was the earliest to arrive. He was twenty-five years old then, and they said they were going to obey what he would say because he was trustworthy (amîn). He said: "Bring a carpet and put the stone on it. You all hold the carpet at its sides and raise it to the level where the stone will be placed." After it was raised, he took the stone from the carpet with his blessed hands and set it at its place in the wall. At that moment, Satan appeared in the figure of the Shaikh an-Najdî and, pointing to a stone, said, "Put this beside it to support it." His real purpose was for the foul stone he pointed to to fall in the future, so that the Hajar al-aswad would lose its steadiness and, consequently, people would consider Rasûlullah 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam' inauspicious. Seeing this, Rasûlullah 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa

sallam' said, "**A'ûdhu bîllâhi min ash-shaytâni-r-rajîm**," and Satan immediately ran away, disappeared.' Because Muhyiddîn ibn al-'Arabî 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaih', with this writing, made known to the world that the Shaikh an-Najîfî was Satan, the lâ-madhhabî people hate this great Wâlî. In fact, they call him a disbeliever. It is understood also from this passage that their leader was a devil. For this reason, they destroy the blessed places inherited from Rasûlullah 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam'. They say that these places make people polytheists. If it were polytheism to pray to Allâhu a'âlâ at sacred places, Allâhu ta'âlâ would not have ordered us to go for hajj; Rasûlullah 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam' would not have kissed the Hajar al-aswad while he was performing tawâf; nobody would pray at 'Arafât and Muzdalifa; stones would not be thrown at Minâ, and Muslims would not walk between as-Safâ and al-Marwa. These sacred places would not have been respected that much.

"When Sa'd ibn Mu'âdh 'radiy-Allâhu ta'âlâ 'anh', leader of the Ansâr, came to the place where they assembled, Rasûlullah 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam' said: '**Stand up for your leader!**' This command was intended for all of them to honour Sa'd. It is wrong to say, 'Sa'd was ill. It was intended that he should be helped off his riding-animal,' because the order was for all of them. If it were intended for helping him, the order would have been for one or two persons only, and 'for Sa'd' would have been said, and there would have been no need to say 'for your leader.'

"Every time he went from Medina to Mekka for hajj, 'Abdullah ibn 'Umar 'radiy-Allâhu 'anhuma' stopped and performed namâz and prayed at the sacred places where Rasûlullah (sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam) had sat. He would become blessed by these places. He would put his hands on Rasûlullah's 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam' minbar (pulpit) and then rub them on his face. Imâm Ahmad ibn Hanbal 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaih' would kiss the Hujrat as-Sâ'âda and the pulpit to become blessed with them. The lâ-madhhabî, on the one hand say that they belong to the Hanbâlî Madhhâb, and, on the other, regard as 'polytheism' what the imâm of this Madhhâb did. Then, it is understood that their claim to be Hanbâlî is false. Imâm Ahmad ibn Hanbal put al-Imâm ash-Shâfi'i's 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaih' shirt into water and drank the water to get blessings. Khâlid ibn Zayd Abû Ayyûb al-Ansârî 'radiy-Allâhu 'anh' rubbed his face against Rasûlullah's 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam'

blessed grave and, when someone wanted to lift him up, he said: 'Leave me! I came not for the stones or soil but for the audience of Rasûlullah 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam'.'

The as-Sahâbat al-kirâm 'alaihimu-r-ridwân' used to get blessed with the things that belonged to Rasûlullah 'sall-Allâhu 'alaihi wa sallam'. They received blessings from the water he had used in ablution and from his blessed sweat, shirt, sceptre, sword, shoes, glass, ring; in short, from anything he used. Umm-i-Salama 'radîy-Allâhu 'anhâ' the mother of the Faithful, kept a hair from his blessed beard. When ill people came, she would dip the hair into water and have them drink the water. With his blessed glass, they used to drink the water for health. Imâm al-Bukhârî's 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaih' grave emanated the smell of musk, and people took soil from the grave to get blessed with it. Not a single scholar or muftî disapproved of it. Scholars of Hadîth and Fiqh permitted such behaviour."^[1] Translation from the book *Usûl-ul-arba'a* ends here.

[During the times of the Sahâbat al-kirâm and the Tâbi'ûn, and even until the end of the first millenium, there were many Awliyâ' and Sulahâ'. People used to visit them and receive blessings from them as well as obtain their prayers. There was no need to make the dead intermediaries (tawassul) or to get blessed (tabarruk) with lifeless things. The fact that these events were rare in those days does not mean that they were forbidden. If they had been forbidden, there would have been people to prevent them. Not a single scholar prevented them. As the Last Age has set in, however, bid'as and symptoms of disbelief have increased. The youth have been deceived by the enemies of Islam in the disguise of religious authorities and scientists,^[2] and, because irreligiousness or apostasy has suited their purposes, dictators and tyrants, the slaves of their nafses, have given great support to this movement. The number of scholars and Walîs has decreased; in fact, there has not appeared any in the recent decades, and, therefore, it has become a must to be blessed with the graves of and the things inherited from the Awliyâ'. However, as it has been the case in all matters and acts of worship, these practices also have been contaminated by the insertion of acts that are harâm.

[1] **Al-Usûl al-arba'a**, part one.

[2] Those who are in the disguise of scientists are called '**sham scientists**', while those in the disguise of religious men are called '**zindiqs**'.

With unanimity of the scholars^[1] of Islam, it is necessary to cleanse the lawful practices of the bid'ats that have been inserted into them, instead of banning the practices themselves. Please see the book entitled **Advice for the Muslim**, one of the publications of Hakîkat Kitâbevi in Istanbul, Turkey. Its final pages provide detailed information about the cruelties and persecutions which the Wahhâbîs inflicted on Muslims in Hijâz (Hedjaz). Muslims put gravestones above graves. They write the names of the dead Muslims on the stones. The visitors recite Sûra Fâtiha and other prayers for the souls of the names on the stones. If a Muslim visits a Walî's graves he recites another prayer wherein he asks the Walî's soul to intercede for him and invoke a blessing on him.]

[1] The writings of the scholars on this subject are quoted in Ahmad bin Zaynî Dahlân's **ad-Durar as-saniyya fi-r-raddi 'alâ-l-Wahhâbiyya**, Egypt, 1319 and 1347; photographic reproduction, Istanbul, 1395 (1975 A.D.). People who read them will have no doubts left.

5 — FINAL REMARKS

All the Attributes of Allâhu ta’âlâ manifest themselves in every creature, in the tiniest vestiges. For instance, as His Attributes of Mercy and Kindness manifest themselves, so do His Attributes Wrath, Dudgeon and Tormenting. He creates uses and harms in every substance, in everything. Man presumes luscious, pleasurable things to be useful at the same time, and this presumption misleads him. Allâhu ta’âlâ, who is very compassionate, has sent Prophets, announced the uses and the harms in everything, commanded doing what is useful and prohibited doing what is harmful. He has termed these commandments **fârd** (farz) and the prohibitions **harâm** or **dunyâ**. These commandments and prohibitions as a whole are expressed with the term Sharî’at. The meaning of the interdiction, “Avoid the dunyâ!” is, “Avoid (committing) harâms!” Another meaning of the word “dunyâ” is “life before death”. None of the worldly pleasures and flavours is harâm (forbidden). What is prohibited is using them in a harmful way. It is either farz or sunnat to use them in a useful way. Different organs of the body enjoy and take pleasure from different things, and so is the case with the heart and the nafs.

All man’s limbs are under the heart’s command. This heart, which we term ‘qalb’, is not something visible. It is a sort of power embodied in the piece of flesh that we (also) call ‘heart’.

The nafs enjoys committing harâms. The devil and the nafs on the one hand and evil company on the other, which subsumes not only misleading words and writings by harmful friends but also misguiding radio and television broadcast, are predisposed to beguile man and tempt the heart to committing harâms.

A person who has **Îmân** in his heart, i.e. who believes in the fact that Muhammed ‘alaihis-salâm’ is the Prophet, is called a **Muslim**. A Muslim has to adapt all his actions to the Sharî’at of Muhammad ‘alaihis-salâm’ and learn this Sharî’at from books written by true scholars whom we call Ahl as-sunna. He should not read religious books written by people without a Madhhab. As he adapts himself to the Sharî’at, he will gradually take a dislike to the world, that is, to harâms. Once the heart is emptied of the desire to commit harâms, love of Allah will pour into it. It is like that when a bottle is emptied of the water it has been containing air will immediately take the water’s place. Senses unknown to us will develop in such a heart. It will begin to

perceive the entire world, even life in the grave. It will hear a sound wherever it is. Wherever there is a sound it will hear it. All his worships and prayers will be accepted. He will lead a peaceful and happy life.

It is stated as follows in the four hundred and eighty-third [483] page of the book entitled **al-Fiqh-u-'ala-l-madhâhib-il-erba'a** (and written by 'Abd-ur-Rahmân Jezîrî, d. 1384): "So long as a Muslim does not have an 'udhr, (i.e. something that prevents a Muslim from obeying a certain Islamic commandment or prohibition and which he/she cannot help,) they have to perform the five daily namâzes within their dictated times. It is not jâiz (permissible) to perform any of the daily five namâzes before or after its dictated time. The Islamic religion is a religion of convenience and latitude. At times of difficulty, permission has been given to perform namâzes earlier or later than their times. This convenience, however, is contingent on situations and conditions. Without fulfilling these conditions it would be gravely sinful to perform a certain namâz later than the prescribed time. These conditions vary, depending on the Madhhab you are in.

In the Mâlikî Madhhab it is permissible to make jem' of namâzes, (i.e. to perform two successive namâzes both within the dictated time of either one,) during a (long-distance journey termed) safar, (and which in turn is told in detail in the fifteenth chapter of the fourth fascicle of **Endless Bliss**,) during an illness, in rain, and on account of mud at night.

In the Shâfi'i Madhhab, jem' is permissible during a safar and in rain, provided its conditions be fulfilled.

In the Hanâfî Madhhab, jem' is permissible only for hadjis (Muslim pilgrims) at the square called Arafat and when they are at Muzdalifa; in fact, they have to do so at these two places.


In the Hanbâlî Madhhab, jem' is permissible for the following people: a Muslim in a safar or who is ill; a woman undergoing lactation or menorrhagia; a person suffering from an 'udhr that nullifies their ablution; a person who has difficulty making an ablution or a tayammum; a blind person; people unable to follow prayer times, e.g. underground workers; a person who fears that he/she may lose their life, property or chastity; a person whose living may be harmed.

To make jem' of (two) namâzes means to make taqdîm of late afternoon prayer by performing it within the time of early afternoon prayer or to make te'khîr of early afternoon prayer by

postponing it till the time of late afternoon prayer and perform it then. (Jem' by way of *taqdîm* (performing at an earlier time) and *te'khîr* (postponement) is permissible between evening and night prayers as well.)

6 — MASJÎD an-NABÎ

The four different stages of enlarging Masjid an-Nabî:



HOW SHOULD A TRUE MUSLIM BE

The first piece of advice is to correct the belief in accordance with the teachings of the Ahlas-sunnat savants in their books. For, it is this Madhhab only that will be saved from Hell. May Allâhu ta’âlâ give plenty of rewards for the work of those great people! Scholars of the four Madhhabs, who attained the grade of ijtihâd, and the great scholars educated by them are called **Ahl as-sunna** scholars. After correcting the belief (îmân), it is necessary to perform the worship informed in the knowledge of **Fiqh**, i.e. to do the commands of the Sharî’at and to abstain from what it prohibits. One should perform namâz five times daily without reluctance and slackness, and being careful about its conditions and ta’âlî-i arkân. He who has as much money as nisâb should pay zakât. Imâm-i a’zâm Abû Hanîfa says: “Also, it is necessary to pay the zakât of gold and silver which women use as ornaments.”

One should not waste one’s valuable life even on unnecessary mubâhs. Then, *a fortiori*, it is necessary not to waste it on harâm. We should not busy ourselves with taghannî, singing, musical instruments, or songs. We should not be deceived by the pleasure they give our nafses. They are poisons mixed with honey and covered with sugar.

One should not commit **giybat**. Giybat is harâm. [Giybat means to talk about a Muslim’s or a Zimmî’s secret fault behind their back. It is necessary to tell Muslims about the faults of the Harbîs, about the sins of those who commit these sins in public, about the evils of those who persecute Muslims and who deceive Muslims in buying and selling, thereby causing Muslims to beware their harms, and to tell about the slanders of those who talk and write about Islam wrongfully; these warnings are not giybat. **[Radd-ul-Muhtâr: 5-263]**].

One should not spread gossip (carry words) among Muslims. It has been declared that various kinds of torment will be inflicted on people who commit these two kinds of sins. Also, it is harâm to lie and slander, and must be avoided. These two evils were harâm in every religion. Their punishments are very heavy. It brings plenty of thawâb to conceal Muslims’ defects, not to spread their secret sins and to forgive them their faults. One should pity one’s inferiors, people under one’s command [such as wives, children, students, soldiers] and the poor. One should not reproach them for their faults. One should not hurt or beat or swear at those poor people for trivial reasons. One should not violate anybody’s

property, life, honour, or chastity. Debts to others and to the government must be paid. Bribery, accepting or giving alike, is harâm. However, it would not be bribery to give it in order to dodge the oppressions of a cruel person, or to get rid of some other disgusting situation. However, even in such cases, it is harâm to accept the bribe offered. Everybody should see his own defects, and should every hour think of the faults which he has committed towards Allâhu ta'âlâ. He should always bear in mind that Allâhu ta'âlâ does not hurry in punishing him, nor does He cut off his sustenance. Words of command on the part of one's parents, or on the part of the government, compatible with the Sharî'a, must be obeyed, but the ones incompatible with the Sharî'a, should not be resisted against lest we should cause fitna. [See the 123rd letter in the second volume of the book entitled **Maktûbât-i Ma'thûmiyya**.]

After correcting the belief and doing the commandments pertaining to Fiqh, one should spend all one's time remembering Allâhu ta'âlâ. One should continue remembering, mentioning Allâhu ta'âlâ as the great men of religion have stated. One should feel hostility towards all the things that will prevent the heart from remembering Allâhu ta'âlâ. The more you adhere to the Sharî'at, the more delicious it will be to remember Him. As indolence, reluctance increase in obeying the Sharî'at, that flavour will gradually decrease, being thoroughly gone in the end.

It is harâm for Muslims, women and men alike, to go out or to engage in outdoor activities such as ball games and swimming without properly covering (those parts of their body which Islam prohibits one to expose to others and which it terms as) their awrat parts. As well, it is harâm to attend places occupied by people with awrat parts exposed. [İslâm Ahlâki (Ethics of Islam).] If, while committing something harâm, one also dawdles away the time allotted for one of the five daily prayers of namâz (without having performed it within its prescribed period of time), this not only will add to the sin, but also may induce one to a state of disbelief. It is harâm to play any sort of musical instrument, as well as to perform any religious recital, e.g. reading or reciting (passages from the) Qur'ân al-kerîm, reciting (an eulogy to the Messenger of Allah, Muhammad "alaihis-salâm", termed the) mawlid, or reciting (the prescribed invitation to prayer of namâz, termed the) azân (or adhâن), melodiously. Also, it is harâm to use musical instruments such as flutes, or loudspeakers in the performance of such religious performances. Saying something melodiously means elongation of some vowels, which may spoil the wording. Wahhâbîs are trying to

prohibit the performance of mawlid with casuistries such as, “The Prophet is dead; he will not hear you. Besides, it is polytheism to eulogize anyone other than Allah.” It is this belief of theirs which is disbelief. Using a loudspeaker is like using the telephone. If something is harâm to say, it is not permissible to listen to it through a loudspeaker. It is permissible to use loudspeakers for educational purposes, e.g. in teaching science, arts, economics, religious knowledge, ethics and martial lessons. It is not permissible to use loudspeakers to announce corrupt publications fabricated so as to impair moral and religious comportments or to amplify the sound during the performance of adhân or public prayer of namâz, or to listen to such performances. The voice heard from a loudspeaker installed on a minaret is not the voice of the muadh-dhin (person calling the adhân). It is the voice produced by the instrument, despite its close similarity to the human voice. When we hear this voice, we should say, “It’s prayer time (time for namâz),” instead of saying, “The adhân is being called.” For, the sound produced by the loudspeaker is not originally the voice of (the person saying the) adhân. It is a reproduced copy of adhân.

It is stated as follows in some hadîth-i-sherîfs: **“Towards the end of the world, the Qur’ân al-kerîm will be being read through (instruments called) mizmârs.”** **“There is such a time to come that the Qur’ân al-kerîm will be read through mizmârs. It will be read not to please Allâhu ta’âlâ, but only for pleasure.”** **“There are many people who read (or recite) the Qur’ân al-kerîm and the Qur’ân al-kerîm utters a curse against them.”** **“There will come such a time when the most dissolute people will be (among) muadh-dhins.”** **“There will be a time when the Qur’ân al-kerîm will be read through mizmârs.”** **“Allâhu ta’âlâ will pronounce a curse on them.”** Mizmâr means a musical instrument of any sort, such as a whistle. A loudspeaker also is a mizmâr. Muadh-dhins should dread these hadîth-i-sherîfs and avoid calling the adhân through loudspeakers. Some people who are ignorant in religious matters assert that loudspeakers are useful appliances because they convey sound to long distances. Our Prophet admonished: **“Perform the acts of worship as you saw me and my As-hâb (companions) do them! People who make changes in the acts of worship are called ‘ahl-i-bid’at’ (people of bid’at, heretics). People of bid’at will certainly go to Hell. None of their acts of worship shall be accepted.”** It is not something right to claim to make useful amendments to religious practices. Claims of this sort

are lies fibbed by enemies of religion. It is the Islamic scholars' business to judge whether a certain change is useful. These profound scholars are called **mujtahids**. Mujtahids do not make changes at will. They know whether an amendment or a change will be (an act of) bid'at. They were unanimous in the fact that calling the adhâن through a loudspeaker (mizmâr) is an act of bid'at. The path that will lead to love of Allâhu ta'âlâ is through the human heart. By creation, the heart is pure like a mirror. Acts of worship will add to the heart's purity and lustre. Sins will darken the heart, so that it will no longer receive the fayds (subtle pieces of spiritual information) and nûrs (lights, haloes) conveyed by (invisible rays of) love. Sâlih (pious) Muslims will sense this absence and will feel sad about it. They are disinclined to commit sins, but eager to perform more and more acts of worship. Instead of performing only the five daily prayers of namâz, for instance, they wish to perform other prayers of namâz as well. Committing sins feels sweet and sounds useful to the human nafs. All sorts of bid'at and sins are nutritive to the nafs, which is an enemy of Allâhu ta'âlâ, and they will fortify its strongholds. An example of them is to call the adhâن through a loudspeaker.

Childhood is the age to acquire knowledge, and if this flowering period of time is frittered away, Muslims' children will be left ignorant, which in turn means an irreligious generation ahead. Having watched this catastrophic process in heedless silence, religious authorities will be the biggest shareholders in the grave sin. If a person does not learn the halâl and the harâm, or if he flouts them although he may have learned them, he will become a disbeliever. He is no different from church-goers or from those disbelievers who worship idols or icons. Man's arch enemy is his own nafs. It always wishes to do what is harmful to him. Desires of the nafs are called shahwa (lust). Doing these carnal desires of the nafs gives it great pleasure. It is not sinful to do them as much as necessary. Yet it will be harmful and sinful to do them to excess. In order to distract Muslim children from acquiring religious knowledge, enemies of Islam have enticed them into ball games in the name of sports activities and physical training. Since exposing the parts of (the body called) awrat and looking at others' awrat parts are the nafs's favourite enjoyments, craze for ball games has spread rapidly among the children. Muslim parents should see to that their young sons and daughters enter into a (suitable) marriage as early as possible, they should prevent them from going out in groups of mixed sexes and from joining ball games where

they would inevitably expose their awrat parts, and they should send them to a sâlih (true) Muslim teacher so that they will learn their religion and faith.

HÜSEYN HİLMİ İŞIK,
'Rahmat-Allahi 'alaih'

Hüseyin Hilmi İşık, 'Rahmat-Allahi 'alaih', publisher of the Hakikat Kitabevi Publications, was born in Eyyub Sultan, Istanbul in 1329 (A.D. 1911).

Of the one hundred and forty-four books he published, sixty are Arabic, twenty-five Persian, fourteen Turkish, and the remaining are books in French, German, English, Russian, and other languages.

Hüseyin Hilmi İşık, 'Rahmat-Allahi 'alaih' (guided by Sayyid 'Abdulhakim Arwâsî, 'Rahmat-Allahi 'alaih', a profound scholar of the religion and perfect in virtues of Tasawwuf and capable to guide disciples in a fully mature manner; possessor of glories and wisdom), was a competent, great Islamic scholar able to guide to happiness, passed away during the night between October 25, 2001 (8 Sha'bân 1422) and October 26, 2001 (9 Sha'bân 1422). He was buried at Eyyub Sultan, where he had been born.

To: Hakîkat Bookstore
Dear Brothers in Islam.

Assalamu alaikum wa rahmatullahi wa barakatuh.

All praise to Allahu ta'âlâ. Peace be upon the holy Prophet of Islam (sallallahu alaihi wa sallam). May Allah be well pleased with you!

I have written this letter to thank you and praise your good deeds in the straight path you have taken to redeem Islam and Muslims in this modern, blind world.

I have received your worthy and valuable books - ENDLESS BLISS IV, BELIEF AND ISLAM, and THE SUNNI PATH. The books arrived just six days after I wrote a letter asking questions on Qada and Qadar with music. However, I do not know how to thank you as no word, phrase nor letter could convey my feeling to you. In fact, I will never deem of limiting my thanksgiving through words and letters and I hope you will bear with the poor and weak mind of mine.

In the first instant, I had written to express my thanks on Endless Bliss III and also requested for its price and those of other books published by Hakikat Kitâbevi. What a great person you are! You did not ask me to pay for the book. Yet, you sent another without demanding anything. I don't just know what to say as you are pleasing my heart, making me to realise myself as a Muslim and protecting me against the enemies of Islam. There is not much to say than may Allah be pleased with you, favour you, provide for you in abundance and rewards you with everlasting bliss.

As I am focusing my attention on the just received Endless Bliss IV with others, I could deduce that you are presenting Islam in its absolute purity and I am very happy to say in good authority that it has provided answers to most of my questions. This book is a unique book teaching the practical faith and duty of Muslims. It has become my friend when going out, my companion when alone, my teacher when learning and my quidance when praying. All the books are simply too great. With them, I have come to realise that one must not resign himself to luxury, prosperity and the good life but should strive hard and be profoundly learned in Islamic knowledge and to communicate the message of true religion to the people of all ages.

However, I am very sorry and bitterly touched to inform you that my father is not a practising Muslim. This has served as hindrance towards my learning the religion of Islam many years ago. I have remain the victim of the oppressed for years and there is no peace everywhere in the house. All the times, days and years, I have been full of thinking and supplication sorting things with my best ability and planning a way out of this situation. It was during this time that a young man of my age came to my life. We are so intimate that we usually discuss our private affairs with each other. After discussing about my problem, he advised me to write to your publication. For

many years, I have sat desperately to contemplate what makes me a Muslim. I scrutinised to discover how to be a Muslim to truly and unambiguously accept the Holy Quran and its injunctions and to put them into practice; sincerely, in its entirety.

Here in this part of the world, people are very corrupt, there are many heretical groups who make game of religion, trade in religion and converts religion into business in order to fulfil their sensuous desires. Some of those who claim to be Muslim leaders have strayed away and defected from Islam. Many have turned religion into a lucrative business from which they realize millions of Naira (Nigerian currency note). In fact one cannot be too careful. Religion leaders have reduced faith to mere words of mouth which can be decorated with beautiful rhetorics just to attract applause.

After attaching myself to your publication, I have now realised that I need nobody else and I need nothing else in this world except Hadrat HILMI İŞİK. I have come to understand that I have much to regret in the hereafter if I failed to search for true and correct knowledge. And what do I have to tell my Allah to justify my case if I do not learn, practise and serve Islam.

Dear brothers in Islam, I have made up my mind and ready to learn the only religion. I don't want to sit down arms folded watching helplessly as they lead people to ruination. I would therefore will be very pleased if you favourably consider my request of coming to Turkey. I would like to be with you in all spheres of your activities and struggles for Islam since it is my activities and struggles as well. I want to learn the correct dîn and adapt myself to the Hanaff Madhhab under your guidance and by your courtesy.

If my request is accepted, I would like you to give me detail information on how I will make my transport arrangements.

Meanwhile, as I have no provision yet, I will want to work for few years in order to earn my transportation fare.

I would like to say again that I had enclosed a copy of my photograph and asked few questions on Qada and Qadar in my last letter. Happily, Endless Bliss IV has provided an answer to my puzzles on Music.

I will want you to continue sending me more of your valuable books. I seek your support in combating and safeguiding myself against the corrupt actions and books of the enemies of Islam.

May Allah provide good for you wherever you may be! Âmin.

Wassalam.

Your brother in Islam,

Alabi
c/o Muhammad Shaikh,
P. O. Box 1071
Ogbomoso, Oyo State
Nigeria

GLOSSARY

Entries related to Tasawwuf can be learned best from Ahmad al-Farûqî as-Sirhindî's 'rahmatullâhi ta'âlâ 'alaih' **Maktûbât**.

'âbid: one who performs much 'ibâda (worship).

Ahl al-Bayt: immediate relatives of the Prophet 'alaihis-salâm': (according to most **Islamic scholars**,) 'Ali, first cousin and son-in-law; Fâtimâ, daughter; Hasan and Husain, grandsons 'radiy-Allâhu ta'âlâ 'anhum'.

a'immat al-madhâhib: pl. of imâm al-madhhab.

'âlim: (pl. 'ulamâ') a Muslim scholar of Islam.

Allâhu ta'âlâ: Allah to Whom all kinds of superiority belong.

-Ansâr: Medinans who embraced Islam before the conquest of Mekka.

aqcha: a coin, unit of money.

'Arafât: open space located 24 kilometers north of Mekka.

-'Arsh: end of matter bordering the seven skies and the Kursî, which is without the seventh sky and within the 'Arsh.

-'Asr as-Sâ'âda: the 'Era of prosperity', time of the Prophet 'alaihis-salâm' and the Four Khalîfas 'radiy-Allâhu ta'âlâ 'anhum'.

Awliyâ: pl. of Walî.

awqâf: (pl. of waqf) pious foundations. Please see the latter part of the forty-fourth chapter of the fifth fascicle of **Endless Bliss**.

âyat (kerîma): a verse of al-Qur'an al-kerîm.

'azîma: difficult way of doing a religious act or matter.

-Basmala: the Arabic phrase "Bismillâhi-r-Rahmâni-r-Rahîm" (in the Name of Allah the Compassionate, the Merciful.)

bid'a(t): An act, a belief, an utterance which does not originally exist in Islam and which was invented later.

bâtil: invalid, wrong, vain.

dhikr: (phrase of) remembering, keeping in mind, Allâhu ta'âlâ every moment.

dirham: weight unit of three grams.

Efendi: title given by the Ottoman State to statesmen and especially to religious scholars; a form of address, meaning “Your Great Personage”.

Faqîh (pl. Fuqahâ): An Islamic scholar who has majored in the Islamic science termed Fiqh and which deals with Islamic practices, acts of worship, social interactions, Islam’s penal code, business interactions, Islamic jurisprudence, civil and conjugal rights, etc.

Fard: (an act or thing) that is commanded by Allâhu ta’âla in the Qur’ân al-kerîm.

Fard ’ain: fard for every Muslim. **fard kifâya:** fard that must be done at least by one Muslim.

-Fâtiha: First of the 114 súras of the Qur’ân al-kerîm, containing seven âyats.

fatwâ: i) ijtihâd (of a mujtahid); ii) conclusion (of a muftî) from books of Fiqh whether something not shown in them is permitted or not; answer given to religious questions by Islamic scholars; iii) rukhsa.

Fiqh: knowledge dealing with what Muslims should do and should not do; actions, ’ibâdât. See **Faqîh**.

fitna, fasâd: widespreading of statements and actions that harm Muslims and Islam.

Fuqahâ: (pl. of Faqîh).

ghaban fâhish: (being cheated much by buying at a) price higher than the current prices; an exorbitant price.

Ghazâ: war against non-Muslims, to convert them to Islam; a kind of jihâd. This kind of jihâd can be carried only by the State. Individual Muslims or societies or groups of Muslims are not entitled to perform this kind of jihâd.

Ghâzî: Muslim engaged in Ghazâ.

hadîth (sherif): i) an utterance of the Prophet “alaihis-salâm”; **al-Hadîth ash-sherîf:** all the hadîths as a whole; ii) **’ilm al-hadîth**; iii) Books of the hadîth ash-sherîf. iv) al-Hadîth **al-qudsî, as-sahîh, al-hasan:** kinds of hadîths (for which, see Endlees Bliss, II).

Hadrat: title of respect used before the names of Islamic scholars.

hajj: **fard** pilgrimage to Mekka.

halâl: (act, thing) permitted in Islam.

Hanâfi: (a Muslim) in the Hanâfi Madhhab.

Hanbalî: (a Muslim) in the Hanbalî Madhhab.

harâm: (act, thing) forbidden by Islam.

hasan: (see hadîth).

Hegira: emigration of the Prophet ‘alaihis-salâm’ from Mekka to Medina; al-Hijra.

-Hijâz: the region on the Arabian Peninsula on the Red Sea coast where Mekka and Medina are situated.

hijrî: of the Hegira.

-Hujrat at-Sâ’âda (al-Mu’attara): the room where the graves of the Prophet ‘alaihis-salâm’ and of his two immediate Khalîfas are.

’ibâda: (pl. -ât) worship, rite; act for which **thawâb** (rewards) will be given in the Hereafter.

’Iyd: one of the yearly two Islamic celebrations.

ijtihâd: (meaning or conclusion drawn by a mujtahid through) endeavouring to understand the hidden meaning in an âyat or a hadîth.

’ilm: knowledge, science; **’ilm al-hâl:** (books of) Islamic teachings (of one Madhhab) ordered to be learned by every Muslim; **’ilm al-usûl:** methodologic sciences, esp. those of Fiqh and Kalâm.

imâm: i) profound ’âlim; ii) leader in jamâ'a; iii) the Caliph (Khalîfa).

îmân: faith, beliefs of Islam; Kalâm, I’tiqâd.

I’tiqâd: îmân.

Jâhiliyya: era of nescience, that is, pre-Islamic Arabia.

jamâ'a: community; body of Muslims (except the imâm) in a mosque; companions; union.

jâriya: non-Muslim female slave captivated in war and treated like a sister.

jihâd: war against non-Muslims (or the nafs) to convert them (it) to Islam.

Jum'a: (namâz of) Friday

-Ka'ba(t al-mu'azzama): the big room in the great mosque in Mekka.

Kalâm: knowledge of îmân; 'ilm al-kalâm.

Kalimat ash-shahâda: the phrase beginning with “Ashhadu...” The first of the five fundamentals of Islam; declaring one's belief in Islam.

karâma: (pl. -ât), explained in the text.

khalfâ: (pl. Khulafâ') the Caliph.

Khârijî: (of) those heretical Muslims hostile to the Ahl al-Bait and to their posterity.

Khutba: the homily delivered at the pulpit by the imâm at Jum'a and 'Iyd prayers, which must be said in Arabic all over the world (sinful if made in another language).

Madhhâb: (pl. Madhhâhib) all of what an imâm of (especially) Fiqh or I'tiqâd taught.

-Mâdînat al-munawwara: the illuminated city of Medina.

-Mahshar: the Last Judgment.

-Makkat al-mukarrama: the honoured city of Mekka.

makrûh: (act, thing) improper, disliked and avoided by the Prophet “alaihis-salâm”; makrûh tahrîma: prohibited with much stress; one that is well nigh harâm.

Mâlikî: (a Muslim) in the Mâlikî Madhhâb.

Ma'rifa: knowledge about Allâhu ta'âlâ's Dhât (Essence, Person) and Sifât (Attributes), inspired to the hearts of Awliyâ'.

-Marva (Marwa): one of the two hills near the Masjid al-Harâm.

masjid: mosque; al-Masjid al-Harâm: the great mosque in Mekka; al-Masjid ash-sherîf (as-Sa'âda, an-Nabî): the mosque in Medina, built in the time of the Prophet ‘alaihis-salâm’ and later enlarged several times, in which his blessed grave is.

mawdû': (kind of hadîth) lacking one of the conditions (for a hadîth to be sahîh) laid down by a scholar of Hadîth.

Mîlâdî: of the Christian era; of the Gregorian calendar.

Minâ: a village six kilometers north of Mekka.

mubâh: (act, thing) neither commanded nor prohibited; permitted.

mufsid: act, thing that nullifies (especially, namâz).

muftî: great 'âlim authorized to issue a fatwâ.

-Muhâjirûn: Mekkan people who embraced Islam before the conquest of Mekka.

mujaddid: strengthener, renewer, of Islam.

mu'jiza: miracle peculiar to Prophets, alone, and worked by Allâhu ta'âlâ, explained in detail in the text.

muqallid: Muslim who practises taqlîd; a follower of an Imâm al-madhab.

mustahab: (act, thing) deserving thawâb if done but not sinful if omitted, nor disbelief if disliked.

-Mu'tazila: one of the 72 heretical groups in Islam.

-Muwâjahat as-Sâ'âda: the space in front of the qibla wall [to which the Prophet's 'alaihis-salâm' blessed head corresponds] of his shrine, where the visitor stands facing the shrine.

Muzdalifa: the area between the city of Mekka and 'Arafât.

nafs: a force in man which wants him to harm himself religiously.

najâsa: religiously impure thing, explained in detail in the fourth fascicle of **Endless Bliss**.

nâ-mahram: (a relative of the opposite sex) not within forbidden (harâm) degrees of relationship for marriage.

nikâh: (act of engagement for) marriage in Islam. Please see the twelfth chapter of the fifth fascicle of **Endless Bliss**.

Pâsha: title given by the Ottoman State to statesmen, governors and especially officers of high rank (now general or admiral).

qâdî: Muslim judge; qadi.

qibla: the direction turned towards during namâz (in Islam, toward the Ka'bat al-mu'azzama).

Qouraish: Arab community of Qouraish, an ancestor of the Prophet 'alaihis-salâm'.

-Qur'an al-kerîm: the Holy Koran.

rak'a: the series of reciting and the acts of standing, bowing and prostration (and sitting) in namâz, which consists of at least two and at most (for fard namâzes) four rak'as.

Ramadân: the Sacred Month in Muslim Calendar.

Rasûlullah (Rasûl-Allah): Muhammad "alaihis-salâm", the Prophet of Allâhu ta'âlâ'; the Messenger of Allah.

-Rawdat al-Mutahhara: the space between the Prophet's "alaihis-salâm" shrine and the pulpit of the Masjid ash-Sherîf.

rukhsa: to permit; easy way of doing a religious act or affair.

-Safâ: one of the two hills near the Masjid al-Harâm.

Sahâbî: (pl. as-Sahâbat al-kirâm) Muslim who saw the Prophet "alaihis-salâm" at least once; the Companion(s).

sahîh: i) religiously lawful, valid; congruous to Islam; ii) (of a hadîth) soundly transmitted, authentic according to the conditions laid by scholars of Hadîth.

salât: i) prayer; (with salâm)= Salawât; ii) ritual prayer of at least two rak'as; namâz, in Persian; salât janâza: funeral prayer.

Salawât: (pl. of salât) special prayers in which blessings and high ranks are asked on the Prophet "alaihis-salâm".

Sâlih: (pl. sulahâ') one who is pious and abstains from sins, (opposite: fâsiq); see Wâlî.

Shâfi'i: (a Muslim) in the Shâfi'i Madhhab.

Shaikh al-Islam: Head of the Religious Affairs Office in an Islamic State.

Shî'ites: one of the 72 non-Sunnî groups in Islam.

shirk: (statement, action, causing) polytheism; ascribing a partner to Allâhu ta'âlâ.

sulahâ: pl. of Sâlih.

sunna: (act, thing) that was, though not commanded by Allâhu ta'âlâ, done and liked by the Prophet "alaihis-salâm" as an act of worship (there is thawâb if done, but not sinful if omitted, yet it causes sinfulness if continually omitted and disbelief if disliked; the Sunna; i) (with fard) all the sunnas as a whole; ii) (with the Book or Qur'an al-kerîm) the Hadîth ash-sherîf; iii) (alone) Fiqh, Islam.

sûra: a chapter of the Qur'ân al-kerîm.

Taba' at-Tâbi'în: scholars who saw neither the Prophet 'alaihis-salâm' nor a Sahâbî but saw (one of) the Tâbi'ûn; so their successors.

tâ'a: acts that are liked by Allâhu ta'âlâ but might be done without the need of knowing that they are liked by Him.

-Tâbi'ûn (al-i'zâm): most of those Muslims who did not see the Prophet 'alaihis-salâm' but saw (one of) as-Sahabat al-kirâm; so their successors.

ta'dîl al-arkân: keeping the body motionless for a while after becoming calm during and between the various acts in namâz (see Endless Bliss, III, Chapters 14-16).

Tafsîr: i) book of, ii) science of ('ilm at-tafsîr), iii) explanation of the Qur'ân al-kerîm.

taqlîd: living up to, following, being in one of the four Madhhabs.

taqwâ: fearing Allâhu ta'âlâ; avoiding harâms; practising 'azimas (See warâ' and zuhd).

Tasawwuf: Spiritual branch of knowledge and (after adapting oneself to Fiqh) practice of the manners of the Prophet 'alaihis-salâm' which strengthens fîmân, makes the practice of Fiqh easy and causes one to attain ma'rifa; 'ilm at-tasawwuf.

tawâf: the 'ibâda of going round the Ka'bat al-mu'azzama (circumambulations) during hajj.

tawakkul: trusting in, expecting everything from Allâhu ta'âlâ exclusively; expecting from Allâhu ta'âlâ the effectiveness of the cause after working or holding on to the cause – before which tawakkul is unadvised.

tawhîd: (belief in) the Oneness, Unity of Allâhu ta'âlâ.

ta'zîr: a kind of penalty as described in Islam; chastisement.

thawâb: (unit of) reward promised and will be given in the Hereafter by Allâhu ta'âlâ as a recompense for doing and saying what He likes.

'ulamâ: pl. of 'âlim, which means (Islamic) scholar.

Umma: the community, body of Believers, of a Prophet; the Umma(t al-Muhammadiyya): the Muslim Umma.

usûl: i) methodology or fundamentals of an Islamic science; ii)

methodologies of basic Islamic sciences, 'ilm al-usûl; iii) īmân, kalâm.

wâjib: (act or thing) never omitted by the Prophet "alaihis-salâm", so almost as compulsory as fard and not to be omitted.

Wâlî: (pl. Awliyâ') one who is loved and protected by Allâhu ta'âlâ; a Sâlih Muslim who has also tamed his nafs.

wara': (after avoiding harâms) abstention from doubtful things (mushtabihât).

zâhid: a man of zuhd; ascetic.

zakât: (fard duty of paying annually) certain amount of certain kinds of property to certain kinds of people, by which the remaining property becomes purified and blessed and the Muslim who gives it protects himself against being (called) a miser. Please see the first chapter of the fifth fascicle of **Endless Bliss**.

zuhd: not setting one's heart on worldly things; abstention (even) from mubâhs.

BOOKS PUBLISHED BY HAKİKAT KİTABEVİ

ENGLISH:

- 1- Endless Bliss I, 304 pp.
- 2- Endless Bliss II, 400 pp.
- 3- Endless Bliss III, 336 pp.
- 4- Endless Bliss IV, 432 pp.
- 5- Endless Bliss V, 512 pp.
- 6- Endless Bliss VI, 352 pp.
- 7- The Sunni Path, 128 pp.
- 8- Belief and Islam, 128 pp.
- 9- The Proof of Prophethood, 144 pp.
- 10- Answer to an Enemy of Islam, 128 pp.
- 11- Advice for the Muslim, 352 pp.
- 12- Islam and Christianity, 336 pp.
- 13- Could Not Answer, 432 pp.
- 14- Confessions of a British Spy, 128 pp.
- 15- Documents of the Right Word, 496 pp.
- 16- Why Did They Become Muslims?, 304 pp.
- 17- Ethics of Islam, 240 pp.
- 18- Sahaba 'The Blessed', 384 pp.
- 19- Islam's Reformers, 320 pp.
- 20- The Rising and the Hereafter 112 pp.
- 21- Miftah-ul-janna, 288 pp.

DEUTSCH:

- 1- Islam, der Weg der Sunnit, 128 Seiten
- 2- Glaube und Islam, 128 Seiten
- 3- Islam und Christentum, 352 Seiten
- 4- Beweis des Prophetentums, 160 Seiten
- 5- Geständnisse von einem Britischen Spion, 176 Seiten
- 6- Islamische Sitte, 288 Seiten

EN FRANÇAIS:

- 1- L'Islam et la Voie de Sunna, 112 pp.
- 2- Foi et Islam, 128 pp.
- 3- Islam et Christianisme, 304 pp.
- 4- L'évidence de la Prophétie, et les Temps de Prières, 144 pp.
- 5- Ar-radd al Jamil, Ayyuha'l-Walad (Al-Ghazâlî), 96 pp.
- 6- Al-Munqid min ad'Dalâl, (Al-Ghazâlî), 64 pp.

SHQIP:

- 1- Besimi dhe Islami, 96 fq.
- 2- Libri Namazit, 208 fq.
- 3- Rrefimet e Agjentit Anglez, 112 fq.

ESPAÑOL:

- 1- Creencia e Islam, 112

ПО РУССКИ:

- 1- Всем Нужная Вера, (128) стр.
- 2- Признания Английского Шпиона, (144) стр.
- 3- Китаб-ус-Салат (Молитвенник) Книга о намазе, (224) стр.
- 4- О Сын Мой (256) стр.
- 5- Религия Ислам (256) стр.

НА БЪЛГАРСКИ ЕЗИК:

- 1- Вяра и Ислам, (128) стр.
- 2- НАМАЗ КИТАБЪ (256) стр.

BOSNJAČKISHT:

- 1- Iman i Islam. (128) str.
- 2- Odgovor Neprijatelju Islama, (144) str.
- 3- Knjiga o Namazu, (192) str.
- 4- Nije Mogao Odgovoriti. (432) str.
- 5- Put Ehl-i Sunneta. (128) str.
- 6- Ispovijesti Jednog Engleskog Spijuna. (144) str.